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Recalling Paragraph 1.6 of the Decision

• In the case of rules that allow a specific manufacturing or processing operation for the purpose of conferring origin, such rules should, as far as possible, take into account the productive capacity in LDCs.

• For example, in a number of cases the use of process-based rules for chemical products has made such rules more transparent and easy to comply with.

• In addition, for articles of apparel and clothing it may be simpler to demonstrate a substantial transformation using such rules instead of the equivalent change of tariff classification.
Questions

• Which WTO members are using specific manufacturing or processing operations to take into account the productive capacities in LDCs?

• Which WTO members are using process-based rules for chemical products?

• Which WTO members are using specific and processing requirements for textile and clothing?

• For which other sectors could specific manufacturing or processing operations be used?
Point of view of LDCs

• There is evidence that in certain sectors a percentage calculation or a CTC is not the best practice to determine substantial transformation

• Garments and chemicals are sectors where a rule based on specific working or manufacturing operations seems to be a best practice to have a RoO that is simple and transparent

• Can we identify sectors where a specific working or processing operations may be adopted as best practice?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country / group of countries</th>
<th>Use of specific working or processing operations</th>
<th>Sectors where RoO on working and processing apply</th>
<th>Comments / additional requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Community (EBA)</td>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>• Textile and clothing, some chemical and metals &lt;br&gt;• No chemical reaction rule</td>
<td>• Single stage in textile and clothing HS chapters 61-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>• Textile and clothing&lt;br&gt;• No chemical reaction rule</td>
<td>• Single stage in textile and clothing HS chapters 61-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>• Textile and clothing&lt;br&gt;• No chemical reaction rule</td>
<td>• Cumulation with all beneficiaries makes de facto basis single stage possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>• No</td>
<td>• None&lt;br&gt;• No chemical reaction rule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGOA</td>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>• Apparel&lt;br&gt;• No chemical reaction rule</td>
<td>• Single stage on clothing under the &quot;Special Rule for Apparel&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which WTO members are using specific manufacturing and processing requirements for textile and clothing?

- Canada, EU, Japan, US (AGOA) are using a single stage manufacturing and processing requirement for clothing.

- One single transformation from fabric to garments should be adopted for clothing of HS chapter 61 and 62 by all preference-giving countries.

- A similar rule should be adopted for textile products.
For which other sectors could specific manufacturing or processing operations may be used?

a) For agro-processing products substantial transformation shall be recognized when raw agricultural products are transformed into agro processed products. Ex. Process of canning of raw agricultural products into preserved food preparation.
b) For chemical products when a chemical reaction takes place.
c) In clothing when fabrics are assembled into finished garments.
d) In machinery and electronics when the assembly (not simple assembly) of parts results into a finished products.
e) In metals and articles thereof for processes such as coating, heat treatment, etc.

Others sectors where a specific manufacturing and processing operations may be simple and transparent?
Conclusions and Recommendations

- Single transformation stage on textile (ex. yarn to fabric) and clothing (ex. fabric to garments) should be used by all preference-giving countries.
- The LDC group is ready to submit some examples of best practices where specific working or processing could be used in some specific sectors.