

Debt Issues of the Trade and Development Report

by

Mr. Juan H. Flores Zendejas

Associate Professor and Head, the Paul Bairoch Institute of Economic History, University of Geneva

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNCTAD

MFAS

Sovereign defaults in history: the 19th century experience

Juan H. Flores Zendejas University of Geneva 23 November 2015 10th UNCTAD - Debt Management Conference,

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE LA SOCIÉTÉ



E GENÈVE

Sovereign defaults in history

Sovereign External Debt: 1800-2012 Percent of Countries in Default or Restructuring (66-country sample)



FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE LA SOCIÉTÉ

60







19th century London Stock Exchange

The context:

- Main financial centre in the world
- Free capital flows and limited government intervention
- The market
 - Sophisticated financial intermediaries
 - Dynamic legal framework (General Purpose Committee acting as a Court of Arbitration)



Success or failure?

Success:

- Continuous expansion of the market
- Promoted international (bilateral) trade
- Capital invested in infrastructure
- O But:
 - High frequency of defaults
 - Difficult access to the market
 - O Defaults could be costly



Legal framework

Information availability and creditors' rights

- Hold-outs excluded since 1827
 - Simple majority of bondholders were required to agree (*de facto* a "Collective Action Clause")
 - No discrimination between bondholder groups possible



Gunboat diplomacy

- To a certain extent; but British government refused intervention unless other geopolitical interests at stake
 - Averted moral hazard no "privatized gains and socialized losses"



Active market

- Agreements between governments (absolute) sovereign immunity) and bondholders associations
 - Corporation of Foreign Bondholders since 1868; could monitor custom duties, agents at ports; but corruption and insider trading
- Falling rescheduling times
- Variability of haircuts; lowest when certain merchant banks were implicated

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE LA SOCIÉTÉ



E GENÈVE

Reschedulings and conditionality

- 1890s decade of defaults
 - Argentina
 - 🖉 Uruguay
 - 🧑 Portugal
 - Ø Greece
 - 🟉 Brazil



Conditionality since 1890: influence on economic policy

- 1890: Rothschild committee required a set of conditions to Argentina's government
 - Restrict money supply,
 - ✓ Fiscal balance,
 - Increases in tariffs

 (payments in gold) and
 debt monitoring

- ✓ Success?
 - Compliance: (almost) total – though agreement renegotiated in 1892
 - Economic growth: output losses in the short-term, but positive in the longterm



Other cases

 Greece 1893 (Hambro)
 Compliance: None
 Economic growth: low in the short and medium-term. New bail out in 1898
 Establishment of an International

Financial Commission

- ✓ Brazil 1898 (Rothschild)
 - Compliance: total
 - Economic growth: low in the short and long term. New bail out in 1914



Capital flows and economic growth 1870-1913





Did sovereign debt markets promote economic development?

They sustained the export sector

- Consensus on macroeconomic management
- But a relatively closed markets



Sovereign debt reschedulings

- Profitable market; permitted expansion of sovereign debt market
- Legal loopholes remained (ex. debt and State succession; use of force; arbitration clauses)
- Consideration of repayment capacity only occasional