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Why is it important?

Understanding and addressing coastal transport infrastructure climate change vulnerability in Caribbean SIDS

Caribbean SIDS Rely on Transport Infrastructure

Tourism

50% of GDP

30% of employment

Goods Movement

food

manufactured goods

energy
Coastal Transport Infrastructure Is Highly Exposed to Climate Variability and Change

- Warmer temperatures
- Greater variability in precipitation
- Sea level rise
- Hurricanes and tropical storms

Climate change and extreme weather affect transport infrastructure

Historical climate events show the costs to and implications for transport services

- In 2015, Tropical Storm Ericka triggered flash flooding, slope failure, and debris generation in the Commonwealth of Dominica:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport Impacts</th>
<th>Economic Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- 60% of damages were to the transport sector</td>
<td>- Roads and Bridges Damages: US$288 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Floods/landslides damaged 17% of roads and 6% of bridges</td>
<td>- Airports Damages: US$15 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Both airports were flooded, damaging electrical equipment</td>
<td>- Airport/Seaport Transport Sector Damages and Losses: US$977,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Airport Operations Losses: US$14.5 million to airlines and US$80,000 to airports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Airport shutdown impacted the tourism industry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reducing Transport Sector Vulnerability in SIDS is Critical

Disruptions to the transport network can have immediate and severe consequences on:
- Development goals of the island
- Economy
- Health and lifestyles of residents

*The challenge of maintaining these critical services is already significant and will only increase as the climate changes*

Considering Climate Information Can Increase Resilience of Coastal Transport Infrastructure

- Historically, climate-sensitive investments and decisions assumed “Stationarity”
- Stationarity = climate conditions remain the same when averaged over a sufficiently long time period
- However, climate model projections and observations indicate *non-stationarity*
Considering Climate Information Can Increase Resilience of Coastal Transport Infrastructure

- Location and design of new infrastructure
  - Location
  - Elevation
  - Drainage capacity
  - Material
- Maintenance practices for existing infrastructure
  - Pavement repair
  - Culvert maintenance
- Capital and maintenance investment priorities
- Operational adjustments
  - Construction timing
  - Aircraft takeoff weights
- Emergency management scenarios
- Long-range planning

Framework Overview
Understanding and addressing coastal transport infrastructure climate change vulnerability in Caribbean SIDS
Framework Goals

Provide a:

- Structured way for organizations in SIDS to approach climate change adaptation
- Flexible, practical approach that uses available data to inform decision-making
  - Framework outlines a continuum of approaches that can be used depending on data available

Audience: Port and Airport Managers in SIDS

By following the recommendations and steps in the methodology, transport managers can work towards identifying critical assets, current and future vulnerabilities, and potential adaptation strategies for the transport sector.

Key Principles

#1: Keep the end goal in mind

The purpose of assessing vulnerability is to improve decision-making with respect to climate variability and change. If possible, identify specific decisions to inform.

#2: Work within data limitations

Data limitations—be they gaps in data on current assets, historical weather, future climate, or others—need not curtail adaptation efforts.

#3: Engage stakeholders

Stakeholder engagement is central to an effective climate change vulnerability assessment process and has multiple benefits, including:

- Help fill data gaps
- Build support for adaptation efforts
- Build capacity
Key Steps
Understanding and addressing coastal transport infrastructure climate change vulnerability in Caribbean SIDS
Stage 1: Set Context and Scope

1. Set Context and Scope
   - Set Context
   - Define Scope
   - Outline Governance Frameworks
   - Identify Stakeholders

2. Assess Criticality
   - Gather and Analyze Information on Facility Criticality
   - Identify Critical Components

3. Assess Vulnerability
   - Identify Vulnerabilities
   - Assess Criticality
   - Assess Capacity

4. Develop and Maintain Adaptation Strategies
   - Identify Proven for Green Infrastructure Alternatives
   - Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies
   - Develop a Multiyear Implementation Strategy
   - Capture Funding for Implementation
   - Monitor and Evaluate
1.1 Set Context

- What are the pre-existing stressors to the transport system?
- How does your adaptation effort fit into the development needs of the country?
- Who are the main agencies and individuals responsible for adaptation?
- What related work has been done so far?
- What decisions are we trying to inform?

**Saint Lucia Case Study Example**

Existing studies or assessments completed prior to the case study include:

- CARIBSAVE Climate Change Risk Atlas, Climate Change Risk Profile for Saint Lucia
- Second National Communication on Climate Change for Saint Lucia

1.2 Define Scope

Define the scope of the vulnerability analysis. Decide on:

- **Physical Scope**
  - What facilities to include? (e.g., focus on critical, likely to be vulnerable)

- **Temporal Scope**
  - What is the relevant time period for decision-making? What decisions are you trying to inform?

- **Climate Scope**
  - What climate stressors to include?
1.2 Define Scope

Jamaica and Saint Lucia Case Study Example

- **Physical scope** – Four specific assets in each country were selected on the basis of their economic and cultural importance to each nation.
- **Temporal Scope** – 2050s, to align with a 35-year long-term planning horizon, which corresponds with expected asset lifetimes and relevant long-term transport plans
- **Climate Scope** – Focused on coastal hazards of sea level rise and tropical storms; secondary emphasis on inland flooding and extreme heat

1.3 Outline Governance Frameworks

Outline the following for the selected transport facilities:

- **Ownership and Operational Framework**
  - What entities own and operate the facilities, and to whom are they responsible?
- **Legislative and Regulatory Framework**
  - What laws or statutes govern the behaviour of the facilities?

**Jamaica Case Study Example**
The study team consulted with stakeholders to identify legislative or regulatory constraints on the airports, such as:

- **Civil Aviation Regulations of 2004**: requirements for operations of aerodromes
- **Protected Areas Policy of the Palisadoes Peninsula**: policy to protect the sensitive ecosystem
1.4 Identify Stakeholders

Develop a list of stakeholders to engage during the assessment process:

▪ Port and airport managers
▪ Port and airport authorities (e.g., Maritime Authority, Airport Authority)
▪ Private sector operators (e.g., ship owners, airline representatives)
▪ Asset owners and operators of interdependent infrastructure (e.g., energy, water)
▪ Government agencies overseeing transport, environment, natural development, and disaster preparedness
▪ Meteorological service
▪ Local or regional universities
▪ International or other organizations who have done related work

Benefits of engaging stakeholders include:

▪ Help fill data gaps
▪ Build support for adaptation efforts
▪ Build capacity to address risks

Tips for Engaging Stakeholders

▪ Establish regular communication protocols
▪ Establish clear requests for stakeholders

Stage 2: Assess Criticality
Criticality is the overall importance of a facility or component.
2.1 Collect and Analyze Information on Facility Criticality

**Facility Operations Data**
- Volume of passengers
- Value of cargo transported
- Cost to replace or repair the facility

**Health/Safety Implications of Facility**
- Whether facility is necessary for hurricane evacuation
- Whether facility provides access to hospital or healthcare

**Interconnectivity Data**
- Whether facility provides access to economic centers
- Whether facility is necessary for power or communications systems to operate
- Whether facility is necessary to maintain access to water or food supplies

**Economic Contributions Data**
- Contributions of facility to tourism
- Contribution of facility to GDP
- People employed at the facility

*Not all data will be available within a reasonable timeframe or level of effort*

2.2 Identify Critical Components

Define the relationship of different components to the functioning of the whole facility

Port components may include:
- Docks
- Navigation channel
- Cranes
- Utilities
- Generators
- Buildings and warehouses
- Access roads
- Personnel
- Drainage system

Airport components may include:
- Runways, taxiways, and aprons
- Terminals and other buildings
- Air traffic control
- Communication systems
- Access roads and parking lot
- Utilities
- Personnel
- Navigational aids
- Weather instrumentation
- Drainage system
2.2 Identify Critical Components

Define the relationship of different components to the functioning of the whole facility

Port components may include:
- Docks
- Navigation channel

Airport components may include:
- Runways, taxiways, and aprons
- Terminals and other buildings

Jamaica Case Study Example

Critical components at Donald Sangster International Airport:
- **Runway**: This is the sole runway, therefore its operability is directly connected to the operability of the airport
- **Access Road**: The airport’s access road is the only way of accessing the airport

- Personnel
- Drainage system

- Navigational aids
- Weather instrumentation
- Drainage system

Stage 3: Assess Vulnerability
Choosing Between Vulnerability Assessment Methods

### Advantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualitative</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easily understandable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Useful for prioritizing action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Relatively low cost to prepare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Does not communicate complex or less obvious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aspects of vulnerability well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May be open to interpretation and therefore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>contain uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Does not directly imply the nature of adaptations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>• Helpful for informing cost-benefit analyses of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>adaptation options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Takes advantage of available data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Can communicate complex or less obvious aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Can be time and resource intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Can be long, technical, hard to follow and thus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not used effectively if sufficient outreach is not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May not have all desired data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Determine the approach based on the intended use of the assessment:

- To identify priorities for more detailed study
- To inform land use planning decisions
- To inform long-term facility plans
- To inform infrastructure investment decisions
- To build the economic case for adaptation
- To design adaptation strategies
3.1 Determine Facility Sensitivity

Sensitivity is the degree to which the facility is likely to experience direct physical damage or operational disruptions.

Establish General Sensitivity Relationships

Establish Operational Thresholds

Determine Impact of Crossing Thresholds

General Sensitivity Relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Hazard</th>
<th>Facility Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea Level Rise</td>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher sea levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of chronic flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Storm Surge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme Heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Establish Operational Thresholds

What is an operational threshold?
Level of weather conditions at which a facility or piece of infrastructure experiences disruption or damage

- In what conditions is the facility likely to experience damage or disruption?
  - Does the facility have official operational manuals that specify thresholds?
  - What conditions is it designed to withstand?
  - In which conditions has it been unable to operate in the past?
  - In which conditions has it been damaged in the past?

Why establish thresholds?
- Helps focus search for and analysis of climate data (historical and projected)
- Process for sharing and documenting critical institutional knowledge
- Informs monitoring and evaluation over time
- Helps develop practical estimates of risks over time

Establish Operational Thresholds

- In what conditions is the facility likely to experience damage or disruption?
  - Does the facility have official operational manuals that specify thresholds?
  - What conditions is it designed to withstand?
  - In which conditions has it been unable to operate in the past?
  - In which conditions has it been damaged in the past?

Spectrum of Thresholds

- Heavy precipitation reduces visibility
- Waves overtop dock
- Very hot days threaten perishable goods
- Standing water on runway
- Cranes can’t operate at wind speeds > 25 m/s
- Pavement designed to tolerate maximum seven-day temperature of 41.4°C (106.4°F)
**Examples**

**Identifying Thresholds: Sea Level Rise**
To obtain an indication of how much “room” the facility has to accommodate sea level rise:
- Measure the vertical distance between immediate coastal infrastructure (such as docks) and mean higher-high water levels.

**Identifying Thresholds: Aircraft Runway Length Requirements and Temperature**
Individual aircraft manufacturers set minimum runway length requirements related to temperature:
- Identify the type of aircraft that use the airport or might use it in the future.
- For major aircraft categories, find airport specifications on the manufacturer’s website.
- Read the tables for the elevation of your airport to determine how runway length requirements change with temperature.

**Takeoff Runway Length Requirements by Temperature and Aircraft**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boeing:</th>
<th>Mean maximum daily temperature of the warmest month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Day: 15°C 30°C 37.2°C 40°C 50°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737-600</td>
<td>2,134 m 2,316 m 3,048 m n/a 3,505 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737-700/700W</td>
<td>2,804 m 3,048 m 3,810 m n/a 4,572 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737-800-800W/BBJ2</td>
<td>2,377 m 2,469 m n/a 3,078 m 4,572 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This afternoon’s training will focus on identifying thresholds.
Determine Impact of Crossing Thresholds

- **Direct Impacts to facilities**
  - Duration of disruption
  - Cost of operational shutdown
  - Cost of repairs

- **Indirect Impacts and Losses**
  - Lost economic activity
  - Disrupted industries (e.g., agriculture, energy, tourism)
  - Lost ecosystem services

**Spectrum of Impacts**

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Moderate
- Major
- Extreme

- Rainfall > 200 mm in 24 hours causes closure for 3 days
- Each hour of closure costs $10,000
- Water elevations > 1 m would cause $2,000,000 in damage

3.2 Assess Current Exposure

How frequently the relevant sensitivity thresholds have been exceeded in the past?
- Meteorological data
- Anecdotal evidence/qualitative ratings
- Climate model hindcasts

**Saint Lucia Case Study Example**

The study team used climate model hindcasts to estimate how frequently the following thresholds were exceeded from 1970-1999:

- **Heat Index over 30.8°C with relative humidity of 80%**: 0.6 days per year
- **Days with temperature > 31°C**: 0.33 days per year
- **Rainfall > 20 mm**: 45.9 days per year
3.3 Assess Future Exposure

Estimate how climate change could affect facilities in the future

Two main types of climate data:
- Temperature, precipitation, and other hazards
- Sea level rise and storm surge

Tomorrow’s training will elaborate on gathering climate data to determine exposure to temperature and precipitation using a variety of methods.

---

Determine Exposure to Sea Level Rise and Storm Surge

Determine how much sea level rise may be expected.
Determine which locations might be affected using one of the following approaches:
- Review of pre-existing inundation maps and data
- Inundation mapping
- Qualitative assessment

---

Option 1

Pre-existing Inundation Maps and Data

Geospatial data and models can help identify the locations most likely to be inundated under different sea level rise or storm surge scenarios.

For example, see below map of Castries 100-year coastal flooding event with sea level rise.

---

3.3 Assess Future Exposure

Determine which locations might be affected by sea level rise:

Option 2

**Develop Inundation Maps**

Use the following to do your own mapping of potential inundation:

- Sea level rise scenarios
- Current tidal surface elevation
- Digital elevation model of the study locations – higher resolution the better
- LiDAR data limited in the Caribbean

![Graph of NOAA Global Mean Sea Level Scenarios for 2100](image)

How much SLR to plan for?

- May vary based on risk tolerance for the decision and lifetime of project.
- Lower risk tolerance and higher lifetime = higher SLR scenario
- Map literature-supported levels of sea level rise on top of mean higher high water

Option 3

**Qualitative Assessment**

Estimate potential flood risk areas using best available information and professional judgment.

For example, meet with stakeholders to identify low-lying areas and places that historically flood during high tide events.

![Image of people reviewing a map](image)

Photo credit: Cassandra Bhat, ICF
3.4 Synthesize Vulnerabilities

**Quantitative Example**
Identify how often operational thresholds are expected to be exceeded in the future and quantify impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Threshold</th>
<th>Impact Description</th>
<th>Quantified Impacts</th>
<th>Current Frequency</th>
<th>Future Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation &gt; 20 mm per day</td>
<td>Cranes at the port are unable to operate</td>
<td>6 hours / $60,000</td>
<td>2 days/year</td>
<td>4 days/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Qualitative Example**
Combine the information on criticality, sensitivity, current vulnerability, and exposure to identify the potential vulnerabilities using a vulnerability matrix, risk matrix (below), qualitative ranking, or vulnerability profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence of Hazard</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Synthesize Vulnerabilities

Quantitative Example

Identify how often operational thresholds are expected to be exceeded in the future and quantify impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Threshold</th>
<th>Precipitation &gt; 20 mm per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Description</td>
<td>Cranes at the port are unable to operate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantified Impacts</td>
<td>6 hours / $60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Frequency</td>
<td>2 days/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Frequency</td>
<td>4 days/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Risk</td>
<td>12 hours / $120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Risk</td>
<td>24 hours / $240,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitative Example

Combine the information on criticality, sensitivity, current vulnerability, and exposure to identify the potential vulnerabilities using a vulnerability matrix, risk matrix (below), qualitative ranking, or vulnerability profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence of Hazard</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Likelihood of Hazard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Almost Certain</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Unlikely</th>
<th>Rare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Severe Consequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Severe Likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Almost Certain</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Unlikely</th>
<th>Rare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative Example in Practice
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### 3.4 Synthesize Vulnerabilities

#### Quantitative Example

Identify how often operational thresholds are expected to be exceeded in the future and quantify impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Threshold</th>
<th>Precipitation &gt; 20 mm per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Description</td>
<td>Cranes at the port are unable to operate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantified Impacts</td>
<td>6 hours / $60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Frequency</td>
<td>2 days/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Frequency</td>
<td>4 days/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Risk</td>
<td>12 hours / $120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Risk</td>
<td>24 hours / $240,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Qualitative Example

Combine the information on criticality, sensitivity, current vulnerability, and exposure to identify the potential vulnerabilities using a vulnerability matrix, risk matrix (below), qualitative ranking, or vulnerability profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence of Hazard</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Consequence of Hazard

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Moderate
- Major
- Extreme

#### Likelihood of Hazard

- Almost Certain
- Likely
- Possible
- Unlikely
- Rare

#### Consequence of Impact

- Higher
- Lower
- No Change

#### Comment

- Tag boat most vulnerable. High wind - just shut down services/stay in port.

---

### Qualitative Example in Practice

**Avatiu Port, Rarotonga, Cook Islands**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Event</th>
<th>Consequence (Impact)</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Consequence of Future Climate Risk</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>Tag boat most vulnerable. High wind - just shut down services/stay in port.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Rainfall</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>More</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Waves</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>More</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>More</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Level Rise</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>More</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Cyclone</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>More</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Stage 4: Develop and Mainstream Adaptation Strategies

4 Develop and Mainstream Adaptation Strategies

- Assess Priorities for Closer Analysis or Adaptation
- Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies
- Develop a Multiyear Implementation Strategy
- Capture Funding for Implementation
- Monitor and Evaluate
What is Adaptation?

**Adaptation**: Process of adjustment to actual or expected climate and its effects, in order to moderate harm or exploit beneficial opportunities (IPCC)

**Adaptation enhances resilience**: Capability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from significant stressors with minimum damage

Recipe for Success in Adaptation Planning

- Serve now or later
- Augment as needed
- Variety of “flavors”
4.1 Identify Priorities for Closer Analysis or Adaptation

What are the adaptation priorities? (from vulnerability assessment)

Where do you need further information in order to act?
- Further analysis is useful where the costs of adaptation could be high
- Some adaptation measures can be justified from economic, social, and environmental perspectives regardless of the future changes in climate

4.2 Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies

Strategic planning & policy
- Airport/port strategic plan
- Airport/port master plan
- Land-use planning
- Utility planning

Infrastructure development
- Infrastructure siting, design specifications
- Construction budget and schedule

Program management
- Staff training

Operations & maintenance
- Maintenance schedules
- Annual maintenance budget

Emergency management & disaster risk reduction
- Worst case scenarios
- Proactive mitigation

Adaptation can apply to all levels of decision-making

Adaptation options may not be technologically innovative or climate change-specific; many will involve well-established technologies and management approaches applied wisely to address climate risks.
4.2 Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies

Types of adaptation strategies

- **Process Enhancements**
  - Provide warnings of extreme temperatures to minimize heat stress risks for workers
  - Plan for increased debris removal operations
  - Adopt a post-disaster reconstruction plan
  - Improve transition planning to ensure staff with more experience transfer their institutional knowledge to new staff
  - Track data on impacts over time

- **Ecosystem Enhancements**
  - Support sustainable land use and development to avoid slope destabilization and landslides
  - Plant vegetation around airport buildings to lower surface/air temperatures, and manage stormwater runoff
  - Support beach nourishment, coral reef protection, and nearshore seagrasses to reduce coastal flood risk.

- **Engineering Enhancements**
  - Improve cranes’ braking systems and wind speed prediction systems
  - Elevate structures
  - Harden shorelines
  - Protect exposed utilities
  - Increase drainage capacity
  - Install building energy efficiency improvements

Consider a range of adaptation options – one measure will rarely do it all.

---

**4.2 Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies**

**Identify adaptation strategies through:**

- Collective brainstorming with system and asset managers as well as relevant stakeholders to collaboratively brainstorm adaptation strategies
- Exploring relevant adaptation strategies proposed for or implemented locally or elsewhere
- Seeking guidance from relevant experts from both inside and outside of the refuge
4.2 Identify and Select Adaptation Strategies: Example

Port of Manzanillo\(^1\)

- A recent study analyzed the climate risks and provided an adaptation plan for the port.
- The recommended actions work within the context of planning at the Federal, State, and Municipal levels and provide a range of strategy types.
- The plan includes:
  - Measures that build adaptive capacity (Update plans for evacuation/business continuity during extreme events)
  - Operational Measures (Improve procedures for handling materials under adverse conditions)
  - Engineered/hard structural solutions (Upgrade sediment traps)
  - Ecosystem based measures (Continue efforts to preserve mangrove areas for natural flood defenses)
  - Hybrid measures (Adjust port facilities in response to changing customer demands and trade flows)


4.3 Develop a Multiyear Implementation Strategy

Consider Timing

- Near-term adaptation measures should
  - Effectively address immediate vulnerabilities,
  - Address highest priority impacts
  - Be feasible and affordable to implement quickly

Increasing concern to build resilience to climate change
Example of Phased Adaptation

- Incorporate SLR and other climate changes into design of new infrastructure opportunistically
- Identify data and research needs
- Incorporate CV&C considerations into long-range plans, establish policy to adapt
- Track frequency of climate-related disruptions over time
- Establish a pre-disaster plan to facilitate climate-resilient recovery
- Implement asset-specific adaptation strategies (e.g., protection, retrofits)

Example Process

- Identify adaptation options
- Check that you've identified a wide range of options
  - Include a variety of types of adaptation measures?
  - Include some that can be implemented quickly and some that will take time?
- Sort the adaptation measures into:
  - Near-term / simple to implement
  - Long-term / complex to implement
- Simplify complex measures
  - Split into multiple measures or scale back?
  - Phase through incremental steps?
- Identify near-term adaptation strategies
- Develop phase adaptation plan
4.4 Capture Funding for Implementation

A variety of entities provide funding for climate change adaptation efforts

Figure 1: Adaptation finance architecture (USD millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>UNFCCC</th>
<th>Other International Organisations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing Country Public Finance</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>WCIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Finance Institutions</td>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
<td>International Finance Corporation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Monitor and Evaluate

Establish a monitoring and evaluation implementation plan

Adaptive Management
- Iterative process for revisiting adaptation practices to adjust to changing conditions and increase resilience over time

Monitoring and Evaluation
- Considers unique factors related to climate-resilient development:
  - Changes in climate information / impacts
  - Unexpected observations in climate and non-climate stressors / impacts
  - New technologies / approaches that may be more effective
Example Information to Track

**Climate Changes**
- Water levels
- Frequency of threshold exceedance

**Climate Impacts**
- Frequency of disruptions
- Duration of disruptions
- Cause of disruptions (e.g., heavy rain, heat, tidal flooding, storm surge)

**Performance of Adaptation Strategies**
- How do climate impacts differ before/after interventions?

*To the extent possible (though this will likely be limited).*

---

**Effects of Monitoring Actual Costs (SEPTA)**

**FEMA/FTA-Reimbursement Labor Costs**

- Irene: $400,000
- Lee: $600,000
- Sandy: $1,000,000
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Sandy Damage

Photo source: SEPTA

Irene Damage

Photo: SEPTA
Lee Damage

Effects of Monitoring Actual Costs (SEPTA)

FEMA/FTA-Reimbursement Labor Costs

- Irene
- Lee
- Sandy
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Example: Using climate information in adaptive management

Adaptation strategy: Incorporate climate change data and trends into an airport’s master plan. Integrating climate change at a high-level will influence other sectors of the airport as trends are realized.

Monitoring climate trends:
1. Trends indicate that a runway is increasingly more vulnerable to flooding
2. Trends indicate increasing intensity and frequency of heat waves which threaten employee safety

Adaptive Management:
1. Re-evaluate lifespan of runway and consider adaptation options such as elevating the runway
2. Adjust protocol for employee safety such as changing work hours during high heat conditions

Final Thoughts

Climate Resilience is an Ongoing Process
- Adaptation measures take time and resources to implement
- Start with known impacts
- Achieving “zero risk” to climate vulnerabilities is challenging
  - Reducing risk over time is the key to success
- Our understanding of future climate conditions continues to improve and change
- Objectives and challenges change over time

Adaptive Management: Learning by Doing
- Flexible, ongoing decision making
- Involves:
  - Identification of climate-sensitive decisions
  - Reassessment of vulnerabilities over time
  - Versatile, scalable adaptation strategies
  - Assessment of progress in achieving resilience
  - Adjustments in adaptation as needed
- Incorporates new information and adjusts for uncertainty
Climate change adaptation is not easy. Decisions may be difficult. But we have to start somewhere. Follow a structured approach, break down the problem, and get commitment—either from the top down or bottom-up—to make real change and resilience possible.

Questions?