United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

MULTI-YEAR EXPERT MEETING ON COMMODITIES AND DEVELOPMENT

21-22 April 2016, Geneva

Primary commodity sector and trade policies

By

Ms. Ilaria Espa, Senior Research Fellow, National Centres of Competence in Research Trade Regulation, and Doctoral Programme Coordinator, Graduate School of Economic Globalization and Integration, World Trade Institute, University of Bern

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNCTAD.





Primary commodity sector and trade policies: what policy space under WTO rules?

Ilaria Espa, Senior Research Fellow World Trade Institute, University of Bern

Multi-year Expert Meeting on Commodities and Development 22 April 2016, UNCTAD



The National Centres of Competence in Research (NCCR) are a research instrument of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)





What is policy space?

• Defining policy space

the scope for domestic economic policies as framed by bilateral, regional and multilateral commitments

- need for an appropriate balance between national policy space and international commitments
- *De jure* vs. *de facto* policy space

- e.g. legal space for subsidization but budgetary constraints!







How is policy space translated in the WTO framework?

- Special and differential treatment
 - Longer transitional time periods
 - Unilateral non-preferential tariff concessions
 - Exemptions for LDCs
 - Part IV GATT on trade and development
 - Art. XVIII (b) GATT balance-of-payments
 - Art. XVIII (c) infant industry protection
- Flexibility
 - Art. XX GATT general exceptions
 - Art. XXI GATT security exceptions
 - Art. XIX GATT safeguards







What is the problem?

- Beyond-the-border effects of domestic policy space
 - In a context of South-South interdependence, policy space used to pursue legitimate policy objectives in the commodity sector in one developing country may adversely affect other developing countries
- Asymmetries in policy space across developing countries
 - WTO-plus commitments undertaken in accession protocols







An example: the case of export restrictions

- Beggar-thy-neighbour policies or developmental tools?
 - Export restrictions and price spikes: 2007-8 & 2010-11 food crises
 - Export restrictions on industrial raw materials (e.g. Chinese regime on rare earth elements)
 - Undisputed cases? (e.g. revenue-generating export taxes, price stabilization, value addition and local processing?)
- Asymmetry in the treatment of export restrictions in accession protocol provisions of newly acceded WTO Members
 - Not incorporated into GATT schedules except in the case of Russia, technically not export duty concessions!
 - Conditional applicability of GATT general exceptions (see China Rare Earths)





Possible solutions

- Multilateral negotiations of export duty concessions to be incorporated into GATT Schedules
 - The Russian model
 - Specific flexibilities for LDCs and small vulnerable economies
- Incorporation of existing WTO-plus commitments into respective Members' GATT schedules
- Applicability of relevant import-specific GATT economic exceptions
- An export safeguard mechanism based on price and/or quantity triggers
 - Thresholds could be diversified based on specific criteria (development stage, size of exports,)





Key messages

- Policy space in the 'classical' sense is explicitly provided for in the WTO legal framework
- Yet, policy space asymmetries among developing countries remain, which may pose problems to the attainment of development goals
- Efforts should focus on those asymmetries, possibly through, *inter alia,* carefully monitoring the WTO accession regime

