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Key Features of the Global Core Indicators (GCI)

- The ISAR GCI enable standardized and comparable metrics across Companies and Countries.
- Resulted from extensive Multi-stakeholder consultations and incorporate key metrics such as the G20’s TFCD indicators.
- Provide a quantitative alternative to biased ESG metrics that lack standards and foment ‘greenwashing’.
- Limited number of indicators make it more feasible to collect data at a global level.
- Connects Company Sustainability indicators to Country Macro SDG indicators.
- Big Data, Crowdsourcing and AI-driven tools can be an important tool for data collection.
RELEVANCE OF THE GCI FOR GOVERNMENTS
Relevance of the GCI for Governments

- Useful for National Statistics Offices and Regulators to monitor the private sector contribution towards the SDGs for Country Indicator 12.6.1
- Informs the Voluntary National Reports (VNRs)
- Input for Country ESG Ratings
The report evaluation of core indicators is completed by utilizing Natural Language Processing and Machine Learning techniques on company’s sustainability reports to qualify the reports in the following two perspectives:

1) Levels of report completeness that examines the absence and presence of relevant text and values of each core indicators - 0 means no relevant text nor values mentioned; 1 means relevant values mentioned; 2 means both relevant text and values mentioned

2) Extraction of values of core indicators with high relevancy

Distribution and percentage of reporting completeness over all indicators, and relative ranking are offered by variety of perspectives, such as geographical and sectional ones.
ISAR GCI Reporting Completeness by Indicator

https://sdgpulse.unctad.org/sustainability

Figure 5. Compliance with sustainability reporting by UNCTAD Core Indicators
(Percentage)

ISAR GCI Reporting Completeness by Country

https://sdgpulse.unctad.org/sustainability

Map 2. Compliance with sustainability reporting, country averages, March 2019
(Percentage)

Notes: Countries with less than five reports available in the United Nations Global Compact database were excluded.
ISAR GCI Reporting Completeness by Region

https://sdgpulse.unctad.org/sustainability

Figure 6. Compliance with sustainability reporting, regional averages
(Percentage)

ISAR GCI Transparency Ratings by Region

GCI as a key input for Country ESG/SDG Ratings which influence portfolio and FDI investment decision
RELEVANCE OF THE GCI FOR CORPORATIONS
Relevance of the GCI for Corporations

- Core Strategy & Source of Competitive Advantage
- Monitor factors that influence Asset Owners investment allocation and engagement strategies
- Transparency Ratings to incentivize companies to increase their disclosure
ISAR GCI Transparency Ratings by Company

GCI as a key input for Company ESG/SDG Ratings which influence portfolio inflows, valuation and asset owner’s engagement and proxy voting strategies.
ISAR GCI Transparency Ratings by GICS Sector

GCI as a key input for Company ESG/SDG Ratings which influence portfolio inflows, valuation and asset owner’s engagement and proxy voting strategies.
RELEVANCE OF THE GCI FOR INVESTORS
Relevance of the GCI for Investors

- Input for SDG taxonomies to perform portfolio SDG attribution and optimize risk-return-impact
- Higher valuation of companies that are more sustainable
- Asset Owners Engagement
Sustainability & Company Valuation

There is a statistically significant relationship between Sustainability scores that incorporate the ISAR GCI data and companies valuation and fundamental ratios.

For example, the following graph shows that industry sectors with high Sustainability scores across all sectors tend to have higher valuations and lower cost of capital.
Sustainability Scores for Long-Short Strategies

The following graph shows the Sustainability footprint of a portfolio versus the benchmark which incorporates the ISAR GCI Taxonomy and other factors. This includes both positive and negative scores and enables the assessment of the net SDG footprint of the portfolio.

This also enables the implementation of SDG-tilted, thematic and other asset allocation strategies.
SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATED GCI DATA COLLECTION
System for Automated GCI Data Collection

- Framework to collect missing Country private sector data for SDG indicator 12.6.1 in a scalable, efficient and economic way.
- The algorithm will automatically generate and send surveys, evaluate the responses and sends follow-up questions, updates a central database, calculates transparency ratings, generates sector and GCI-specific statistics in a dashboard to help users visualize trends and information gaps.
- The surveys will include both the GCI questions and the optional ‘advanced’ level survey, dashboards that show GCI data gaps per Country, and trends over time.
- Country-specific template which can be managed by National Statistics Offices and Regulators in Pilot Countries, and then can be easily replicated across all UN Member Countries. Currently open for engaging Pilot Countries.
System for Automated GCI Data Collection

- Automated GCI Survey Submission
- Data Collected
- Data Analyzed & Validated
- Transparency Ratings Generated
- Dashboard & Heatmap to Monitor Trends
- Additional Questions for Missing Data & Invalid Responses