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Three ideas for discussion

1. SDGs Reporting practices
2. Impact reporting is the next frontier
3. Challenges for assurance
This survey finds N100 companies continue to catch up steadily with the G250.

Growth in global CR reporting rates since 1993

Base: 1765 N100 companies that report carbon reduction targets, 156 G250 companies that report carbon reduction targets

Note: The underlying trend of 75 percent applies when looking at the same sample of countries in 2015 and 2017. The overall N100 rate in 2017 is 72 percent due to the inclusion of 5 new countries with relatively low reporting rates in the 2017 research.

Source: KPMG Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting 2017
**Executive summary**

**Key statistics on SDG reporting by the world’s largest companies:**

Four in ten (40%) of the world’s 250 largest companies currently discuss the SDGs in their corporate reporting.

Large companies in Germany, France and the UK are significantly more likely to report on the SDGs than companies in other countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Reporting SDGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Large companies in consumer facing sectors such as Utilities and Automotive are more likely to report on the SDGs than those in heavy industry sectors like Manufacturing and Oil & Gas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Reporting SDGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology, Media &amp; Telecommunications</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials, Manufacturing &amp; Metals</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Gas</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Companies are paying the most attention to the following three SDGs (prioritized by 55 percent or more of reporting companies):

1. Climate Action
2. Decent Work and Economic Growth
3. Good Health and Well-Being

Companies are paying the least attention to the following three SDGs (prioritized by 26 percent or less of reporting companies):

15. Life on Land
2. Zero Hunger
14. Life Below Water

---
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**Executive summary**

**SDG reporting by the G250: a report card**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting quality criteria</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting the business case for SDG action</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>This is an important area for improvement – less than one in ten reporting companies currently makes the business case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussing the SDGs in the CEO/Chair’s message</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Discussing the SDGs in leadership messages is still some way from being standard practice. This is a relatively simple way for many companies to improve their reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing the business’s impacts on the SDGs</td>
<td>A(-)</td>
<td>Most reporting companies discuss their SDG impacts but reporting is largely unbalanced, focusing on the positive but not the negative. Credible reporting requires better balance, hence the A minus grade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritizing the most relevant SDGs for the company</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A majority of companies do prioritize the SDGs they consider most relevant to their business. However, a quarter identify all 17 SDGs as priorities. It can be challenging for businesses to plan and implement meaningful action on such a wide range of goals. KPMG professionals encourage clients to focus attention on a smaller number of SDGs where they can have the biggest impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosing the method used to prioritize the SDGs</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Just over half the reporting companies explain how they prioritize the SDGs so there is room for improvement here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying specific SDG targets relevant to the business</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Only one in five companies has gone beyond the 17 overall SDGs to identify the underlying targets they will focus on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting SDG performance goals for the business</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Reporting cycles may account to some extent for the lower performance in this area. However, the research suggests that many companies are finding it challenging to translate well-intentioned support for the SDGs into specific, actionable and measurable business goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting SMART performance goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosing the indicators used to measure SDG performance</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A = done by 70 percent or more of reporting companies, B = done by 50 percent or more, C = done by 30 percent or more, D = done by less than 30 percent**
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1. SDGs Reporting practices
2. Impact reporting is the next frontier
Evolution of climate-related disclosures

First Generation
GHG inventory
Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, GHG protocol (2001)
Global standardized frameworks to measure and manage greenhouse gas emissions from operations, value chains and mitigation actions. Direct, indirect and induced emissions

Second Generation
Integrating into the management
FSB Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) (2017)
TCFD considers the physical, liability and transition risks associated with climate change and what constitutes effective financial disclosures across industries. Governance – Risk – Strategy - Metrics

Third Generation
IMPACT REPORTING
Next generation of climate disclosures
Indicators and information systems on climate impacts on companies are robust enough to assess the risks and opportunities of climate change in a similar way to other business risks.

Fourth Generation
LONG TERM VALUE REPORTING
Future generation of climate disclosures
the information on the effects and the consequences of climate change provided by the companies allows purchasing/investment decision making by citizens.

Drivers
Evolution
Main Audiences
Focus
Materiality
Comparability

Report
Management

Sustainability functions
Voluntary disclosure

Company’s Management
Better understanding about risk and opportunities

Financial institutions
Requirement for specific sectors for better risk assessment

Stakeholders
Need to be part of the information embed in products/services
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3. Challenges for assurance
Challenges in non-financial reporting assurance…

Credibility = Relevance \times Reliability

- Content materiality
  [the tragedy of …]
- Internal control
  [nature of metrics]
For the purposes of , a matter is material if it is of such relevance and importance that it could \textbf{substantively influence} the assessments of providers of financial capital with regard to the organization’s ability to create value over the short, medium and long term.
Internal control is still weak, processes are mostly manual

My five most frequent findings in sustainability assurance engagements

- **Internal control:** Adequate segregation of duties – 2º and 3rd LoD
- **Accuracy:** Correct calculations, absence of transcription errors
- **Comprehensiveness:** Complete information
- **Consistency:** Among the definitions and criteria in the whole group
- **Traceability:** It is possible to reconstruct the final data from the initial variables
Thank you
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