

# Capital Account Regulations and Global Economic Governance

UNCTAD – GEGI  
3-4 October 2013  
Geneva

*Capital Account Regulations and the Trading System*

Annamaria Viterbo  
University of Torino

# Bretton Woods

“It is widely held out that control of capital movements, both inward and outward, should be a permanent feature of the post-war system”.

John Maynard Keynes  
(Draft Plan, 11 February 1942)

“A good case could be made for the thesis that a government should have the power to control the influx and efflux of capital”.

Harry Dexter White  
(White Plan, April 1942)

## IMF v. GATT/WTO legal framework

	IMF	GATT/WTO
<b>Exchange rates</b>	Shift from par-values to choice of exchange arrangements (soft and not enforced rules)	References to the IMF Articles
<b>Exchange restrictions</b>	Rules clearly prohibiting their adoption, with a few exceptions (IMF Art. VIII)	Reference to IMF rules and determinations to assess restrictions (GATT Art. XV)
<b>Capital controls</b>	Use of capital controls permitted (IMF Art. VI) + IMF surveillance over financial stability	Limits on capital controls in GATS + fragmentation in trade/investment treaties

# Capital account liberalization as a by-product of the GATS principal goal

## Art. XI:1 (Payments and Transfers):

after undertaking market access and NT commitments for specific service sectors, a State has to liberalize the connected current and capital movements

## Footnote 8 to Art. XVI (Market Access):

capital controls on both inflows and outflows are prohibited for financial services provided under Mode 1, and for all services provided under Mode 3

## Footnote 8 to Art. XVI (Market Access)

- if a Member undertakes a market-access commitment in relation to Mode 1 and if the cross-border movement of capital is *an essential part* of the service itself, that Member is thereby committed to allow such movement of capital
- if a Member undertakes a market-access commitment in relation to Mode 3, it is thereby committed to allow related transfers of capital *into its territory*

# CARs may constitute a breach of:

- **Market access (Art. XVI + Footnote 8), *when the measure amounts to a ban or to a quantitative limitation***
- **National treatment (Art. XVII), *when the measure accords less favorable treatment to foreign service suppliers***
- **Domestic regulation (Art. VI)**

# GATS safeguard clauses

- **Art. XI:2:** capital controls can be introduced *at the request of the IMF*
- **Art. XII:1:** in the event of a *BoP crisis* and once a list of *requirements* is met, Members are allowed to introduce *controls on capital outflows* (unclear whether applicable also on inflows)
- **FSA Art.2(a):** Members are allowed to introduce *prudential measures* irrespective of their specific commitments

# The GATS *ex ante* coordination clause with the IMF legal framework

## GATS Art. XI:2

“Nothing in this Agreement shall affect the rights and obligations of the IMF members [...], *including* the use of exchange actions which are in conformity with the IMF Articles, provided that a Member shall not impose restrictions on any capital transactions inconsistently with its specific commitments regarding such transactions, *except* under Art. XII [the BoP clause] or *at the request of the IMF.*”



# The GATS BoP clause (1)

## Art. XII:1

“in the event of a serious BoPs and external financial difficulties or threat thereof, a Member may adopt or maintain restrictions on trade in services on which it has undertaken specific commitments, *including on payments or transfers for transactions related to such commitments.*”

# The GATS BoP clause (2)

## Art. XII:2

The restrictions shall:

- *not discriminate* among Members,
- *be consistent with the IMF Articles,*
- avoid unnecessary damage,
- not exceed those necessary to deal with the circumstances,
- *be temporary and phased out progressively.*

# The GATS Prudential Carve-Out

## FSA Art.2(a):

“Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Agreement, a Member shall not be prevented from taking measures for *prudential reasons, including* for the protection of investors, depositors, policy holders or persons to whom a fiduciary duty is owed by a financial service supplier, or to ensure the integrity and stability of the financial system. *Where such measures do not conform with the provisions of the Agreement, they shall not be used as a means of avoiding the Member’s commitments or obligations under the Agreement.*”