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Fossil fuels accounted for about 81 per cent of world primary energy demand in 2016.

Natural gas was the third most consumed energy sources in 2016.
Since 1990, CO₂ CH₄ emissions have been responsible for the bulk of GHG emissions (more than 90%).

Emissions of GHG into the atmosphere

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂) or Dioxyde de carbone
- Methane (CH₄) or Méthane
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O) or Protoxyde d’azote
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), perfluorocarbons (PFC) and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) or Hydrofluorocarbones, perfluorocarbures, hexafluorure de soufre
International action is now dedicated to:

« Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all” by 2030

PARIS AGREEMENT

“[…] Holding the increase of the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and […]

[…] Pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C […]”

SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THE SHARE OF RENEWABLES IN ENERGY MIX
Achieving ambitious goals, in the context of an increasing world demand for energy

1. Global energy consumption may expand by 30 per cent by 2040

2. The increase in global energy consumption is expected to mainly come from developing countries

3. Fossil fuels are expected to remain the main source of energy to 2040. However, a transition to a more diversified and environmentally friendly energy mix is under way.
   ✓ Natural gas: increase to 25% by 2040
   ✓ The share of renewables in the global energy mix is also expected to rise.
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Natural gas as a bridge fuel? PROS

1. Most experts agree on the global impact of natural gas with regard to its CO₂ emissions
   • CO₂ emissions from gas (per unit of energy produced) are lower than coal (40%) and oil (20%)
2. Natural gas is a flexible source of energy which may help meeting variable needs
   • Adapted to seasonal demand
   • Alternative source of energy during the deployment of renewables (e.g. wind)
3. Lifetime of methane in atmosphere is shorter than carbon dioxide
   • Methane = 12.4 years
   • CO₂ = more than 150 years
Natural gas as a bridge fuel? CONS

1. While natural gas emits less CO₂ than other fossil fuels. It is a source of CO₂ emissions when burned.

2. The main debated topic about GHG emissions from natural gas is the issue of methane emissions.

3. Global Warming Potential for methane is estimated to be 28 by IPCC over a 100-year time horizon.

   \[1 \text{ ton of CH}_4 = 28 \text{ tons of CO}_2\]

4. In 2015, about 13% of total methane emissions were considered to come from oil and gas operations (55% from natural gas operations).

5. In 2016, WMO noted atmospheric methane had reached a record high at 1,853 parts per billion, about 257% of its pre-industrial level.
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Shale gas, a game changer? World shale gas TRR, 2015
The United States are the largest shale gas producing country with more than 80% of world production and also the first natural gas producing country since 2009.

**Figure 12**
(Billions of cubic feet per day)

**Figure 13**
United States natural gas gross withdrawals from shale gas, 2007–2016
(Percentage of United States natural gas gross withdrawals)

Source: UNCTAD secretariat, extracted from the forthcoming Commodities at a glance, special issue on shale gas

On March 8th, 2018, the EIA announced that the United States reached a 16.76 Tcf shale gas production for the year 2017 and 37.4 Tcf in 2040.
The development of shale gas production in the United States is providing a new deal for the natural gas trade.
Shale gas, a game changer? Main bottlenecks

Some steps have been taken in other countries such as Canada, China, Argentina, the United Kingdom, Poland, Algeria or South Africa, among others, with limited success in most of them for the time being.

Learning curve may be long and costly especially in a context of:
- largely available shale gas quantities in the United States and other major producing countries
- low natural gas prices.

According to IEA, the United States will account for 2/3 of world shale gas production in 2040.
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Conclusions: We are entering a hybrid and critical period

The main trends:

1. **A trend to globalization**
   - The large development of the shale gas sector in the United States
   - Expansion of the trade in LNG
   - Long-term historical contracts appear to decline as well as OPE

2. **To serve as a bridge fuel, natural gas would need to**
   - Ensure its public acceptability, especially with regard to its footprint on the environment
   - This is particularly true with regard to methane emissions as well as hydraulic fracturing
   - Enhance transparency and reporting (e.g. fracturing fluids, leaks, methane emissions)
   - Moreover, reducing methane emissions is essential as they may negate the advantage of natural gas in tackling environmental issues in the short run
   - On the long term, the role of natural gas should be limited to fostering a smooth transition to a low-carbon economy
COMMODITIES AT A GLANCE,
Special issue on shale gas

To order your copy, contact:
Alexandra LAURENT at alexandra.laurent@unctad.org
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!
US Liquified natural gas exports capacities

U.S. liquefied natural gas export capacity
billion cubic feet per day

- Sabine Pass, Louisiana
- Cove Point, Maryland
- Cameron, Louisiana
- Elba Island, Georgia
- Freeport, Texas
- Corpus Christi, Texas

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, compiled from trade press