



**United Nations  
Conference  
on Trade and  
Development**

Distr.  
GENERAL

TD/B/COM.2/EM.16/2  
7 December 2004

Original: ENGLISH

---

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD  
Commission on Investment, Technology and Related Financial Issues  
Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI on Development  
Geneva, 24–26 January 2005  
Item 3 of the provisional agenda

**THE IMPACT OF FDI ON DEVELOPMENT:  
GLOBALIZATION OF R&D BY TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND  
IMPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES\***

Note by the UNCTAD secretariat

**Executive summary**

Reflecting a broader trend towards the offshoring of services, a number of developing countries are attracting foreign direct investment in research and development (R&D). Transnational corporations, including the ones headquartered in developing countries, are selecting developing countries as locations for such activities. With the offshoring of R&D, firms aim at accessing the skills of new locations, adapting products to local markets and reducing their costs, in response to competitive pressures, technological changes and a more liberal trade and investment environment. In particular, information and communication technologies (ICT) have had a profound effect on the way economic activities, including R&D, are organized, enabling firms to allocate tasks on a global scale through intra-firm information networks. At the same time, keeping up with new developments in ICT is a major challenge for developing countries wishing to accelerate their economic development.

As a basis for the deliberations of experts, this note identifies and elaborates key issues related to the trends towards globalization of R&D and its implications for developing countries. What is the development potential of this process? How can the establishment of R&D abroad affect the transfer of technology – one of the main potential benefits from foreign direct investment? What types of R&D are the most desirable for development? What benefits and costs are involved? And how can policies in home and host countries influence the allocation of such activities and their economic impact?

---

\* This document was submitted on the above date owing to technical delays.

## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Introduction .....	3
I. Emerging patterns and drivers of the globalization of R&D.....	4
A. Trends in R&D by transnational corporations.....	4
B. The drivers .....	8
C. Issues for discussion.....	9
II. Implications for development.....	9
III. Policy environment to promote R&D-related FDI and its benefits .....	11
A. Host country measures .....	11
B. Home country measures.....	13
C. International dimension.....	14
D. Issues for discussion.....	14
References .....	15

## INTRODUCTION

1. The Commission on Investment, Technology and Related Financial Issues, at its eighth session in Geneva (26–30 January 2004), requested the UNCTAD secretariat to continue its work on the role of foreign direct investment (FDI) in building national productive capacity and improving international competitiveness in developing countries and economies in transition. It requested that its next Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI on Development focus on “issues related to the impact of FDI on development and its role in integrating developing countries in the world economy”. This is also in line with the São Paulo Consensus (TD/410), which called on the UNCTAD secretariat to increase assistance to developing countries, in particular least developed countries (LDCs), in designing and implementing active policies for building productive capacity and international competitiveness, based on an integrated treatment of investment and technology transfer and innovation (para. 49).

2. For decades, technological change and innovation, driven by research and development (R&D; for a definition, see box 1), have been the most important sources of productivity growth and increased welfare (Edquist 2000). As a result, there is a high correlation between those countries that have shown significant economic improvement in the past and those countries that have made substantial investment in R&D. For that reason, it is imperative for developing countries, including LDCs, to build R&D capacities, without which they are likely to miss opportunities to upgrade their technologies, move up the development ladder, and catch up with developed countries.<sup>1</sup>

### Box 1. Definition of R&D

Research and development (R&D) consists of four types of activities: basic, applied, product and process research. *Basic research* is original experimental work without a specific commercial aim, frequently done by universities. *Applied research* is original experimental work with a specific aim. *Product development* is the improvement and extension of existing products. *Process development* is the creation of new or improved processes.

*Source:* UNCTAD.

3. Since transnational corporations (TNCs) are playing a major role in global R&D, it may be particularly timely to look at the opportunities and risks that such a process creates for developing countries. In addition to being a source of finance for R&D, TNCs could also help developing countries to build up their R&D commercialization system by facilitating their access to global supply and distribution chains and external markets. Thus, FDI can serve as a “vehicle for carrying tacit knowledge as well as assisting enterprises at the frontiers of world technological learning” (Liu and Wang 2003: 945).

4. In certain cases, technology transfer requires the presence of TNCs or their affiliates. Even if technologies are imported, a certain amount of R&D capacity may be necessary in the host economy for absorbing them, adapting them to local conditions and applying them to alternative uses. Moreover, entry barriers to emerging industries, in terms of capital requirements and industrial experience, are low in the initial stages. It is then easier for developing countries to enter and build competitive strength as the technology evolves (e.g. biotechnology). Once an industry reaches consolidation, entry barriers rise (e.g. semiconductors), and developing countries get confined to lower-value-added activities. Another reason why developing countries are paying more attention to

---

<sup>1</sup> Many of the challenges that countries in transition face in R&D are similar to those of developing countries. This issues note will not, however, discuss in detail the specific situation of countries in transition.

FDI in R&D is that their own firms are also undertaking R&D-related FDI in both developed and developing countries in their quest to acquire and build up R&D capacities. This further underlines the importance of exploring the globalization of R&D and its implications for developing countries.

5. The participation of developing countries in the globalization of R&D has so far been uneven. On the one hand, some developing countries with robust infrastructure, a highly trained workforce, reasonable intellectual property protection and appealing domestic markets – especially in Asia and the Pacific – have attracted significant FDI in R&D (Pearce 1999). These developing countries have benefited from the opportunities provided by the increasing demand of TNCs for inexpensive talent and for new developing markets. Their policies have focused on measures to maximize the degree of technology spillovers from FDI and enhance their absorptive capacity by encouraging local firms to engage in R&D. On the other hand, many other developing countries have fared moderately in growth and welfare creation because their R&D efforts have remained underfunded and delinked from the private sector.

## **I. EMERGING PATTERNS AND DRIVERS OF THE GLOBALIZATION OF R&D**

### **A. Trends in R&D by transnational corporations**

6. FDI and technology transfer are increasingly interlinked. TNCs are responsible for a large share of global R&D activities. In 2002, the largest 700 firms worldwide in this area spent \$311 billion on R&D (according to data available from the United Kingdom's Department of Trade and Industry). Moreover, in the current global environment characterized by rapidly changing technologies and shorter product life cycles, TNCs are offshoring (box 2 on page 5) more and more R&D in different parts of the world (Cantwell and Janne 1999) through both FDI and technology alliances (non-equity mode).<sup>2</sup> This pattern of locating R&D differs radically from that of the past (the 1950s and 1960s)<sup>3</sup> and challenges the traditional view that R&D activities by TNCs are undertaken mainly at home. While in itself the expansion of R&D beyond the borders of home countries of TNCs is not a new phenomenon,<sup>4</sup> the scale of offshoring is rising and its geographical reach is spreading to developing countries. The spread of R&D-related FDI to new host countries is part of the broader phenomenon of offshoring services, which is a still relatively new but rising trend (box 2). Within the range of offshored services, R&D represents the higher end of the value-added spectrum.

7. The offshoring of R&D in developing-country locations has emerged recently and has involved internationally known TNCs such as Ericsson, GE, IBM, Intel, Microsoft, Motorola, Nokia, Oracle, Texas Instruments and SAP.<sup>5</sup> Data on the activities of the affiliates of TNCs from the Triad (the United States, Japan and the European Union) confirm the rise of corporate R&D in developing countries, although at different speeds. Between 1989 and 1999, R&D performed by all foreign affiliates of US TNCs in developing countries increased nine times, to \$2.4 billion, as compared to a

---

<sup>2</sup> Such R&D activities can be part of the manufacturing units or independent R&D laboratories.

<sup>3</sup> During that period, TNCs derived competitive advantages, particularly technological knowledge, from their distinctive domestic environments, which led to the exploitation of this advantage abroad through exports and outward FDI (Hymer 1960; Vernon 1966).

<sup>4</sup> It has been well documented in developed countries in a number of studies (Brash 1966 for Australia; Safarian 1966 for Canada; Stubenitsky 1970 for the Netherlands; Ronstadt 1977 for the United States; Behrman and Fischer 1980 for United States-based and European TNCs; Zander 1994 for Swedish TNCs; Kuemmerle 1999 for various developed countries).

<sup>5</sup> In 2004, for example, Intel employed some 1,500 information technology (IT) professionals in India, and Motorola operated one of the largest foreign-owned R&D institutes in China, employing almost 2,000 people.

three-fold increase worldwide, to \$18 billion in 1999.<sup>6</sup> In developing Asia, there was an 18-fold leap forward to \$1.4 billion in 1999.<sup>7</sup> Over the same period of 1989–1999, R&D expenditures by Japanese foreign affiliates rose even more rapidly (eight times) than those by US affiliates, and offshoring of R&D by Japanese TNCs to developing countries grew faster (10 times) than their R&D expenditures worldwide. The offshoring of R&D by European TNCs, especially to developing countries, is still in a nascent stage (Cantwell and Janne 2000). The outward FDI stock of Germany in R&D, for example, amounted to only \$970 million at the end of 2002, although this was up from its 1995 level (\$43 million).<sup>8</sup> The industry and geographical composition of such R&D is fairly conservative: 97% is spent in manufacturing, and more than 90% takes place in the United States and Europe.

### Box 2. Definition of offshoring and outsourcing

*Offshoring* is defined as the location or transfer of activities abroad. It can be done internally by moving services from a parent company to its foreign affiliates (sometimes referred to as “captive offshoring”, involving FDI, in differentiation from offshoring to third parties). It is different from the concept of outsourcing, which always involves a third party, but not necessarily a transfer abroad. Offshoring and outsourcing overlap only when the activities in question are outsourced internationally to third-party services providers (see the following table).

#### Offshoring and outsourcing R&D: definitions

Location of R&D	Internalized	Externalized ( <i>outsourcing</i> )
Home country	R&D kept in-house at home	R&D outsourced to third-party provider at home
Foreign country ( <i>offshoring</i> )	R&D by a foreign affiliate of the same TNC; called <i>captive offshoring</i>	R&D outsourced to third-party provider abroad: To a <i>local company</i> To a <i>foreign affiliate of another TNC</i>

Source: UNCTAD, adapted from UNCTAD 2004b: 148.

8. Data on the geographical distribution of the foreign affiliates engaged in R&D worldwide (table 1) also point to the growing importance of developing economies. In 2004, of the more than 2,500 affiliates registered in the Who Owns Whom database of Dun and Bradstreet, more than 10% were located in developing countries, with developing Asia alone accounting for more than 8%.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>6</sup> According to data from the United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

<sup>7</sup> Despite the fact that those statistics may underestimate the role of such locations as India, for which only \$20 million, or 0.1% of the outward FDI, is reported.

<sup>8</sup> According to unpublished data of the Deutsche Bundesbank.

<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, there are indications that this sample survey underestimates the role of certain Asian locations such as India or the Republic of Korea because of, among other reasons, a classification problem of software development.

**Table 1. Geographical distribution of R&D foreign affiliates,<sup>a</sup> 2004**  
(number of affiliates)

Region/economy	Number
<b>Total world</b>	<b>2 584</b>
<b>Developed countries</b>	<b>2 185</b>
<i>of which</i> Western Europe	1 387
United States	552
Japan	29
<b>Developing countries</b>	<b>264</b>
<i>of which</i> Africa	4
Latin America and the Caribbean	40
Asia	216
South, East and South-East Asia	207

<sup>a</sup> On the basis of 2,284 majority-owned foreign affiliates identified in the above database that are engaged in commercial, physical and educational research (SIC code 8731), commercial economics and biological research (SIC code 8732), non-commercial research (SIC code 8733) and testing laboratories (SIC code 8734).

*Source:* UNCTAD, based on the *Who Owns Whom* database (Dun and Bradstreet).

9. Recent data on greenfield R&D projects initiated worldwide also indicate a rise of developing destinations and service-related R&D (OCOconsulting, LOCOMonitor database). Of the more than 1,000 FDI projects in R&D worldwide for which information has been collected for the period August 2002–July 2004, the majority (739) were located in developing countries or economies in transition. Developing Asia and the Pacific alone accounted for more than half of the world total (563 projects). These data also suggest that the majority of the new jobs created in greenfield R&D projects, too, went to developing countries, mostly in India and China, and to information and communication technologies (ICT).

10. FDI data are, however, an imperfect indicator of the R&D activities of TNCs abroad. Indeed, firms also often use non-FDI forms such as technology alliances, R&D joint ventures, R&D consortiums and university-industry linkages to access strategic knowledge abroad (UNCTAD 2000). These forms of cooperation can be equity- or non-equity based; in most cases they fall outside the scope of the definition of FDI. As part of their alliances, TNCs are outsourcing some technology development activities to firms and research institutes worldwide, including those located in developing countries.

11. While R&D by TNCs in the developing world is concentrated in a handful of key host economies such as Brazil, China, Hong Kong (China), India, Mexico, Singapore and South Africa, other countries have also started appearing on the radar screen of TNCs. For example, in 2003, Toyota Motor Corporation (Japan) expanded its R&D activities to Thailand; Monterey Design Systems (United States, software) chose Armenia for a new R&D centre; the IT company SAA Technology (United Kingdom) established an Enterprise Development Centre in Nigeria; and Honda Motor Co.

(Japan) set up a new R&D unit in Viet Nam to enhance local motorcycle development and sales.<sup>10</sup> TNCs also target with their agricultural R&D activities some developing countries that are otherwise less prominent on the global R&D scene. This is the case of Kenya, for instance (box 4).

#### **Box 4. R&D by TNCs in Kenya's agriculture**

In general, Kenya is not a major player in global R&D. In agriculture, which generates a large share of its export earnings, R&D expenditures represented only slightly more than 1% of the developing countries' total in 2000.<sup>a</sup> Moreover, the private sector made up only 3% of Kenya's total agricultural R&D expenditure in the same year.<sup>b</sup>

There are, however, several agricultural/horticultural or related firms, including TNCs, conducting some form of R&D in Kenya. The known cases of R&D by TNCs in Kenya have followed different strategies. Some TNCs have decided to conduct in-house R&D. Examples include De Ruiters, Regina Seeds, Fourteen Flowers (Netherlands), Del Monte (United States) and Kordes & Söhne (Germany). Other TNCs, such as East African Breweries (United Kingdom), Monsanto (United States) and Syngenta (Switzerland), have opted for collaborative arrangements with local and foreign partners. The Kenyan Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) carries out research on barley on behalf of East Africa Breweries and works for Syngenta to develop insect-resistant maize for Africa. Monsanto's involvement in Kenyan R&D is more indirect, as its project, originally initiated in direct collaboration with KARI and the International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-tech Applications (ISAAA), has been transferred to its US non-profit partner Donald Danforth Plant Science Center.<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sources: CGIAR, ASTI Database ([www.asti.cgiar.org/expenditures.cfm](http://www.asti.cgiar.org/expenditures.cfm)) and Beintema and Pardey (2001).

<sup>b</sup> The share of private firms in Kenyan agricultural R&D may be higher, because the original sample was based on information available on three firms only.

<sup>c</sup> The non-profit Donald Danforth Plant Science Center is a partnership organization of the Monsanto Company and various United States-based academic research institutions.

Source: UNCTAD.

12. The trend towards the transnationalization of R&D activities by TNCs, with particularly fast expansion in developing countries, has been illustrated in a recent survey, in which 70% of the respondents stated that they already had R&D staff abroad and 22% reported conducting some applied research in overseas developing markets. More than half of the respondents were planning to increase their overseas R&D investment (EIU 2004). The top 10 destinations included China (in first position), India (third) and Brazil (sixth). The next 10 on the list included three developing economies: Hong Kong (China) (thirteenth), Mexico and Singapore (sharing fourteenth place).

13. Recently, a growing number of developing-country TNCs have established R&D activities abroad. While some of them have targeted the knowledge base of developed countries such as the United States, an increasing number have also located their foreign R&D activities in other developing countries. A number of firms from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand have invested in R&D activities in India, particularly in software-related R&D (Reddy 2000: 97–103). More recently (in 2003), firms from India, Indonesia and the Republic of Korea, for instance, have invested or announced plans to invest also in countries such as Abu Dhabi, China and Singapore.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>10</sup> [www.ipaword.com](http://www.ipaword.com).

<sup>11</sup> [www.ipaworld.com](http://www.ipaworld.com).

## B. The drivers

14. The rise of corporate R&D abroad and the growing importance of some developing economies as locations for R&D-related FDI reflect the combined impact of the global economic environment (global competition), technological progress and improved policy environments.

15. In the global economic environment, a number of important changes have taken place. First, the technology intensity of products and services has increased significantly, making technology a key factor of competitiveness. Second, the complexities of global competition have increased with the advent of new, more differentiated products and producers, resulting in a need for faster innovation. Third, at a time when the technology intensity of products is increasing and the life cycles of products are shortening, R&D costs are becoming higher. More R&D costs need to be recouped by marketing products as widely as possible. That competitive pressure has opened the door to global product (and R&D) mandates within the corporate networks of TNCs.

16. Technological change has had a strong impact on the design and organizational patterns of R&D, leading to a proliferation and differentiation of corporate R&D units (box 3 on following page). Products have become “modular”<sup>12</sup> as “component interfaces are standardized and interdependencies amongst components are decoupled” (Prencipe et al. 2003: 85), allowing for the fragmentation of design and the specialization of knowledge creation in internal or external networks of TNCs. In addition, the emergence of new science-based technologies (e.g. electronics, ICT, biotechnology and new materials) has had a profound effect on the way economic activities, including R&D, are organized by TNCs (Cantwell and Santangelo 1999). The development of ICT has enabled companies to allocate tasks on a global scale through intra-firm information networks. The emergence of new technologies requiring less industrial experience has also created catching-up opportunities for developing countries with reserves of scientists and engineers. R&D in microelectronics, biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, chemicals and software development can be globalized more easily than R&D in conventional industries, as it can be geographically delinked from production. Moreover, in these new technologies, R&D itself is divisible into different modules, and these may be carried out in different locations. This facilitates the division of R&D into “core” and “non-core” activities. Some of these non-core activities can be carried out in low-cost countries or contracted out to other firms (Reddy 2000).

17. Improved host country environments have facilitated the globalization of R&D by TNCs. One set of policies in host economies has dealt with the economic bases of R&D activities in general, such as skills and capabilities development, the strengthening of supplier networks, the improvement of infrastructure and the development of science and research bases. Over the decades, some developing countries have trained a sizable number of scientists and engineers, sometimes at advanced levels. Various developing countries have also improved their infrastructure, education and innovative capability, which has placed them on the list of potential host countries for R&D location. They have similarly increased their R&D investment as a proportion of the gross domestic product (GDP).<sup>13</sup> Academic institutions in developing countries have established linkages with their counterparts in developed countries through exchanges and joint research projects, strengthening their knowledge base. In addition, the liberalization of trade and investment regimes over the past two decades has also contributed to the globalization of R&D by TNCs.

---

<sup>12</sup> Modularity is a general property of complex systems, including R&D, innovation and transnational production. These systems are decomposable, at varying degrees, into loosely related subparts and tightly interrelated components.

<sup>13</sup> For example, R&D expenditures as a percentage of GDP for the Republic of Korea (2.6% in 2002) were higher than in many developed countries.



### **Box 3. Types of R&D units**

*Technology transfer units* are closely linked to manufacturing units and are established to carry out adaptation of a parent's products and processes to local conditions in host countries.

*Indigenous technology units* are set up to develop new and/or improved products for local markets. They are often established when an affiliate identifies locally distinctive investment opportunities and convinces the parent company of its ability to implement such new product development.

*Regional technology units* are established to develop new and/or improved products for regional markets. These units serve the national markets in regional clusters that share some common features and needs for specialized products.

*Global technology units* are set up when a single product is envisaged for the global market. This applies, in particular, to two cases: (i) when a TNC has allocated parts of the product range to specific affiliates abroad and may also find it beneficial to carry out R&D relevant to that product range in the same place; (ii) when, because of the magnitude of resources required to develop a product range, it is more efficient for the firm to organize a decentralized but integrated R&D programme.

*Corporate technology units* are established to generate new technologies of a long-term or exploratory nature exclusively for the parent company in order to protect and enhance the future competitiveness of the company.

*Sources:* UNCTAD, based on Ronstadt, 1977; and Reddy and Sigurdson, 1994.

## **C. Issues for discussion**

18. In light of the above discussion, experts may wish to explore the following questions:

- What is the potential size of offshoring R&D to developing countries?
- Is offshoring of R&D likely to spread to new developing locations?
- In what industries/activities do developing countries have major opportunities to become locations for R&D-related FDI?

## **II. IMPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT**

19. Opinions differ on the degree to which TNCs' R&D activities help in building up local technological capacity in a host country. On the one hand, R&D-related FDI can directly benefit economic growth by stimulating, through the R&D activity directly undertaken by TNC affiliates, technological efficiency and technological change. The globalization of R&D by TNCs and their location in developing countries may result in what is often believed to be a desirable form of economic activities, to be sought actively by host countries. As TNCs gain control of a growing part of key knowledge and technology in new industries, such as microelectronics, biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, chemicals and software development, the scope for host countries to access them through contractual forms, as selected Asian countries (Japan, Republic of Korea) did in the twentieth century, may be reduced. However, it still appears possible to rely on a combination of equity and non-equity relations with TNCs.

20. However, the potential direct benefits of R&D-related FDI for host countries depend on the mandate and role of different R&D units (box 3). Technology transfer units can most often provide products and processes that are better suited to local conditions and contribute to training local technical staff. Indigenous technology units often provide products that are better suited to local needs and tastes; they can make better use of locally available materials, leading to more cost-effective products; and they have more potential to form linkages with the local innovation system. Regional technology units can establish strong links with the local innovation system, widening its capabilities, and they can help in the international specialization of scientific and technological capabilities. Global technology units and corporate technology units can transfer application knowledge to convert theoretical knowledge into tangible products and processes.

21. Host economies can also derive direct benefits from TNCs' R&D units through, for instance, (a) subcontracting and sponsorship of research to local universities, and (b) licensing of technologies for by-products to local firms. TNCs' R&D activities can also affect the employment prospects of trained people in host economies. Inflows of foreign R&D may help counteract the risk of brain drain from developing countries by providing more job opportunities for skilled people, especially in cases when local capabilities (firms and institutions) cannot create the amount and type of jobs that would respond to the needs and expectations of the local trained workforce. They may also help bring skills back to a country (e.g. in Ireland or Taiwan Province of China in the past, or in India today).

22. TNCs may, in some cases, contribute indirectly to upgrading technologies as innovations emerge and consumption patterns change. The potential spillover effects of TNCs' R&D activities could be categorized as follows:

- Encouragement of commercial culture among scientists and engineers. When R&D-related FDI started flowing into India, for instance, scientists in many research institutes started focusing on patentable research. Many of them have become entrepreneurs by forming start-up companies.
- Implantation of an R&D and innovation culture among local companies. For example, TNCs' R&D activities in India spurred an R&D drive among Indian companies, whose R&D expenditures and patenting activities have increased significantly in recent years. Some of these companies (e.g. software companies) compete directly with TNCs.
- Inflow of manufacturing-related FDI to commercialize R&D results at the same location if other conducive parameters are in place.
- Employee spin-offs of R&D companies.<sup>14</sup>

23. Central to the debate on the spillover impact of TNCs' R&D activities on host economies is the question of whether knowledge and skills can be isolated from their surrounding host environment in the long term. For some observers, the mobility of research personnel and the need for local procurement of staff, material and services are bound to diffuse technologies into the local economy.

24. On the other hand, the benefits from attracting R&D activities are far from automatic. In fact, in many situations, they may be limited if the foreign affiliates create too few or no local linkages to domestic actors. TNCs' R&D units sometimes create high-technology enclaves with little diffusion of knowledge into the economy. Moreover, with the fragmentation of R&D and the increasing specialization of individual units, the scope for transferring broad knowledge may be narrowing, reinforcing the enclave nature of R&D units.

---

<sup>14</sup> For instance, an engineer working at Hewlett Packard started an R&D company called Parallax Research in Singapore. This company now develops products for Hewlett Packard (Reddy 2000).

25. In addition, when investment into the R&D facility takes the form of a merger and acquisition, it may be argued that such a merger and acquisition entails a simple change of ownership, akin to portfolio investment, with lesser developmental value. Some take-overs could have an adverse effect on local innovatory capacities, as was illustrated in the 1990s by the acquisition of firms in the automotive and telecommunications industries of Brazil by TNCs. In this case, the result was a scaling down of R&D activities in the acquired firms (UNCTAD 1999).

26. FDI into R&D may also divert scarce local R&D resources of host countries from local firms and research institutions. FDI may, for instance, attract the best R&D personnel. It may also result in a high opportunity cost when scarce public resources are diverted to foreign affiliates at the expense of local firms and institutions. TNCs may also show more propensity to transfer the results of innovation performed in developed countries than to transfer the innovation process itself (UNCTAD 1999). These innovations may not benefit manufacturing and marketing operations in the host country, except in that its personnel would be more prestigious and creative (Pearce 1989).

27. Finally, the geographical concentration of corporate R&D in a handful of host countries within the developing world may raise concerns about the costs of marginalization of the rest of the developing world in the emerging global knowledge society. Without an adequate science and technology base, attracting corporate R&D and benefiting from it could remain a challenge for the majority of developing countries, rather than an opportunity. Weighting the opportunity costs of an R&D policy against the risks of further marginalization and increased R&D gap is a matter of debate for policy makers. However, the changing nature of R&D, and in particular the fragmentation of R&D activities by TNCs, could open up opportunities to a number of developing countries. All R&D is not necessarily at the higher end of the value chain. With the modularization of R&D by TNCs, some smaller developing countries, for instance, could specialize in niche areas to fit into the global knowledge networks developing around TNCs.

28. In light of the above discussion, experts may wish to explore the following questions:

- To what extent can developing countries rely on imported technology through mostly contractual channels, without FDI, to develop their own capacity to innovate, as Japan and the Republic of Korea did in the twentieth century?
- What types of R&D units and which technologies are the most desirable for developing countries?
- To what extent can FDI in R&D help reduce the R&D and innovation gaps between developed and developing countries?
- To what degree do TNCs' R&D activities tend to link up with the local innovation system?
- Do the increasing specialization of R&D units and the fragmentation of R&D activities lead to shrinking technology transfer and more limited benefits in developing countries?
- What is the impact of FDI in R&D on the labour force, especially trained employees and researchers? How does it affect local enterprises?

### **III. POLICY ENVIRONMENT TO PROMOTE R&D-RELATED FDI AND ITS BENEFITS**

#### **A. Host country measures**

29. The ability to attract and benefit from R&D-related FDI depends to a large extent on the policy environment in the host country. A stable and good general policy environment, including macro-economic and political stability, as well as consistent and transparent investment, trade and

industrial policies, are important for attracting R&D-related FDI. Good communication systems and other infrastructural facilities are equally important for the dispersed R&D activities of TNCs. Developing countries may have to improve their ICT infrastructure (e.g. access to the Internet). Furthermore, a well-developed national innovation system – a “network of institutions in the public and private sectors whose activities and interactions initiate, import, modify and diffuse new technologies” (Freeman 1987: 1) – can facilitate the clustering of economic agents in a given host economy, including foreign affiliates, local firms, and local research institutions. Specific policies may hence be required to improve the availability of local universities, professionals and researchers (particularly important for global technology units), create and nurture local knowledge development and improve the attractiveness of the sources of technical excellence (e.g. universities, suppliers) (de Meyer and Mizushima 1989).

30. Since TNCs tend to locate R&D in countries where there are reputed academic institutions, a major challenge for the national innovation policies of developing countries is to strengthen their academic establishment by recruiting adequate staff and provide it with adequate funding to carry out research. Universities should also be able to provide doctoral- and post-doctoral-level education in science and technology subjects. Such capacity building can take place, for instance, through partnership with the private sector. The participation of senior managers from both domestic and foreign firms in the governing boards of the academic institutions can be one way of strengthening such linkages by making the research more relevant to the industry (Reddy, 2000).

31. In science-based technologies, the difference between basic research and applied research is not always clear-cut. At least some innovation activities in these technologies can be carried out in academic laboratories. Many governments have established R&D centres to promote the technological upgrading of firms. In order to enhance the innovation capability and economic benefits through university-industry collaboration, the establishment of science parks may be important. Such parks may attract both local firms and TNCs to locate R&D, if the parks are established in proximity to reputed academic establishments and the staff in these academic institutions has the freedom to collaborate with enterprises (Reddy 2000). Some of the parks and business incubators, such as the Hsinchu Science Park in Taiwan Province of China and the Magnet Program in Israel, have been quite successful (World Bank 2004: 173). But when the dynamic interplay of entrepreneurship, R&D institutions, skilled labour, capital, and infrastructure is missing, the results are more mixed (Feser 2002; de Ferranti et al. 2003).

32. Performance requirements – either mandatory or voluntary – have been used by policy makers in various countries to maximize benefits from FDI (UNCTAD 2003). They have been used in particular to address concerns that excessive reliance on FDI could limit technological development, since R&D was perceived to be largely concentrated in home countries. Mandatory applications of R&D requirements, however, appear to be rare. It is more common to link R&D criteria to the receipt of various kinds of incentives – these are the so-called voluntary performance requirements (e.g. in Chile, Malaysia and South Africa, as well as in several developed countries). However, the results have often been limited because a firm is unlikely to set up R&D activities in the absence of local capabilities and technical skills to absorb, adapt and develop technology and know-how. Furthermore, performance requirements may carry the potential risk of losing would-be investors not wishing to comply with those criteria.

33. In the area of fiscal incentives, Brazil applies a scheme in which companies that invest in R&D are levied a reduced tax on imported products (EIU 2004: 13). India, Malaysia, Mexico, the Republic of Korea, South Africa and Taiwan Province of China are other salient cases of developing

economies' providing fiscal incentives to R&D (table 2).<sup>15</sup> Some developing countries have also used financial market interventions to encourage firms to pursue R&D, including directed credit schemes (Republic of Korea) and venture capital funds (Malaysia) (Kim 1997 and Yusuf 2003, respectively). Some studies have found evidence that R&D incentives were cost-effective (Shah and Baffes 1995, for Pakistan; and Shah 1995, for Canada, respectively). However, the literature on tax incentives in the developed countries<sup>16</sup> has found more mixed results in the majority of the cases analysed. The main reason for these findings is that, in comparison with the availability and quality of appropriately skilled labour, the provision of fiscal or financial incentives is of limited relevance for R&D investments.

**Table 2. Fiscal incentives for R&D in selected developing economies, 2004**

(Percentage)

Economy	R&D depreciation	R&D capital depreciation	Tax credit
Brazil	100	100	None
India	100	100	None
Malaysia	200	Same as other investment	None
Mexico	100	3 years' straight-line depreciation	None
Republic of Korea	100	18–20	10–25
South Africa	100	25	None
Taiwan Province of China	100	Same as other investment	15–20

*Source:* UNCTAD, based on World Bank (2004: 173).

34. One of the specific policy areas that affect the location of corporate R&D in developing countries is the protection of intellectual property rights (IPR), which is perceived by TNCs as a precondition for such locational decisions. According to corporate surveys, the protection of IPR is usually mentioned by TNCs among the top criteria in taking an R&D investment decision. In a recent survey, 38% of the respondents mentioned IPR as a critically important challenge, a higher proportion than for any other issue (EIU 2004: 5).

## B. Home country measures

35. While host country policies are crucial, measures taken by home countries of TNCs also affect the international allocation of R&D activities. For example, home countries may provide special incentives to their TNCs to locate R&D units in developing countries where such TNCs have assembly or manufacturing plants. They may also provide special tax concessions to their TNCs for R&D investments made in developing countries. The most common home country measures include support for FDI, training, matching services, partnerships and alliances, and support for equipment purchase or licensing (UNCTAD 2004a). Of the 41 programmes and agencies surveyed by UNCTAD in 2004 in 23 countries, for instance, 15 provide incentives to their enterprises to enable them to

<sup>15</sup> In this respect, they are following the example of some developed countries, such as the United States, Australia, Canada, France, Japan and the Netherlands, which offer tax credits, full expensing of R&D and even double deductions of some R&D spending (World Bank 2004: 178).

<sup>16</sup> See Hall and Van Reenen (1999) for a literature review.

establish R&D in developing countries. Of these, three have a technology transfer fund or a financing mechanism that is independent of FDI support measures (UNCTAD 2004a). This measure directly facilitates transfer of technology, and therefore could also be adopted by other countries.

36. However, the offshoring of R&D activities may also raise concerns in home countries, in spite of the fact that, in principle, the offshoring of R&D activities should offer benefits to all parties concerned. First, a large part of offshoring R&D activities continues to target developed countries. Slowing down offshoring could deprive such developed countries of FDI opportunities. Second, as is noted above, important reasons for firms to expand their R&D activities in lower-cost locations are to access skills and to lower costs. Protectionist measures to obstruct the globalization of R&D may therefore have adverse effects on the competitiveness of the firms involved, and, by continuation, their home economies. By all means, given the short history of R&D globalization, there is a need for further analysis of its implications for both host and home countries.

### **C. International dimension**

37. At the international policy level, issues related to FDI in R&D have been addressed in various manners, depending on the nature and purpose of individual international investment agreements (IIAs). The overwhelming majority of those agreements provide protection to foreign affiliates' R&D activities and their related products by defining TNCs' intellectual property as one type of the investment covered by the definition provisions of the respective agreement. These agreements contribute to creating an enabling framework for the globalization of R&D by TNCs. As regards performance requirements, some IIAs prohibit using R&D as a condition for the establishment of an investment, while some others explicitly mention that the agreements do not prevent a party from conditioning the receipt of an advantage in connection with foreign investment (i.e. an incentive) in compliance with a requirement to carry out R&D.

38. The WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement) does not expressly address issues related to FDI in R&D. But it provides an enabling framework for the protection of R&D activities (including their intellectual inputs and outputs) carried out by foreign affiliates, for instance, by promoting minimum international standards for the protection of IPR (e.g. patents, copyrights, trademarks, industrial designs and trade secrets). These standards are subject to most-favoured-nation-treatment, national-treatment and domestic-enforcement obligations. Some aspects of these obligations and standards, however, may limit developing countries' policy options for promoting the development of domestic innovation capacity. For example, the protection of foreign R&D activities through a patent may limit the possibilities for domestic industry to engage in follow-on innovation (e.g. if the patent is broad and covers elements the domestic third parties would have to rely on for their research).

### **D. Issues for discussion**

39. In light of the above discussion, experts may wish to explore the following questions:

- What kinds of host country policies can facilitate diffusion of technologies from TNCs' R&D activities to the local economy?
- What role can performance requirements and incentives play in promoting R&D-related FDI?
- How can IPR regimes help attract R&D by a TNC? If only part of the innovation work (and not the complete process) is carried out in a country, does the IPR regime matter?

- What role can investment promotion agencies play in attracting R&D-related FDI?
- What is the impact of IIAs on the ability of developing countries to develop their domestic R&D capabilities?
- To what degree is a general exception to competition/restrictive business practice rules provided to R&D warranted? Is the potential of a new product/service a strong enough justification?
- What measures can be adopted by home countries in promoting R&D-related FDI to developing countries, particularly LDCs?

## REFERENCES

- Behrman JN and Fischer WA (1980). *Overseas R&D Activities of Transnational Companies*. Cambridge, MA: Oelgeschlager, Gunn & Hain.
- Beintema N and Pardey PG (2001). Slow magic: Agricultural R&D a century after Mendel. ASTI Initiative, IFPPI. Mimeo.
- Brash DT (1966). *American Investment in Australian Industry* Canberra: Australian National University Press.
- Cantwell J and Janne O (1999). Technological globalisation and innovative centres: The role of corporate technological leadership and locational hierarchy. *Research Policy* 28: 119–144.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2000). The role of multinational corporations and national states in the globalisation of innovatory capacity: The European perspective. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management* 12 (2): 243–262.
- Cantwell J and Santangelo GD (1999). The frontier of international technology networks: Sourcing abroad the most highly tacit capabilities. *Information Economics and Policy* 11: 101–123.
- de Ferranti D, Perry GE, Gill IS, Guasch JL, Maloney WF, Sanchez-Paramo C and Schady N (2003). *Closing the Gap in Education and Technology*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- de Meyer A and Mizushima A (1989). Global R&D management. *R&D Management* 19 (2): 135–146.
- Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) (2004). Scattering the seeds of invention: The globalisation of research and development. London: EIU.  
[http://graphics.eiu.com/files/ad\\_pdfs/RnD\\_GLOBILISATION\\_WHITEPAPER.pdf](http://graphics.eiu.com/files/ad_pdfs/RnD_GLOBILISATION_WHITEPAPER.pdf)
- Edquist C (2000). Systems of innovation approaches – their emergence and characteristics. In Edquist C and McKelvey M, eds., *Systems of Innovation: Growth, Competitiveness and Employment*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Feser E (2002). The relevance of clusters for innovation policy in Latin America and the Caribbean. Background paper prepared for the World Bank, LAC group. Washington, D.C.: World Bank. Mimeo.
- Freeman C (1987). *Technology and Economic Performance: Lessons from Japan*. London: Pinter.
- Hall BH and Van Reenen J (1999). How effective are fiscal incentives for R&D? A review of the evidence. *Research Policy* 29 (4–5): 449–469.
- Hymer SH (1960). The international operations of national firms: a study of direct foreign investment. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, Ph.D. thesis.
- Kim L (1997). *Imitation to Innovation: the Dynamics of Korea's Technological Learning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kuemmerle W (1999). Foreign direct investment in industrial research in the pharmaceutical and electronics industries – results from a survey of multinational firms. *Research Policy* 28 (2–3): 179–193.
- Liu X and Wang C (2003). Does foreign direct investment facilitate technological progress? Evidence from Chinese industries. *Research Policy* 32: 945–953.

- Pearce RD (1989). *The Internationalisation of Research and Development by Multinational Enterprises*. London: Macmillan.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (1999). Decentralised R&D and strategic competitiveness: Globalised approaches to generation and use of technology in multinational enterprises (MNEs). *Research Policy* 28: 157–178.
- Prencipe A, Davies A and Hobday M (2003). *The Business of Systems Integration*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reddy P (2000). *Globalization of Corporate R&D: Implications for Innovation Systems in Host Countries*. London and New York: Routledge.
- \_\_\_\_\_ and Sigurdson J (1994). Emerging patterns of globalisation of corporate R&D and scope for innovation capability building in developing countries? *Science and Public Policy* 21 (5): 283–294.
- Ronstadt RC (1977). *Research and Development Abroad by US Multinationals*. New York: Praeger.
- Safarian AE (1966). *Foreign Ownership of Canada's Industry*. Toronto: McGraw Hill.
- Shah A (1995). Research and development investment, industrial structure, economic performance, and tax policies. In Shah A, ed., *Fiscal Incentives for Investment and Innovation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ and Baffes J (1995). Do tax policies stimulate investment in physical and R&D capital? In Shah A, ed., *Fiscal Incentives for Investment and Innovation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Stubenitsky F (1970). *American Direct Investment in the Netherlands Industry*. Rotterdam: Rotterdam University Press.
- UNCTAD (1999). *World Investment Report 1999: Foreign Direct Investment and the Challenge for Development*. New York and Geneva: United Nations. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.99.II.D.3.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2000). *World Investment Report 2000: Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions and Development*. New York and Geneva: United Nations. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.00.II.D.20.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2003). *Foreign Direct Investment and Performance Requirements: New Evidence from Selected Countries*. New York and Geneva: United Nations. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.03.II.D.32.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2004a). *Facilitating Transfer of Technology to Developing Countries: A Survey of Home Country Measures*. New York and Geneva: United Nations.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2004b). *World Investment Report 2004: The Shift towards Services*. New York and Geneva: United Nations. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.04.II.D.36.
- Vernon R (1966). International investment and international trade in the product cycle. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 82 (2): 190–207.
- World Bank (2004). *World Development Report 2005*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Yusuf S (2003). *Innovative East Asia: The Future of Growth*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Zander I (1994). The tortoise evolution of the multinational corporation: Foreign technological activity in Swedish multinational firms 1890–1990. Institute of International Business, Stockholm, Ph.D. thesis.