This policy brief examines whether robots will reduce the familiar benefits of industrialization as a development strategy. It argues that robots are not yet suitable for a range of labour-intensive industries, leaving the door open for developing countries to enter industrialization processes along traditional lines. At the same time, it suggests that developing countries should embrace the digital revolution.

The digital revolution, particularly the rapid march of robot technology, is making people more anxious. This anxiety is rooted in the perceived threat of robots to upturn the world of work because they are getting exponentially smarter and more autonomous. Most of the current debate on robots focuses on developed countries, but robotization clearly also concerns developing countries. On some accounts, the risk of job displacement through robotization is particularly high in developing countries.

From a development perspective, the big question is whether robots will reduce the familiar benefits of industrialization as a development strategy. This will be the case if robot-based automation makes industrialization more difficult or causes it to yield substantially less manufacturing employment than in the past. Should such expectations turn into reality, the commitment to inclusive prosperity called for in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development will be technologically subverted before it gets off the ground.

Robots and industrialization

Industrial robots are machines that can be programmed to perform production-related tasks without the need of a human controller. This greater autonomy causes industrial robots to dramatically increase the scope for replacing human labour compared to conventional types of machines. Rapid technological change reduced the price of industrial robots – as reflected, for example, in the reduction of the global price of capital goods relative to that of consumer goods – by some 25 per cent between 1975 and 2012. Unsurprisingly, the use of robots has grown dramatically, especially since 2010, when the global stock of operational industrial robots increased by more than 50 per cent, to reach 1.6 million in 2015.
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The figure links robot use in manufacturing with technical feasibility and economic profitability of robot-based automation. The vertical axis reflects the technical feasibility of robot-based automation, based on a routine-task intensity index for specific manufacturing sectors. It suggests that the technical feasibility of job displacement in manufacturing is highest in food, beverages and tobacco, followed by the textiles, apparel and footwear sector. The horizontal axis reflects the economic profitability of robot-based automation in manufacturing, based on sector-specific labour compensation (calculated from the Conference Board database). It suggests that job displacement by robots is more profitable in relatively skill-intensive and well-paying manufacturing, such as the automotive and electronics sectors, than in relatively labour-intensive and low-paying sectors, such as apparel. The sizes of the bubbles reflect the sectoral distribution of actual global robot stocks in 2015. Taken together, the figure shows that robots are concentrated in those manufacturing sectors that are on the right-hand side of the figure, rather than at its top. This suggests that, for robot deployment, economic factors are more important than the technical possibilities of automating workers’ tasks. However, technical and economic feasibility both appear to be important: the bubble with the largest size, transport equipment, is also the topmost of the four sectors on the right-hand side of the figure, and the bubble sizes increase along the upper right quadrant, as routine-task intensity and unit labour costs both increase. The figure also suggests that robot deployment has remained very limited in those manufacturing sectors where...
higher up on the skill ladder implies greater difficulty for latecomers in attaining sectoral upgrading, and may limit their scope for industrialization to low-wage and less dynamic (in terms of productivity growth) manufacturing sectors. This could seriously stifle these countries’ economic catch-up and leave them with stagnant productivity and per capita income growth.

Industrial upgrading could also become more difficult because it is in those countries in which global manufacturing has become concentrated, where robot deployment dominates: almost half of the operational robots are in Germany, Japan and the United States; China has quadrupled its robot stock since 2010; and the Republic of Korea has the highest number of robots per worker globally.

It should also be noted that some of the adverse employment and income effects that intelligent machines could create may well occur in countries that do not use robots. Robots boost companies’ international cost competitiveness, which may in turn spur exports and thereby make other countries bear at least part of the adverse consequences from robot-based automation, through reduced output and employment opportunities. Evidence for
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Germany and for Mexico’s auto industry shows that these countries’ increased use of robots has been accompanied by productivity and employment gains, but also by a growing export surplus in the most robot-intensive sectors (Trade and Development Report 2017, table 3.4).

Moreover, a key element in the distribution of gains from technological change is the return provided to those controlling the knowledge and the machines in which this knowledge is embodied. In the case of robot-based automation, the countries and firms that produce robots and those that own the intellectual property embodied in them will benefit from robotics more than other countries and firms. The little evidence that is available (Trade and Development Report 2017, p. 46) suggests strong geographic concentration of these returns – mainly in Japan, the Republic of Korea and Germany, as well as probably the United States, for which, however, no specific data are available.

**Conclusion and policy recommendations**

Robots are not yet suitable for a range of labour-intensive industries, leaving the door open for developing countries to enter industrialization processes along traditional lines. Developing countries should embrace the digital revolution, including by (a) creating the managerial and labour skills needed to operate new technologies and widely diffuse the benefits of their use; and (b) establishing Internet links between massive data storage and the computing devices that power the increased use of robots. Also, all countries need appropriate regulatory frameworks to prevent a few from taking most of the benefits, including when creating digitization-based new products and new jobs.

Any industrialization strategy will benefit from stable but expansionary global economic conditions driven by sustained productive investment and supported by broad-based global income growth. Such an environment is currently absent. In this sense, the novelty of industrial robots lies not only in their greater scope and faster speed of automation, but also in their occurrence at a time of subdued macroeconomic dynamism. This tends to hold back the investment needed for the new technology to create new sectors and absorb displaced workers and, hence, to bring about the benefits that have characterized earlier technological breakthroughs.