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Session 3: Emerging issues in consumer protection: Complementarities and areas of tension

Questions raised by the panellists of Session 3

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNCTAD.
Part II

We’d like to hear your opinions!
PART II

Provocative Statements

DO YOU AGREE – Orange Card

DO YOU DISAGREE – Blue Card
Provocative Statement 1

Competition solutions to market problems may cause short term detriment to consumers in the interests of creating long term benefits.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 2

The goals of consumer protection competition authorities often conflict leading to problems in the market.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 3

Sectoral laws are beneficial to consumers because they ensure that multiple authorities are all looking after the interests of consumers together.

Agree or Disagree?
Problems on technology related markets are so specific to either competition enforcement or consumer protection that the solutions to these problems don't overlap.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 5

Consumer protection and competition authorities **successfully work together** to allow more consumer choice and/or competitors.

**Agree** or **Disagree**?
Consumers need to be protected from themselves.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 7

Consumer protection remedies may include disclosure of prices and other information. Such remedies do not lead to competition issues.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 8

Tying can save consumers money and time and therefore increases consumer welfare.

Agree or Disagree?
Provocative Statement 9

New entrants to a concentrated market should be permitted to apply more aggressive marketing tactics than the incumbent.

Agree or Disagree?
Thank you all for your active participation!!