## Multi-year Expert Meeting on Transport, Trade Logistics and Trade Facilitation

Sustainable Freight Transport Systems: Opportunities for Developing Countries

14-16 October 2015

### TOWARDS AN ENVIRONMENTALLY-SUSTAINABLE FREIGHT TRANSPORT SYSTEM: SETTING THE SCENE

by

Mr. Alan McKinnon Professor and Head of Logistics Kühne Logistics University (KLU), Hamburg

14 October 2015

This expert paper is reproduced by the UNCTAD secretariat in the form and language in which it has been received. The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the United Nations.

# Towards an Environmentally-Sustainable Freight Transport System: Setting the Scene

Professor Alan McKinnon

Kuehne Logistics University

Sustainable Freight Transport Systems Meeting UNCTAD

> Geneva October 2015

## Climate Challenge for the Freight Transport Sector

#### 5<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report of the IPCC



#### Transport:

2010: 7 billion tonnes of  $CO_2$ 2050: 12 billion tonnes of  $CO_2$ 

2050 limit on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from all activity: **20 billion tonnes** 

To retain its 14% share transport must reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to

2.8 billion tonnes by 2050



freight transport = one of the hardest sectors to decarbonise

On a BAU basis, freight share of total carbon emissions likely to rise from

7% (2010) to 16% (2050)

## Potential for Decarbonising Freight Transport in 15 Countries: 2010 - 2050



Source: IDDRI / SDSN (2014) 'Pathways to Deep Decarbonisation

## **Other Freight Transport Pollutants**

Average Exposure to PM10 in 1600 Urban Areas (2008-2013)





Data Source: World Health Organization Map Production: Health Statistics and Information Systems (HSI) World Health Organization



© WHO 2014. All rights reserved.

Transfer of Green Freight best practice from developed to developing countries?

## International Variations in Green Freight Parameters



40.0 Vehicle Population (in Million Trucks) 36.5 32.5 31.0 Forecast growth of global truck 30.0 25.2 25.4 20.0 19.0 market: 2014-2024 16.0 20.0 14.6 14.0 10.0 % growth 2014-2024 0.0 2050 2010 2020 2030 2040 18 ICCT-Roadmap Huo, Wang 2012 1200 Croatia 16 India: bn vehicle-km freight vehicles Slovenia 1000 Costa Rica 14 800 Pakistan LCV Greece 600 12 Ireland 400 Uzbekistan Bulgaria 10 200 HCV Spain India talv 0 8 Malaysia 2010 2020 2030 2050 2040 atviá Turkey tonia 6 Russia Mexico 4 zech Republick China <sup>•</sup>Uruguy <sup>1</sup>Portugal 2 Average CAGR % <sup>2</sup>Romania 2014-2024 Brazil <sup>3</sup>Kasakhstan 0 250.000 500.000 0 50.000 100.000 150.000 200.000 1.000.000 Units sold BRIC EU ROW East Europe Asean South/Central America

## Source: Deloittes - 'Truck Market 2024'

#### Projected Growth in Freight Vehicles in China

# Scope of a Green Logistics Programme



### Analytical Framework for Green Logistics



Scoping of Logistics Interventions



logistics  $\neq$  transport



delimiting the boundary around logistics initiatives



## Plotting a greener logistics development pathway



Logistics interventions: challenges and constraints



# Future economics of greening logistics activities?



Adapted from Tavasszy (2014)

# Company targets to reduce the carbon intensity of logistics

	company	normaliser	time period	% carbon reduction target
_ <b>DHL</b> _	Deutsche Post/DHL	'every letter and parcel delivered, every tonne of cargo transported and every sq.m. of warehouse space'	2007-2020	30%
<b>DB</b> SCHENKER	DB-Schenker	Tonne-km	2006-2020	At least 20%
	UPS	UPS Transportation Index	2010-2017	5%
ups	UPS Airlines –Global	Pounds of CO <sub>2</sub> emitted for every ton of capacity transported on nautical mile	2005-2020	20%
FedEx	Fedex (aircraft)	available ton mile (ATM)	2005-2020	20%
TRT	TNT (Mail and Express)	not specified	2007-2020	45%
🔀 MAERSK LINE	Maersk Line	not specified	2007-2020	25%
NOVEN VOLEN KABELAINE	NYK	'unit of transportation from vessels'	2006-2013	10%
Source: McKinnon and Piecyk, 2012				



40% improvement in carbon intensity of global logistics between 2010 and 2020

Reconciling corporate carbon intensity targets with absolute targets of governments

EU 2011 Transport White Paper target: 60% reduction in **TOTAL** CO<sub>2</sub> from transport by 2050

# Assessing the effect of **external** factors on the decarbonisation of logistics TIMBER framework

categories of external factor



# Assessing the effect of **external** factors on the decarbonisation of logistics TIMBER framework

categories of external factor



Assessment of the Influence of External Factors on Logistics Decarbonisation



Europe:Germany / Austria / Switzerland, UK, Netherlands, France, ItalyNorth America:US, MexicoAsia:China, India, IndonesiaAfrica:South Africa

Professor Cees Ruijgrok (Netherlands)

Dr Jacques Leonardi (France)



University of Stellenbosch (South Africa) Mr. Sudhir Gota (India and Indonesia)

Publication of final report in November 2015



## **Professor Alan McKinnon**

Kühne Logistics University – the KLU Wissenschaftliche Hochschule für Logistik und Unternehmensführung Grosser Grasbrook 17 20457 Hamburg

tel.: +49 40 328707-271 fax: +49 40 328707-109

e-mail: <u>Alan.McKinnon@the-klu.org</u> website: <u>www.the-klu.org</u>



# Examples of General Recommendations to Companies TECHNOLOGY (T1-8)

1. Review available data (in main report) on potential carbon savings from truck technologies, future trajectories, uptake rates and cost effectiveness

- 2. Assess client expectations of future level of carbon emissions from their logistics operations and willingness to pay for low carbon technologies (LCTs)
- 3. Regularly recalibrate carbon modelling tools to reflect impact of LCTs, especially in countries with tightening fuel economy standards
- 4. Take advantage of any government schemes for vehicle scrappage and / or incentivising the purchase of lower CO<sub>2</sub> vehicles
- 5. Partner with vehicle and equipment manufacturers to pilot new LCTs in logistics
- 6. Exchange information with shippers / clients on new logistics-related LCTs , either directly or through green freight organisations
- 7. Establish joint initiatives with larger shippers / clients to develop / trial of new LCTs
- 8. Assess options for switching to alternative fuels: systematic reviews of the cost, quality, availability and carbon intensity of alternative fuels

# **INFRASTRUCTURE (I 1-6)**

- 1. Review available data (in main report) on infrastructural trends and developments and their likely impact on carbon intensity
- 2. Take full account of planned road improvements in the planning of DC locations and delimitation of their service areas
- 3. Assess the effect of planned changes in rail / water-borne / intermodal infrastructures on the relative attractiveness of a shift to lower CO<sub>2</sub> modes
- 4. Ensure that vehicle routeing systems are sensitive to changes in the quality / reliability of road and rail networks
- 5. Take account of fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions when planning vehicle routes and schedules
- 6. Work with shippers / clients to find ways of rescheduling deliveries into off-peak periods to minimise impact of congestion on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

Rating of TIMBER recommendations by ease of implementation and potential CO<sub>2</sub> saving



## TIMBER Scenario 1: OPTIMISTIC

<ul> <li>Technology</li> <li>Rate of technology advance and uptake in the logistics sector at upper end of projections</li> <li>More rapid diffusion of low carbon technologies (LCTs) to developing countries / emerging markets</li> <li>IT advances (e.g. analytics, big data and cloud computing) translate into much greater CO<sub>2</sub> efficiency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Energy</li> <li>Rate of decarbonisation of grid electricity at upper end of projections</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> generation biofuels shown to yield significant net GHG savings at affordable cost</li> <li>Extensive use of micro-generation at logistics facilities where climatic conditions are favourable</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Infrastructure</li> <li>Congestion minimised by capacity increases, improved infrastructure management and road pricing</li> <li>Prioritised investment in rail, inland waterways and ports promotes significant shift to these alternative modes</li> <li>Extensive gas refuelling and battery-recharging networks in place</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Behaviour</li> <li>Eco-driving is standardised by compulsory training</li> <li>Electronic monitoring of driver behaviour becomes universal</li> <li>In-cab guidance systems, smart cruise control and ultimately automation over-ride deficiencies in driver behaviour</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Market</li> <li>Large increase in horizontal and vertical collaboration in FMCG supply chains</li> <li>Full harmonization of carbon auditing and reporting by logistics providers and carriers</li> <li>Load fill and minimization of transport CO<sub>2</sub> prioritised over inventory reductions</li> <li>Competitiveness of rail-freight services enhanced by liberalization / privatization and corridor planning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regulation</li> <li>Truck size and weight limits are relaxed with measures to minimise adverse effect on rail's market share</li> <li>More governments introduce vehicle scrappage schemes to accelerate adoption of LCT across the truck fleet</li> <li>Proliferation and harmonization of national fuel economy standards for trucks</li> </ul>

## TIMBER Scenario 2: PESSIMISTIC

#### Technology

- Rate of technology advance and uptake in the logistics sector at the lower end of projections
- Diffusion of low carbon technologies (LCTs) to emerging markets restricted by cost factors, import controls etc
- Business practices and corporate governance prevent
   IT innovations from being fully exploited

## Infrastructure

- Traffic growth exceeds additional infrastructural capacity increasing congestion and related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Limited improvement to rail and water-borne infrastructures as investment in passenger rail, highways and aviation are prioritised
- Gas refuelling and battery-recharging networks are slow and expensive to develop

#### Energy

- Rate of decarbonisation of grid electricity at the lower end of projections
- Transport useage of biofuels remains low due to concerns about net GHG impacts, land use effects etc
- Low 'feed-in' tariffs and poor rates of return discourage micro-generation at logistics facilities

#### **Behaviour**

- Eco-driving remains patchy, particularly in the developing world
- Adoption electronic monitoring of driver behaviour proceeds at a slow rate
- Use of technology to control vehicle operation is resisted by trade unions and owner drivers in many countries.

#### Market

- Collaborative initiatives in the FMCG sector stabilise at a low level because of corporate inertia, managerial scepticism, legal constraints etc
- Comparing the carbon / environmental performance of carriers remains inconsistent and difficult
- JIT pressures intensify in the FMCG sector forcing reductions in load fill and transport-CO<sub>2</sub> increases
- Modal shift to rail continues to be inhibited by a lack of competitiveness in the rail / intermodal sectors

#### Regulation

- Strong resistance from the railways and environmental organisations discourages governments and EU from relaxing truck size and weight limits
- Governments are reluctant to commit the large sums requires to rejuvenate national truck fleets
- National fuel economy standards for trucks remain confined to the US, China and Japan