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To develop a diagnosis to confirm if these artificial and fraudulent offshore structures were isolated cases or if it was a common practice.

To develop tax-customs integrated actions to tackle illicit financial flows in international trade transactions.

i. Background: the global agenda and the Brazilian case study on IFFs

- **Federal Police Report**
  - under-invoiced exports to fictitious companies located in tax havens.

- **RFB investigation (specific cases)**
  - under-valued export transactions to intermediary P.O. Box companies located in tax havens* likely have been used as a mechanism to **conceal the actual foreign importer** and **conceal trade profits in lower-tax jurisdictions**.
ii. IFFs: the Brazilian case study

Trade gap:
- The discrepancy or trade gap between the under-valued invoice declared in Brazil and the actual value declared in the U.S. is of 237.22%.

Crimes and Penalties:
- **Fraud + tax evasion + financial crimes** (false documents, fraudulent trade transactions, concealment of profits in tax haven, trade mis-invoicing)
- **Collection of evaded taxes with a fine of 150%**
- **Customs fine of 100% over the customs value** (concealment of actual foreign importer)
- **Report to the Federal Prosecution Service**
iii. IFFs: components and channels

- **Tax-related illicit financial outflows** channeled via trade mis-invoicing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow (channel)</th>
<th>Manipulation</th>
<th>Illicit motivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exports</td>
<td>over-invoicing</td>
<td>(Re)patriate undeclared capital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trade mis-invoicing:** fraudulent mis-reporting of key information on an invoice (e.g. price, quantity of goods, nature or quality of goods, the actual buyer or seller, etc) for the purpose of facilitating illicit cross-border financial flows.
The central idea is that because illicit financial flows are, by definition, hidden, the likelihood of an illicit component increases with the degree of financial opacity in any given transaction. **The higher the degree of opacity of trade partner jurisdictions, the greater the risk a financial flow is illicit.**


**IFF = triangular transaction + financial opacity + favorable taxation rules**
iv. IFFs: definitions and risk analysis approach

- Categories of risk exposure to an IFF in trade transactions:

  - **Low risk of exposure to an IFF**: direct exports or imports whose country of acquisition/sale is *not a tax haven* or privileged tax regime.

  - **Medium risk of exposure to an IFF**: triangular exports or imports whose country of acquisition/sale is *not a tax haven* or privileged tax regime.

  - **High risk of exposure to an IFF**: triangular or direct exports or imports whose country of acquisition/sale is a *tax haven* or privileged tax regime.
v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (SISCOMEX)

- Historical trend charts of IFFs risk exposure (trade database 1997-2017)
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v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (SISCOMEX)

### Triangular export transactions (2012-2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>224,547</td>
<td>10,824</td>
<td>1,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>155,776</td>
<td>152,155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>108,619</td>
<td>78,456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>85,484</td>
<td>95,356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>63,988</td>
<td>0,606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>59,426</td>
<td>16,255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>55,732</td>
<td>15,876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>50,126</td>
<td>20,897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>43,637</td>
<td>0,890</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks and Caicos</td>
<td>32,405</td>
<td>0,020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Virgin Islands</td>
<td>31,394</td>
<td>0,204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Triangular transactions with secrecy jurisdictions is the prevailing pattern observed on export transactions and is considered to be at **high risk exposure to an IFF via trade mis-invoicing**.
- These triangular structures may have been used as a **channel to transfer and conceal profits** in lower-tax jurisdictions and **reduce tax liabilities in Brazil** or may have been used to **shift proceeds of corruption out of Brazil**.

**Financial Flow /Goods Flow**

**Financial Flow or country of acquisition** (in billions of US dollars)

**Goods Flow or country of destination** (in billions of US dollars)

v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (SISCOMEX)

- Export transactions of mineral and agricultural commodities (2017)

Bubble chart displaying the pattern of triangular transactions with tax havens or differential tax regimes on Brazilian mineral and agricultural commodity export transactions. Year: 2017. Source: Federal Revenue and Customs Services of Brazil.
v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (SISCOMEX)

- Export transactions of soy beans and derivatives (2017)

Bubble chart displaying the pattern of triangular transactions with tax havens or privileged tax regimes on Brazilian soybean and derivatives export transactions. Year: 2017. Source: Federal Revenue and Customs Services of Brazil.
v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (UN COMTRADE)

- Trade gaps by commodity and by country of destination on export transactions (aggregation at the 6-digit level of the HS Code)

  Source: UN COMTRADE and SISCOMEX Database, Federal Revenue and Customs Services of Brazil.

  Source: UN COMTRADE and SISCOMEX Database, Federal Revenue and Customs Services of Brazil.

- Trade gap = (Exports Brazil) – (Imports Country of destination)
v. IFFs: data and risk exposure analysis (NEXT STEPS)

- Develop **risk indicators** and conduct exploratory data analysis and descriptive statistics using data from the **CbC Report, Common Reporting Standard (CRS), Tax Rulings** and **Foreign Exchange** (Central Bank);

- Supervised (e.g. logistic regression, etc.) and unsupervised statistical techniques (e.g. clustering, etc.);

- Graphic analysis.

- Data mining and artificial intelligence algorithms (enhanced risk assessment).
vi. Automatic exchange of transaction-level trade data (NEXT STEPS)

- **Paradigm:** Mercosul Treaty and INDIRA System.

- **Real-time risk assessment** of IFFs via trade mis-invoicing and prevent the fraudulent manipulation of trade transactions.

- **Exchange information of trade data with trade partners** to identify anomalies and discrepancies that warrant further investigation.

- **b-Connect:** prototype based on blockchain technology to provide transparency of global trade logistics and global value chains.
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