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On the Tax Justice Network:

“TJN has done more than any other organisation to put fiscal justice at the center of the policy agenda.

Tax issues should not be left to those who want to escape taxes!

Changes will come when more and more citizens of the world take ownership of these matters. TJN is a powerful force acting in this direction.”

Thomas Piketty
Overview

- Why **tax?** The primary means of SDG implementation
- Country-by-country reporting
- SDG 16.4
- Data standards and opportunities
17. Strengthen the means of implementation...

17.1 Strengthen domestic resource mobilization, including through international support to developing countries, to improve domestic capacity for tax and other revenue collection.
Why tax? The 4 Rs

- Revenue
- Redistribution
- Re-pricing
- Representation
Country-by-country reporting

- Biggest economic actors globally are also most opaque
- Roots in the work of GEISAR
- Modern proposal: Tax Justice Network (Murphy, 2003)
- G20, G8 in 2013 require OECD to develop a standard
Country-by-country reporting

- **Identity**: Name, type of entity, place of incorporation and principal activity…
- **Locations** in which reporting entity and related parties operate
- **Value of sales** made by the reporting entity and its related parties in each state in which they are located split between *third parties and intra-group*
  - the value of **intra-group purchases** made by reporting entity and related
  - the value of **local resources, be they labour or natural**, utilised by the reporting entity and its related parties in each state in which they operate
  - the **corporate profits** generated in each location…
- **the taxes on corporate profit** paid by the reporting entity and its related parties in each state in which they operate
Country-by-country reporting

Which accountabilities?

- **Multinationals, general** (who are you, where are you and what do you do there? We know much less about multinationals than single entity with public accounts)

- **Multinationals, tax** (alignment with real economic activity)

- **Jurisdictions, tax** (alignment with real economic activity)
  - Undermining taxing rights of others?
  - Asserting own taxing rights?
Misalignment

Share of declared profit 'misaligned' from elsewhere
Target 16.4

By 2030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen the recovery and return of stolen assets and combat all forms of organized crime.
SDG 16.4.1a

An indicator of misaligned profits, based on OECD country-by-country reporting data:

The value of profits reported by multinationals in countries, for which there is no proportionate economic activity
Data standards and opportunities

- Crucial to avoid+reverse multiplication of standards – consistency is key for comparability and accountability

  - **OECD standard** flawed - but least flawed of those in operation (vs extractive sector and EU CRD IV)

  - **Global Reporting Initiative** draft standard: *much* stronger technically – traction now key
Data standards and opportunities

- UN ISAR role:
  - Confirm TAX before CSR, to avoid #SDGwashing
  - Set the standard for country-by-country reporting
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Defined for each jurisdiction, can be summed across some or all.
For each jurisdiction \( i \) we define the misaligned profit as:

\[
\chi_i = \omega_i \Pi - \pi_i
\]

where:
- \( \omega_i \) is the share of all multinationals’ economic activity in jurisdiction \( i \);
- \( \Pi \) is the global, gross profits of all multinationals; and
- \( \pi_i \) is the share of all multinationals’ gross profits declared in \( i \).
SDG 16.4.1a

Economic activity captured as simple average of indicators of production (share of FTE employees in jurisdiction, $\iota_i$) and consumption (final sales in jurisdiction, $\gamma_i$). We define, for all $i$:

$$\omega_i = \frac{1}{2} (\iota_i + \gamma_i)$$

We also use the label $\Omega$ for the global total of multinationals’ economic activity, and define:

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i; \text{ and}$$

$$\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i$$

It follows that the global sum of misaligned profits, $X$, is equal to zero:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_i = 0$$
SDG 16.4.1a

We propose that the profit misalignment indicator for use in SDG target 16.4 is the global sum of positively misaligned profits – that is, the total excess profits declared in jurisdictions with a greater share of profits than would be aligned with their share of economic activity.

Equivalently, this can be calculated as half the sum of the absolute values of misaligned profit:

\[
SDG_{16.4.1a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\chi_i| \quad \text{...(2)}
\]

Underlying jurisdiction-level misalignment measures = accountability.