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#### Abstract

Every year, the Review of Maritime Transport focuses upon developments in a particular region. Last year, the focus was on developments in Asia, while the previous year concentrated upon Africa. This year, the focus of the regional review is on developments in Latin America and the Caribbean. This chapter in particular looks at the developments which have occurred since 2003. Liner shipping and intermodal connectivity are identified as key factors for the region's trade competitiveness. Latin America and the Caribbean experienced a continued GDP per capita growth of 4.9 per cent during the period 2003-2007, driven largely by Asian demand for the region's natural resources. During the period, exports and imports grew at an annual rate of 8 and 10 per cent, respectively. Port throughput reached 1.47 billion tons in 2006, putting a significant strain upon port infrastructure. With the expansion of the Panama Canal and related port developments, discussions of potential hub ports have gained new impetus, and ambitious new port projects are driven by expectations to become regional logistics hubs. This chapter reviews those port developments, as well as some other regional maritime clusters.


## A. ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

According to ECLAC (United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean), the region's GDP grew by around 5.6 per cent in 2007, with a rise in per capita GDP of 3.8 per cent (see table 50). This makes 2007 the fifth year running in which the region has marked a positive growth rate, reaching an average annual rate of increase of 4.9 per cent for 2003-2007, which is more than double the 2.2 per cent recorded for 1980-2002. Table 51 illustrates how this growth relates to the purchasing-power-partly per capita for each country in the region. ${ }^{178}$

In general, the growing demand for the region's commodities and for inputs into intermediate and final products, has benefitted the region's ports, maritime transport and internal logistics. In 2006, total foreign trade in goods amounted to $\$ 1.2$ billion (representing 45 per cent of the region's GDP, up 20 per cent over 2005 figures). Consequently, Latin America showed a
positive merchandise trade balance of $\$ 103$ billion, an increase of 27 per cent over 2005 and more than 80 per cent over 2004.

During much of 2007, the Latin American and Caribbean region witnessed volatility in financial markets, owing to uncertainty about the impact of the financial crisis in the United States. This crisis has to date not had significant repercussions in the level of economic activity or international trade, and records show that most of the economies in the region have grown rapidly. Such growth has been driven primarily by domestic demand, with particularly sharp increases being noted in private consumption and capital formation.

The strength exhibited by domestic demand pushed up imports sharply, while, for the first time since 2000, the volume of goods exported rose more slowly than the region's GDP. Even so, most natural-resource exporters benefited from higher prices, and the region recorded a 2.6 per cent improvement in trade. Higher export prices

Table 50
Latin America and the Caribbean: GDP growth rates, 1998-2007
(Percentages)

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 2.5 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 | -0.4 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Latin America | 2.4 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.3 | -0.5 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 3.9 | -3.4 | -0.8 | -4.4 | -10.9 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| Bolivia | 5.0 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Brazil | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.3 |  | 2.7 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| Chile | 3.2 | -0.8 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| Colombia | 0.6 | -4.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 7.0 |
| Ecuador | 2.1 | -6.3 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Guyana | -1.7 | 3.8 | -1.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | -0.7 | 1.6 | -2.0 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Peru | -0.7 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| Paraguay | 0.6 | -1.5 | -3.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 5.5 |
| Suriname | 3.1 | -2.4 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.0 |
| Uruguay | 4.5 | -2.8 | -1.4 | -3.4 | -11.0 | 2.2 | 11.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Venezuela, Bolivarian Rep. of | 0.3 | -6.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | -8.9 | -7.8 | 18.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 8.5 |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belize | 3.7 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 3.0 |
| Costa Rica | 8.4 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
| Guatemala | 5.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| Honduras | 2.9 | -1.9 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Mexico | 5.0 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| Nicaragua | 3.7 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.0 |
| Panama | 7.3 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 9.5 |
| El Salvador | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 4.4 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 12.5 | 6.0 |
| Bahamas | 6.8 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Barbados | 6.2 | 0.5 | 2.3 | -4.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Caribbean | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 3.9 |
| Cuba | 0.2 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 4.5 | n.a. | n.a. | 7.0 |
| Dominica | 3.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | -3.6 | -4.2 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 1.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 7.0 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 5.8 | -0.3 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 |
| Grenada | 8.2 | 7.0 | 7.3 | -4.8 | 1.8 | 8.1 | -7.2 | 14.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| Haiti | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 | -1.0 | -0.3 | 0.4 | -3.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| Jamaica | -1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 0.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 5.5 |
| Saint Lucia | 6.4 | 2.4 | -0.2 | -5.1 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 5.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 8.7 | 5.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 8.1 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 14.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 5.5 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC, CEPALSTAT various years.

Table 51
Latin America and the Caribbean: GDP per capita, based on purchasing power parity
(Current international dollars)

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | $2008{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 12210 | 11832 | 10624 | 11699 | 13000 | 14513 | 16080 | 17559 | 18662 |
| Bolivia | 2366 | 2408 | 2454 | 2517 | 2639 | 2774 | 2931 | 3062 | 3217 |
| Brazil | 7936 | 8113 | 8351 | 8502 | 9113 | 9548 | 10073 | 10637 | 11110 |
| Chile | 9240 | 9674 | 9935 | 10442 | 11212 | 12089 | 12811 | 13745 | 14506 |
| Colombia | 6214 | 6344 | 6465 | 6742 | 7155 | 7615 | 8260 | 8891 | 9327 |
| Ecuador | 3283 | 3683 | 3751 | 3912 | 4285 | 4622 | 4835 | 5021 | 5210 |
| Guyana | 4071 | 4246 | 4355 | 4404 | 4587 | 4631 | 5004 | 5404 | 5732 |
| Paraguay | 4312 | 4417 | 4404 | 4582 | 4847 | 5060 | 5339 | 5638 | 5848 |
| Peru | 4881 | 4932 | 5190 | 5430 | 5782 | 6274 | 6856 | 7410 | 7869 |
| Suriname | 4462 | 4809 | 4961 | 5291 | 5691 | 6111 | 6571 | 6995 | 7293 |
| Uruguay | 8833 | 8688 | 7819 | 8113 | 9279 | 10844 | 11969 | 12917 | 13640 |
| Venezuela, Bolivarian Rep. of | 5716 | 5992 | 5448 | 5033 | 6004 | 6704 | 7480 | 8125 | 8590 |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belize | 5868 | 6122 | 6351 | 6871 | 7396 | 7409 | 7835 | 8108 | 8240 |
| Costa Rica | 8629 | 8746 | 8974 | 9564 | 10072 | 10814 | 11862 | 12683 | 13330 |
| El Salvador | 4600 | 4702 | 4807 | 4925 | 5072 | 5303 | 5600 | 5885 | 6111 |
| Guatemala | 3714 | 3792 | 3902 | 3929 | 4009 | 4097 | 4335 | 4547 | 4707 |
| Honduras | 2503 | 2567 | 2621 | 2708 | 2860 | 2999 | 3199 | 3378 | 3470 |
| Mexico | 9038 | 9120 | 9217 | 9412 | 10111 | 10626 | 11369 | 11880 | 12323 |
| Nicaragua | 3217 | 3294 | 3290 | 3357 | 3544 | 3719 | 3886 | 4055 | 4224 |
| Panama | 6169 | 6234 | 6370 | 6659 | 7236 | 7845 | 8593 | 9395 | 10216 |
| Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 10089 | 10336 | 10590 | 1147 | 11924 | 12842 | 14705 | 15489 | 15865 |
| Bahamas | 16883 | 17169 | 17614 | 17985 | 18578 | 19398 | 20440 | 21360 | 22336 |
| Barbados | 14851 | 14783 | 15087 | 15662 | 16835 | 18038 | 19274 | 20532 | 21388 |
| Dominica | 4969 | 4877 | 4710 | 4815 | 5104 | 5445 | 5840 | 6180 | 6465 |
| Dominican Republic | 6690 | 6999 | 7331 | 7242 | 7488 | 8327 | 9377 | 10241 | 10732 |
| Grenada | 7503 | 7279 | 7430 | 7996 | 7758 | 8941 | 9198 | 9623 | 10082 |
| Haiti | 1786 | 1780 | 1764 | 1772 | 1745 | 1777 | 1840 | 1914 | 1994 |
| Jamaica | 3601 | 3722 | 3815 | 3963 | 4097 | 4270 | 4494 | 4654 | 4806 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 11768 | 12312 | 12533 | 12665 | 14009 | 15130 | 16282 | 17424 | 18527 |
| Saint Lucia | 5813 | 5661 | 5762 | 6012 | 6393 | 6754 | 7300 | 7679 | 8071 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 5817 | 5952 | 6243 | 6548 | 7187 | 7575 | 8343 | 8923 | 9635 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 9119 | 9693 | 10571 | 12289 | 13668 | 15181 | 17494 | 18975 | 20381 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon IMF 2008, World Economic Outlook.
a 2008: forecast by UNECLAC.
were also a contributing factor in the region's fifth consecutive balance of payments surplus, although the upswing in imports brought the surplus down from +1.7 per cent of GDP in 2006 to +0.7 per cent in 2007.

Increased Asian demand for oil and minerals and the increase in world prices, entailed a growing demand for the region's commodities as well as a further specialization in the production of raw materials and their derivatives in the past years, while manufacturing sectors have lost ground. Table 52 illustrates the export-specialization pattern for the region's seven largest economies.

In the manufactures markets in Europe and the United States, strong competition reigns between Latin American and Caribbean, and Asian exporters.

Amongst the region's largest economies, Mexico is the most vulnerable to Asian competition. Intra-industry trade only partially developed in Latin America and the Caribbean, with the exception of Brazil and Mexico. Intraregional intra-industry trade in the region is also significantly lower than in Asia.

The prices of the main exports in Colombia, Chile and Uruguay have been increasing faster than those of their imports. Specialization has also increased, with most Latin American economies showing a higher degree of export concentration in commodities than at the beginning of the decade. The trend towards greater specialization in commodities is most marked in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Bolivia and Chile; the exceptions are Costa Rica and Argentina.

Table 52
Specialization sectors for selected Latin American countries, 2005
Balassa Index

| Product Name | Argentina | Brazil | Chile | Colombia | Mexico | Peru | Venezuela, Bolivarian Rep. of | Average $\text { LAC }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food and live animals | 6.30 | 3.81 | 3.40 | 3.27 | 0.85 | 3.24 | 0.07 | 2.51 |
| Beverages and tobacco | 1.57 | 1.72 | 2.68 | 0.51 | 1.29 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 1.31 |
| Crude materials. except food/fuels | 3.13 | 5.46 | 10.52 | 1.77 | 0.46 | 7.94 | 0.16 | 2.82 |
| Mineral fuels/lubricants | 1.60 | 0.60 | 0.21 | 3.83 | 1.45 | 0.91 | 8.66 | 2.14 |
| Animal/veg. oils/fats/waxes | 22.07 | 3.56 | 0.30 | 1.60 | 0.10 | 2.63 | 0.01 | 2.86 |
| Chemicals/products n.e.s. | 0.74 | 0.55 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.44 |
| Manufactured goods | 0.75 | 1.32 | 2.54 | 0.92 | 0.59 | 1.44 | 0.49 | 0.92 |
| Machinery/transport equipment | 0.27 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 1.33 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.71 |
| Miscellaneous manuf. articles | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 1.10 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.64 |
| Commodities n.e.s. | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.11 | 5.28 | 0.06 | 0.40 |

[^0]Table 53 shows that all countries except the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela have recorded continuous growth in exports since 2003. Paraguay’s (+141 per cent) and Nicaragua's (+134 per cent) exports have been growing the fastest in the region; exports from El Salvador have risen 18.2 per cent and exports from the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela have declined 14.6 per cent. Import growth has been highest in Ecuador (+151 per cent), Colombia ( +132.6 per cent between 2000 and 2007), the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (+123.6 per cent) and Chile (+121 per cent). In Central America, the volume of imports has increased most in Guatemala (+96.5 per cent), Honduras (+78.1 per cent) and Costa Rica (+70.9 per cent). The value of exports has increased by 45 per cent and imports 56 per cent between 2000 and 2007.

The growing trade of the region has not been matched by the corresponding transport infrastructure and services. The remainder of this chapter looks at the challenges with regards to maritime trade, shipping services, port reform and transport costs.

## B. MARITIME TRADE

## Types of commodities traded

Figures 22 and 23 illustrate the composition of seaborne exports from Latin American countries to other regions in terms of value (dollars) and volume (tons). Overall, there is an increase in the share of food and live animals (Standard International Trade Classification (SITC 0) and crude materials except fuel (SITC2). Crude materials were the most important export product in terms of value and volume to the Asia-Pacific region. Machinery (SITC 7) and classified manufactures (SITC 6) to North America and Latin America and the Caribbean (intraregional trade) had the highest shares of exports in terms of value. Figure 23 clearly depicts the dominance of crude materials (SITC 2) and food and live animals (SITC 0 ) in terms of volume. Four commodities - oil, copper, soy and coffee - account for approximately two thirds of total Latin American raw material exports. The majority of these exports, except coffee, are transported by bulk carriers.

The dominance of exports of crude materials also reflects in trade balances of the Latin American economies in terms of volume (tons) (Figure 24). In 2006, Brazil displayed the most significant imbalance, exporting seven times more than it imported.

## Containerized trade

Latin America and Caribbean economies have a surplus in terms of trade volume (tons), mostly due to the exports of bulk cargoes, as regards manufactured goods and other containerized trade, there is a trade deficit. Overall, containerized trade of Latin America and the Caribbean is estimated to have reached 17.5 million TEUs in 2007. This is a rise of 26 per cent over 2004. In 2007, 9.9 million TEUs were imported into the region and 7.6 million TEUs were exported, creating an imbalance of 2.3 million TEUs. The highest imbalance was recorded for the trade with Asia-Pacific (see table 54).

In 2007, the largest share of containerized cargo was traded with North America ( 5.5 million TEUs), followed by Europe ( 4.2 million TEUs) and the Asia-Pacific region ( 3.8 million TEUs). In addition, 2.8 million TEUs were intraregional maritime trade and other regions (1.2 million TEUs).

In 2007, Mexico and several Caribbean countries had the largest trade deficits in terms of TEUs; Mexico imported 424,000 TEUs more than it exported. Brazil and Chile, on the other hand, achieved surpluses of 1.7 million and 671,000 TEUs, respectively.

Between 2000 and 2007, growth of containerized imports has been highest in Colombia (152 per cent), Brazil (128 per cent) and Chile (10 per cent). In terms of containerized exports, the highest growth can be observed in Peru (135 per cent), Brazil (120 per cent) and the Central American countries (104 per cent). In this period, exports have continuously outgrown imports. Table 55 clearly depicts the impact of the economic crisis in 2002/03 on imports to Argentina, Uruguay and Brazil. Since 2003, the economic upturn is strongly reflected in the rise of imports.

Table 53
Trade volume index, 2000-2007
Base year $2000=100$

|  | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin America | 100 | 102.7 | 103.7 | 107.7 | 118.9 | 128.7 | 137.5 | 144.9 |
| South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 100 | 104.3 | 104.8 | 112.6 | 118.2 | 136.0 | 144.9 | 157.0 |
| Bolivia | 100 | 109.2 | 109.6 | 127.8 | 151.9 | 171.8 | 183.4 | 196.6 |
| $\quad$ Brazil | 100 | 109.6 | 119.0 | 137.7 | 163.8 | 178.5 | 185.7 | 198.6 |
| $\quad$ Chile | 100 | 107.4 | 107.4 | 117.5 | 135.7 | 141.2 | 144.0 | 151.9 |
| $\quad$ Colombia | 100 | 103.1 | 101.7 | 107.6 | 116.7 | 128.0 | 136.1 | 141.9 |
| $\quad$ Ecuador | 100 | 111.7 | 119.4 | 138.1 | 159.5 | 171.8 | 185.0 | 179.8 |
| $\quad$ Paraguay | 100 | 86.3 | 87.9 | 94.9 | 113.8 | 134.7 | 190.6 | 241.4 |
| Peru | 100 | 110.2 | 116.6 | 127.2 | 152.4 | 175.1 | 176.4 | 182.7 |
| $\quad$ Uruguay | 100 | 91.8 | 89.0 | 99.0 | 127.7 | 149.1 | 162.7 | 171.9 |

Venezuela,
Bolivarian

| Rep. of | 100 | 97.1 | 92.0 | 81.4 | 92.6 | 95.6 | 91.0 | 85.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | 100 | 89.8 | 98.6 | 114.2 | 115.7 | 129.0 | 146.6 | 163.3 |
| El Salvador | 100 | 100.6 | 105.9 | 111.7 | 113.6 | 111.2 | 112.3 | 118.2 |
| Guatemala | 100 | 100.6 | 99.7 | 108.2 | 110.2 | 163.1 | 173.9 | 190.7 |
| Honduras | 100 | 126.2 | 142.3 | 144.1 | 162.6 | 166.1 | 164.4 | 173.9 |
| Mexico | 100 | 100.8 | 100.2 | 99.5 | 105.1 | 112.0 | 124.4 | 130.8 |
| Nicaragua | 100 | 117.4 | 121.9 | 140.7 | 172.1 | 191.7 | 219.5 | 234.3 |
| Panama | 100 | 102.8 | 91.2 | 88.8 | 103.3 | 125.3 | 137.1 | 155.8 |
| Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin America | 100 | 100.8 | 94.0 | 94.4 | 108.2 | 120.6 | 136.5 | 155.9 |
| South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 100 | 82.4 | 37.7 | 58.4 | 87.7 | 108.4 | 126.6 | 154.3 |
| Bolivia | 100 | 99.5 | 102.9 | 91.3 | 98.3 | 124.3 | 138.8 | 160.3 |
| Brazil | 100 | 102.9 | 90.5 | 87.1 | 103.1 | 108.8 | 126.2 | 158.7 |
| Chile | 100 | 101.3 | 101.9 | 112.4 | 134.3 | 163.8 | 183.7 | 212.0 |
| Colombia | 100 | 114.8 | 114.2 | 121.7 | 136.2 | 162.9 | 191.5 | 232.6 |
| Ecuador | 100 | 139.2 | 166.6 | 168.0 | 193.1 | 223.9 | 241.7 | 251.0 |
| Paraguay | 100 | 93.1 | 79.4 | 88.2 | 104.7 | 121.3 | 176.5 | 205.5 |
| Peru | 100 | 102.2 | 104.2 | 111.3 | 122.6 | 137.3 | 157.2 | 198.2 |
| Uruguay | 100 | 93.7 | 64.1 | 67.8 | 87.4 | 96.9 | 115.1 | 117.3 |
| Venezuela, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Venezuela, Bolivarian

| Rep. of | 100 | 114.3 | 79.9 | 61.5 | 93.3 | 126.5 | 166.3 | 223.6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | 100 | 99.5 | 114.6 | 123.8 | 125.5 | 143.1 | 162.5 | 170.9 |
| El Salvador | 100 | 108.3 | 109.7 | 118.6 | 124.5 | 129.2 | 137.4 | 146.6 |
| Guatemala | 100 | 113.7 | 127.5 | 132.0 | 140.9 | 173.1 | 183.5 | 196.5 |
| Honduras | 100 | 121.7 | 128.4 | 135.2 | 152.8 | 157.4 | 161.5 | 178.1 |
| Mexico | 100 | 99.1 | 97.8 | 96.9 | 106.5 | 114.4 | 126.3 | 135.7 |
| Nicaragua | 100 | 102.3 | 105.0 | 111.0 | 124.6 | 136.3 | 147.4 | 153.2 |
| Panama | 100 | 98.5 | 92.6 | 89.3 | 103.2 | 115.0 | 125.4 | 151.5 |

[^1]Figure 22
Exports from Latin American and Caribbean economies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ by product group, 2002-2006


Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon International Transport Database (BTI), UNECLAC, 2006.
Note: $\quad$ SITC 3 and SITC 9 products are excluded in this figure.
Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Uruguay, Peru, Ecuador, Mexico, Colombia, Paraguay and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Figure 23
Exports from Latin American and Caribbean economies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ by product group, 2002-2006


Source: UNCTAD secretariat based on International Transport Database (BTI), UNECLAC, year 2006.
Note: $\quad$ SITC 3 and SITC 9 products are excluded in this figure.
a Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Uruguay, Peru, Ecuador, Mexico, Colombia, Paraguay and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Figure 24
Maritime trade balance imports and exports, 2006
(Thousands of metric tons)


Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon International Transport Database (BTI), UNECLAC, 2006.

Note: SITC 3 and SITC 9 products are excluded in this presentation.

Table 56 shows the evolution of maritime containerized trade in TEUs in the period 2004-2007. Imports from Asia to the region displayed some of the highest growth rates. Imports from that region to Colombia rose 82 per cent, to Argentina 78 per cent, to Brazil 68 per cent, to Chile 58 per cent and to Mexico 52 per cent during the period. Export volumes from Latin America and the Caribbean to the Asia-Pacific region was significantly lower, which added to the growing trade imbalance between the regions. Central America ${ }^{179}$ experienced the highest growth in containerized trade in exports to North America. Containerized exports from the Caribbean and Mexico to North America declined by 49 per cent and 16 per cent respectively in the same period. Mexico was able to increase its exports to AsiaPacific by 73 per cent. Trade from Mexico and the Caribbean to other Latin American and Caribbean countries showed growth rates of 45 per cent and 39 per cent, respectively.

The recent growth in the demand for export products and expansion of trade activities throughout the region created new opportunities and challenges for the
maritime and port industry, especially for the supply of shipping services and port infrastructure development.

## C. SHIPPING

## Liner shipping services

The impacts of concentration in liner shipping and the quality of service are especially important for regions with lower trade volumes, which lead more easily to oligopolistic market structures.

Generally, shipping lines have adopted two strategies for achieving economies of scale in liner services - firstly, by internal economies of scales, increasing the ship sizes, and secondly, by increasing the size of the shipping company through organic growth or mergers and acquisitions. Where strategies of takeover were not possible or effective, shipping lines searched for economies of scale in conferences and alliances.

The achievements in economies of scale are reflected by the continuous growth of ship size within the market.
Table 54
Containerized trade balance, 2007 TEUs

|  |  | Argentina | Brazil | Central America | Chile | Colombia | The Caribbean | Mexico | Other countries on the East Coast of South America | Other Countries on the West <br> Coast of South America | Peru | Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | Export | 66002 | 244526 | 2993 | 10356 | 4780 | 9756 | 7546 | 8437 | 6853 | 3095 | 3589 |
|  | Import | 3822 | 10816 | 1290 | 1430 | 2831 | 6006 | 13127 | 3898 | 611 | 2210 | 1868 |
|  | Imbalance | 62180 | 233710 | 1703 | 8926 | 1949 | 3750 | -5 581 | 4539 | 6242 | 885 | 1721 |
| Asia Pacific | Export | 85598 | 453080 | 18281 | 304842 | 12470 | 12016 | 131456 | 22638 | 8125 | 43063 | 21207 |
|  | Import | 133709 | 909696 | 260088 | 213797 | 142194 | 138050 | 619099 | 44687 | 56671 | 133698 | 78731 |
|  | Imbalance | -48111 | -456 616 | -241807 | 91045 | -129 724 | -126034 | -487643 | -22 049 | -48546 | 90635 | -57524 |
| Europe | Export | 296356 | 161029 | 220390 | 287743 | 120879 | 166122 | 218287 | 62701 | 55725 | 80070 | 56744 |
|  | Import | 99981 | 425690 | 123982 | 76474 | 57371 | 249348 | 217505 | 43672 | 27189 | 53601 | 97809 |
|  | Imbalance | 196375 | 735339 | 96408 | 211269 | 63508 | -83226 | 782 | 19029 | 28536 | 26469 | -41065 |
| North America | Export | 176422 | 924135 |  | 305402 | 312825 | 347100 | 183898 | 32644 | 187801 | 86376 | 120861 |
|  | Import | 89307 | 253236 | 388050 | 103196 | 139312 | 368948 | 73991 | 24892 | 53280 | 65034 | 120066 |
|  | Imbalance | 87115 | 670899 | 718492 | 202206 | 173513 | -21848 | 109907 | 7752 | 134521 | 21342 | 795 |
| Latin <br> America <br> and the <br> Caribbean | Export | 120708 | 379121 | 74397 | 215248 | 129862 | 85428 | 219619 | 31553 | 28424 | 65028 | 63130 |
|  | Import | 86934 | 91497 | 201720 | 89369 | 134129 | 223572 | 256463 | 43895 | 49016 | 95298 | 140625 |
|  | Imbalance | 33774 | 287624 | -127 323 | 125879 | -4 267 | -138144 | -36844 | -12 342 | -20 592 | -30 270 | -77 495 |
| Total global | Export | 825433 | 3428569 | 1442984 | 1165825 | 623446 | 632864 | 788075 | 169036 | 311912 | 281155 | 265899 |
|  | Import | 440862 | 1730331 | 1022140 | 494353 | 562732 | 1019311 | 1211643 | 165638 | 195318 | 359621 | 445731 |
|  | Imbalance | 384571 | 1698238 | 420844 | 671472 | 60714 | -386447 | -423 568 | 3398 | 116594 | -78466 | -179 832 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC Perfil Maritimo 2008 and Global Insight: Several publications.
Note: Figures for 2007 are preliminary.

Table 55
Imports and exports of containerized trade
(Index, base year 2000)

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 0.86 | 0.97 | 1.08 | 1.20 |
| Chile | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.48 | 1.70 | 1.89 | 2.10 |
| Colombia | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.17 | 1.28 | 1.57 | 1.86 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| Other countries WCSA | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 1.44 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 1.00 | 1.25 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 1.12 | 1.29 | 1.45 | 1.56 |
| Central America | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.46 | 1.54 | 1.90 | 2.04 |
| Peru | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.41 | 1.66 | 1.82 | 2.00 |
| Other countries ECSA | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.24 | 1.34 |
| The Caribbean | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.25 | 1.36 | 1.48 | 1.52 |
| Mexico | 1.00 | 1.13 | 1.23 | 0.92 | 1.06 | 1.14 | 1.30 | 1.39 |
| Brazil | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 1.51 | 1.73 | 2.06 | 2.28 |
| Total LAC | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.27 | 1.41 | 1.62 | 1.76 |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.23 | 1.47 | 1.56 | 1.68 | 1.81 | 2.00 |
| Chile | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 1.27 | 1.56 | 1.59 | 1.82 | 1.86 |
| Colombia | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.31 | 1.50 | 1.66 | 1.78 | 1.86 |
| Other countries WCSA | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.11 | 1.18 | 1.36 | 1.40 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 0.91 |
| Central America | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.04 | 1.11 | 1.22 | 1.31 | 1.90 | 2.04 |
| Peru | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.31 | 1.30 | 1.68 | 1.92 | 2.24 | 2.35 |
| Other countries ECSA | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 1.55 | 1.85 | 1.87 | 1.96 |
| The Caribbean | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.18 | 1.47 | 1.63 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| Mexico | 1.00 | 1.70 | 1.35 | 1.25 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 1.65 |
| Brazil | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.28 | 1.55 | 1.88 | 1.97 | 2.13 | 2.20 |
| Total LAC | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.30 | 1.54 | 1.63 | 1.74 | 1.82 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based on UNECLAC, data provided by Global Insight Inc. (www.globalinsight.com).

Table 56

## Containerized trade, 2004-2007

TEUs

| Origin/Destination |  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Change <br> 2004-2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa |  | Export | 48459 | 56223 | 60882 | 66002 | 36\% |
|  |  | Import | 2910 | 3409 | 3549 | 3822 | 31\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 69244 | 74720 | 80594 | 85598 | 24\% |
|  |  | Import | 75121 | 95454 | 114919 | 133709 | 78\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 260292 | 273844 | 282481 | 296356 | 14\% |
|  |  | Import | 77049 | 86510 | 93252 | 99981 | 30\% |
|  | North America | Export | 121347 | 124159 | 136321 | 176422 | 45\% |
|  |  | Import | 74645 | 77094 | 82318 | 89307 | 20\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 101179 | 110201 | 114971 | 120708 | 19\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 67806 | 73263 | 78667 | 86934 | 28\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 641836 | 693924 | 744939 | 825433 | 29\% |
|  |  | Import | 316934 | 357141 | 396885 | 440862 | 39\% |
|  | Africa | Export | 191063 | 206086 | 225366 | 244526 | 28\% |
|  |  | Import | 7791 | 7890 | 10164 | 10816 | 39\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 338384 | 390149 | 432252 | 453080 | 34\% |
|  |  | Import | 540058 | 642669 | 796923 | 909696 | 68\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 966941 | 1022407 | 1097907 | 1161029 | 20\% |
|  |  | Import | 308852 | 352669 | 413288 | 425690 | 38\% |
|  | North America | Export | 939028 | 938437 | 966183 | 924135 | -2\% |
|  |  | Import | 197868 | 201390 | 224322 | 253236 | 28\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 316066 | 315896 | 357315 | 379121 | 20\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 63378 | 76129 | 83076 | 91497 | 44\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 2940584 | 3079350 | 3319649 | 3428569 | 17\% |
|  |  | Import | 1144342 | 1310341 | 1563646 | 1730331 | 51\% |
| تِ | Africa | Export | 2471 | 2645 | 2774 | 2993 | 21\% |
|  |  | Import | 1108 | 1116 | 1257 | 1290 | 16\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 14509 | 16175 | 17872 | 18281 | 26\% |
|  |  | Import | 162240 | 184758 | 235037 | 260088 | 60\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 142863 | 171732 | 206745 | 220390 | 54\% |
|  |  | Import | 85810 | 89495 | 122015 | 123982 | 44\% |
|  | North America | Export | 635063 | 654309 | 1028031 | 1106542 | 74\% |
|  |  | Import | 319740 | 325747 | 364327 | 388050 | 21\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 54051 | 63019 | 69905 | 74397 | 38\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 134384 | 139998 | 187632 | 201720 | 50\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 864777 | 924250 | 1343660 | 1442984 | 67\% |
|  |  | Import | 732676 | 775483 | 954002 | 1022140 | 40\% |

Table 56 (continued)

| Origin/Destination |  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2004-2007 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa |  | Export | 6875 | 7207 | 9221 | 10356 | 51\% |
|  |  | Import | 1301 | 1240 | 1323 | 1430 | 10\% |
| $\stackrel{H}{\pi}$ | Asia Pacific | Export | 270453 | 269384 | 282278 | 304842 | 13\% |
|  |  | Import | 135574 | 160307 | 189735 | 213797 | 58\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 247662 | 241140 | 273220 | 287743 | 16\% |
|  | North America | Import | 58622 | 70616 | 74104 | 76474 | 30\% |
|  |  | Export | 261772 | 274325 | 335508 | 305402 | 17\% |
|  |  | Import | 70965 | 80438 | 87903 | 103196 | 45\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 162854 | 170192 | 200134 | 215248 | 32\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 72713 | 78924 | 82496 | 89369 | 23\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 979406 | 995867 | 1137348 | 1165825 | 19\% |
|  |  | Import | 347043 | 399780 | 444856 | 494353 | 42\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Africa | Export | 3887 | 3868 | 4451 | 4780 | 23\% |
|  |  | Import | 2329 | 2480 | 2706 | 2831 | 22\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 12337 | 11374 | 11865 | 12470 | 1\% |
|  |  | Import | 78200 | 97086 | 123442 | 142194 | 82\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 91554 | 99494 | 113059 | 120879 | 32\% |
|  |  | Import | 42610 | 48884 | 54587 | 57371 | 35\% |
|  | North America | Export | 272670 | 315983 | 311926 | 312825 | 15\% |
|  |  | Import | 92349 | 105355 | 121005 | 139312 | 51\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 97464 | 99763 | 117743 | 129862 | 33\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 90765 | 107613 | 121324 | 134129 | 48\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 503867 | 558510 | 597992 | 623446 | 24\% |
|  |  | Import | 351777 | 415830 | 503084 | 562732 | 60\% |
|  | Africa | Export | 6909 | 7392 | 8632 | 9756 | 41\% |
|  |  | Import | 5087 | 5309 | 5861 | 6006 | 18\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 10938 | 10665 | 11958 | 12016 | 10\% |
|  |  | Import | 87718 | 102156 | 125836 | 138050 | 57\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 147788 | 143103 | 154628 | 166122 | 12\% |
|  |  | Import | 203589 | 226103 | 247746 | 249348 | 22\% |
|  | North America | Export | 682011 | 770587 | 352906 | 347100 | -49\% |
|  |  | Import | 306093 | 339150 | 360198 | 368948 | 21\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 61449 | 76312 | 81539 | 85428 | 39\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 210553 | 207140 | 217824 | 223572 | 6\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 918286 | 1017712 | 620550 | 632864 | -31\% |
|  |  | Import | 839758 | 908666 | 989597 | 1019311 | 21\% |

Table 56 (continued)

| Origin/Destination |  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2004-2007 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\stackrel{y}{x}}{\underset{x}{x}}$ | Africa | Export | 3350 | 6700 | 6999 | 7546 | 125\% |
|  |  | Import | 12419 | 12552 | 12956 | 13127 | 6\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 76028 | 109989 | 123045 | 131456 | 73\% |
|  |  | Import | 407192 | 461950 | 558487 | 619099 | 52\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 204720 | 187437 | 203309 | 218287 | 7\% |
|  |  | Import | 194718 | 201047 | 216049 | 217505 | 12\% |
|  | North America | Export | 218678 | 190848 | 185031 | 183898 | -16\% |
|  |  | Import | 66921 | 67689 | 73200 | 73991 | 11\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 151272 | 179382 | 198751 | 219619 | 45\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 218127 | 224916 | 244782 | 256463 | 18\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 666983 | 695590 | 742033 | 788075 | 18\% |
|  |  | Import | 922852 | 994902 | 1134773 | 1211643 | 31\% |
|  | Africa | Export | 6505 | 7011 | 7867 | 8437 | 30\% |
|  |  | Import | 3172 | 3329 | 3784 | 3898 | 23\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 17035 | 19975 | 20996 | 22638 | 33\% |
|  |  | Import | 27366 | 30382 | 39910 | 44687 | 63\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 48136 | 53773 | 59039 | 62701 | 30\% |
|  |  | Import | 31173 | 34147 | 42496 | 43672 | 40\% |
|  | North America | Export | 31145 | 43272 | 32833 | 32644 | 5\% |
|  |  | Import | 23311 | 21472 | 21529 | 24892 | 7\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 25578 | 28334 | 30444 | 31553 | 23\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 34591 | 33978 | 40611 | 43895 | 27\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 133505 | 159226 | 160643 | 169036 | 27\% |
|  |  | Import | 122204 | 126142 | 152526 | 165638 | 36\% |
|  | Africa | Export | 4069 | 4764 | 6112 | 6853 | 68\% |
|  |  | Import | 308 | 523 | 581 | 611 | 98\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 5825 | 6585 | 7713 | 8125 | 39\% |
|  |  | Import | 38831 | 46380 | 51504 | 56671 | 46\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 44059 | 50939 | 51112 | 55725 | 26\% |
|  |  | Import | 23255 | 26424 | 27250 | 27189 | 17\% |
|  | North America | Export | 157957 | 160270 | 188336 | 187801 | 19\% |
|  |  | Import | 63223 | 50060 | 51394 | 53280 | -16\% |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean Total global | Export | 21692 | 23234 | 26566 | 28424 | 31\% |
|  |  | Import | 39847 | 43240 | 46371 | 49016 | 23\% |
|  |  | Export | 247742 | 262082 | 301374 | 311912 | 26\% |
|  |  | Import | 170744 | 172276 | 184093 | 195318 | 14\% |

Table 56 (continued)

| Origin/Destination |  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2004-2007 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa |  | Export | 2241 | 2263 | 2791 | 3095 | 38\% |
|  |  | Import | 1785 | 2031 | 2154 | 2210 | 24\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | Export | 30842 | 33591 | 39492 | 43063 | 40\% |
|  |  | Import | 87728 | 101075 | 119749 | 133698 | 52\% |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{a}{2}}$ | Europe | Export | 57930 | 62598 | 75092 | 80070 | 38\% |
|  | North America | Import | 37126 | 47091 | 51608 | 53601 | 44\% |
|  |  | Export | $61306$ | $73790$ | $86100$ | 86376 | $41 \%$ |
|  |  | Import | 59747 | 61285 | 56651 | 65034 | 9\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 46510 | 55003 | 61201 | 65028 | 40\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 60548 | 78553 | 88285 | 95298 | 57\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 201673 | 230090 | 267854 | $281155$ | $39 \%$ |
|  |  | Import | 253358 | 297988 | 327185 | $359621$ | $42 \%$ |
|  | Africa | Export | 3060 | 3229 | 3225 | 3589 | 17\% |
|  |  | Import | 1602 | 1691 | 1814 | 1868 | 17\% |
|  | Asia Pacific | Export | 18290 | 19660 | 20701 | 21207 | 16\% |
|  |  | Import | 45105 | 56988 | 69049 | 78731 | 75\% |
|  | Europe | Export | 38956 | 46025 | 54299 | 56744 | 46\% |
|  |  | Import | 80228 | 88915 | 94938 | 97809 | 22\% |
|  | North America | Export | 190377 | 181238 | 145248 | 120861 | -37\% |
|  |  | Import | 83538 | 98557 | 113383 | 120066 | 44\% |
|  | Latin America and the | Export | 57489 | 57690 | 60661 | 63130 | 10\% |
|  | Caribbean | Import | 102892 | 115272 | 128162 | 140625 | 37\% |
|  | Total global | Export | 308540 | 308163 | 284461 | 265899 | -14\% |
|  |  | Import | 318428 | 366879 | 413424 | 445731 | 40\% |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC Perfil Maritimo 2008 and Global Insight: Several publications.
Note: $\quad$ Figures for 2007 are estimates from September 2007.

Figure 25 illustrates the increase of ship size in the trades between South America and the European/ Mediterranean market since 2000. Increases in ship size on the West Coast of South America (WCSA) could be greater if the draft restrictions were eliminated in a number of main ports. In the case of WCSAEurope, Mediterranean and the United States East Coast and Gulf of Mexico, ship size is also limited by the Panama Canal. The widening of the canal will open new opportunities for bigger ships in these services.

Shipping capacity on routes to South America has increased in response to market demands. Capacity on routes between the North Coast South America (NCSA)North America, East Coast of South America (ECSA)North America, Asia-West Coast South America (WCSA), and
Shipping capacity on routes to South America has increased. Europe-ECSA more than doubled between 2000 and 2007. The direct impact of changes in economic development, such as the economic crisis in 2002 and 2003, which struck especially the countries on the ECSA, is clearly visible in the figures (table 57) and show how quickly the shipping sector

Figure 25
Containership size development, South America-Europe Mediterranean trades, 2000-2007


Source: UNCTAD secretariat, based upon ComPair Data 2000-2007.

Table 57
Liner shipping capacity development in major South American trade routes, 2000-2007
Index, base year 2000

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { Jul } \end{gathered}$ | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Jan } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul |  |
| Asia-ECSA | 100 | 99.4 | 120.0 | 124.3 | 120.2 | 106.5 | 107.0 | 121.3 | 127.2 | 136.1 | 164.8 | 210. | 209.3 | 194 |
| Asia-WCSA | 100 | 125.0 | 127.7 | 136.5 | 141.2 | 141.4 | 127.0 | 164.5 | 174.3 | 190.9 | 240.7 | 260.8 | 277.7 | 236 |
| Europe-ECSA | 100 | 106.9 | 142.9 | 142.9 | 149.0 | 176.3 | 193.0 | 190.6 | 191.8 | 211.2 | 241.5 | 241.8 | n.a. | 253 |
| Europe-NCSA[i] | 100 | 87.5 | 129.8 | 134.7 | 147.4 | 147.4 | 141.6 | 137.2 | 135.4 | 109.8 | 124.4 | 127.7 | 32.0 | 171 |
| Europe-WCSA | 100 | 78.9 | 91.4 | 92.1 | 105.4 | 106.9 | 127.0 | 128.4 | 126.4 | 119.2 | 143.6 | 137.8 | 139.7 | 148 |
| North America-ECSA | 100 | 205.3 | 133.5 | n.a. | 149.8 | 189.5 | 198.7 | 181.6 | 158.9 | 238.5 | 245.4 | 246.1 | 252.6 | 240 |
| North America-NCSA | 100 | 113.8 | 123.1 | 141.1 | 147.6 | 181.9 | 163.0 | 139.4 | 179.6 | 150.9 | 155.5 | 193.2 | 193.3 | 223 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon data from American Shipper various years.
reacts to market changes. During the time of the economic crisis, capacity was stagnant and even declined on certain routes (e.g. Asia-ECSA and Asia-WCSA for July 2002 to January 2003).

Besides the general increase of shipping capacity, the capacity for transport of reefer containers ${ }^{180}$ has grown substantially. The changes in trade composition and the growing importance of food products (e.g. fruits and fish) have also increased the demand for capacity for the transport of refrigerated cargo. The shipping service industry has responded by offering reefer capacities which in 2007 were more than three times higher as in 2000 (see table 58).

In containerized transport, the age of the fleet is an indicator for the implementation of technology in the region. Table 59 shows fleet evolution in containerized services to and from the three main coastal regions in South America during the period 2000 to 2007. The route that has experienced the highest level of fleet renewal is Europe-WCSA. Services on the Europe-WCSA and Europe-ECSA, had the most modern fleet with an average ship age of seven years.

The oldest and the most diverse fleet operate on the routes Asia-WCSA, North America-ECSA and North America-NCSA. The lowest level of fleet replenishment can be observed on the Asia WCSA routes between 2000 and 2007.

The capacity for transport of reefer container has grown substantially.

Latin America and the Caribbean display a highly varying level of integration within the global liner shipping network. South American countries on average have a higher level of connectivity presented in UNCTAD's Liner Shipping Connectivity Index (LSCI) than Central American and Caribbean countries (see chapter 5).

The clear advantage of hub ports in terms of connectivity becomes evident in figure 26 with Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, the Bahamas and Puerto Rico in the Caribbean, and Mexico and Panama in Central America, having the highest level of connectivity, respectively.

The changes in the level of connectivity in the period 2004-2007 also shows that the position of the traditional hub port locations (i.e. Jamaica and Panama) is rather stable, while the level of connectivity of the mediumsize economies displays the highest level of variation in the respective period. Further, the more peripheral countries and small economies show lower rankings in the LSCI in 2007 in comparison to 2004/2005, which indicates that these countries have been less successful in extending their direct connectivity to other countries. As reported previously by UNCTAD, in Latin America and the Caribbean, there appears to be a growing "connectivity divide". The change can also be seen as an indication of the further extension of hub and spoke networks structures in the region, which reduces the level and reach of point-to-point services from smaller ports.

Table 58
Reefer capacity development in major South American trade routes, 2000-2007
Index, base year 2000

|  | 2000 | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Jan } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul | Jan | Jul |  |
| Asia-ECSA | 100 | 88.7 | 196.9 | 227.7 | 209.2 | 207.7 | 202.9 | 233.7 | 254.4 | 277.9 | 329.0 | 444.4 | 453.3 | 380 |
| Asia-WCSA | 100 | 129.1 | 147.4 | 182.5 | 194.4 | 203.4 | 188.7 | 215.9 | 243.3 | 263.2 | 319 | 368.5 | 381.3 | 299 |
| Europe-ECSA | 100 | 110.6 | 171.1 | 171.1 | 164.3 | 172.8 | 247.7 | 265.3 | 272.3 | 290.6 | 343.0 | 329.4 | n.a. | 362 |
| Europe-NCSA | 100 | 78.7 | 137.9 | 175.4 | 204.5 | 204.5 | 189.0 | 185.3 | 183.2 | 144.2 | 167.2 | 167.5 | 174.3 | 249 |
| Europe-WCSA | 100 | 59.4 | 79.4 | 111.7 | 136.3 | 136.0 | 228.9 | 315.0 | 351.6 | 294.9 | 419.4 | 386.3 | 373.8 | 422 |
| North America-NCSA | 100 | 107.1 | 121.5 | 172.2 | 180.2 | 221.9 | 213.2 | 177.5 | 230.3 | 196.4 | 211.9 | 282.9 | 268.8 | 303 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon data from American Shipper, various years.

Table 59
Development of fleet age in main routes to South America, 2000-2007

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asia- } \\ & \text { ECSA } \end{aligned}$ | AsiaWCSA | EuropeECSA | EuropeNCSA | EuropeWCSA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { America- } \\ & \text { ECSA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { America- } \\ \text { NCSA } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | Jul | Average year of construction | 1991 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1991 | 1993 | 1992 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 7.6 |
| 2001 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1992 | 1996 | 1994 | 1993 | 1990 | 1994 | 1992 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 7.7 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1993 | 1996 | 1995 | 1992 | 1990 | 1993 | 1992 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 6.9 | 3.4 | 5 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| 2002 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1993 | 1997 | 1995 | 1992 | 1990 | .. | 1994 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7.1 | 3.4 | 5 | 6.8 | 8.9 | .. | 6.2 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1992 | 1997 | 1995 | 1993 | 1992 | 1995 | 1994 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7.4 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| 2003 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1992 | 1998 | 1995 | 1993 | 1992 | 1995 | 1995 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 9.2 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 6 | 5.6 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1991 | 1998 | 1996 | 1994 | 1996 | 1994 | 1995 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 9 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 6 |
| 2004 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1994 | 1997 | 1996 | 1994 | 1997 | 1995 | 1995 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 6.3 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 5.7 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1994 | 1998 | 1997 | 1994 | 1997 | 1998 | 1994 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7 | 3 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| 2005 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1996 | 1998 | 1996 | 1993 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 7.3 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 5.8 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1997 | 1997 | 1996 | 1994 | 1997 | 1995 | 1996 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 5.5 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 5.3 |
| 2006 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1993 | 1997 | 1996 | 1996 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 5.7 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 6.3 |
|  | Jul | Average year of construction | 1998 | 1998 | .. | 1995 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 5.8 | 4.4 | .. | 7.1 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 2007 | Jan | Average year of construction | 1999 | 1997 | 2000 | 1996 | 2000 | 1997 | 1997 |
|  |  | Standard deviation | 5.9 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 6 | 6.8 |
| Average improvement of fleet age2000-2007 (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | -7 | -2 | -5 | 2 | -5 | -3 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon data from American Shipper, various years.

## Transport costs

In line with global trends (see also chapter 4), liner shipping freight rates in Latin America and the Caribbean have increased considerably since 2002. UNECLAC's index of freight rates from the ECSA, WCSA and Central America-NCSA in the second quarter of 2007 was 55 per cent higher than in 2002. Freight rates on the presented routes have shown a

Liner shipping freight rates in Latin America and the Caribbean have increased considerably since 2002.
similar evolution pattern between 2001 and 2007 (see figure 27). The strongest surge can be observed between the first quarter of 2003 and third quarter of 2004, when the index climbed almost 60 per cent.

Table 60 presents the relation of c.i.f./f.o.b. values in international maritime transport as an indicator for transport costs for imports from world regions to South American countries in 2005 and 2006. Intraregional

Figure 26
Connectivity in Latin America and the Caribbean, 2004-2007


Source: UNCTAD secretariat's Liner Shipping Connectivity Index, calculated on the basis of data provided by Containerisation International.

Figure 27
Container freight rate index, 2001-2007
base 2nd quarter 2002=100


[^2]Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC, Perfil Marítimo 2008.

Table 60
Transport costs for imports to selected South American countries, 2005 and 2006
(Percentages of c.i.f. value)

| Import to | Export regions | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | Africa | 6.9 | 7.6 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 9.6 | 8.5 |
|  | Europe | 5.2 | 5.0 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 7.5 | 6.6 |
|  | North America | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| Brazil | Africa | 8.4 | 9.4 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 11.1 | 9.4 |
|  | Europe | 6.0 | 5.8 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 7.4 | 7.3 |
|  | North America | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| Chile | Africa | 11.6 | 12.7 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 12.4 | 11.0 |
|  | Europe | 8.1 | 7.4 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 8.8 | 7.9 |
|  | North America | 9.3 | 7.5 |
| Colombia | Africa | 14.7 | 16.0 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 13.3 | 12.7 |
|  | Europe | 8.6 | 8.4 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 10.9 | 11.1 |
|  | North America | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| Ecuador | Africa | .. | 16.1 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | .. | 12.7 |
|  | Europe | .. | 9.5 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | .. | 11.4 |
|  | North America | .. | 11.5 |
| Paraguay | Africa | 10.0 | 10.5 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 16.0 | 13.2 |
|  | Europe | 12.3 | 10.1 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 10.6 | 9.5 |
|  | North America | 15.9 | 9.2 |
| Peru | Africa | 13.5 | 13.0 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 12.0 | 11.4 |
|  | Europe | 11.5 | 11.6 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 9.7 | 10.3 |
|  | North America | 12.7 | 12.1 |
| Uruguay | Africa | 9.5 | 9.0 |
|  | Asia/Pacific | 8.9 | 8.5 |
|  | Europe | 7.0 | 7.3 |
|  | Latin America and the Caribbean | 7.9 | 6.7 |
|  | North America | 7.6 | 8.7 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Source: } & \text { UNCTAD secretariat, based upon data provided by } \\ & \text { UNECLAC's International Transport Database BTI. }\end{array}$
Note: Excluding SITC 3 and 9 products.
imports face relatively higher transport costs in relation to the value of the product than imports from Europe, North America and the Asia-Pacific region. It can be observed that imports to countries of the ECSA face lower transport costs in relation to higher product values for both years presented.

An analysis at shipments level for different product groups (figure 28) illustrates that the greatest economies of scale are realized in transport products that are usually transported in bulk ships (SITC 2, 4 and 10). The least economies of scale can be realized in transport of machinery (SITC 7).

## D. PORTS

Ports in Latin America and the Caribbean accounted for approximately 6.8 per cent of world container throughput in 2006. This share is distributed evenly between the South American ports and the ports in Central America and the Caribbean.

Ports in Latin America have experienced significant growth rates over the last 10 years. Container ports have been the central focus of attention, but ports and terminals for bulk cargoes show even higher growth rates, driven
by the demand for commodities. Data on bulk terminals is sparse, because many of them are operated by private companies, which do not share data on port throughput.

Ports in Latin America and the Caribbean handled approximately 1.5 billiion metric tons. ${ }^{181}$ In terms of overall traffic volumes (tons) through ports Brazil is the leading country with over 714 million tons, followed by Mexico (271 million tons), Argentina (141 million tons) and Chile (112 million tons) (see table 61).

In the period 2004-2007, Belize, Colombia and Uruguay experienced the highest growth rates in port throughput volumes (in tons). The port throughput figures in tons also reflect the dominance of bulk trade in countries such as Brazil, Argentina, and Chile. If current average growth rates continue, port throughput will double in seven years. The speed of this development poses a number of opportunities and challenges to port and hinterland access development.

As regards containerized port traffic, table 62 shows that Brazil ( 8.7 million TEUs) continues to be the country with the highest volume of port throughput in 2007, followed by Panama ( 3.9 million TEUs) and Mexico

Figure 28
Economies of scale in maritime transport in imports to South American countries, SITC product groups, 2006


Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon International Transport Database BTI-2006.

Table 61
Port traffic by country in Latin America
(Thousands of tons)

|  | Region | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | Change 2004- <br> 2007 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brazil | South America | 618796 | 645560 | 629177 | 714817 | $15.5 \%$ |
| Mexico | Central America | 264530 | 282720 | 286724 | 271638 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Argentina | South America | 100843 | 101495 | 134758 | 141258 | $40.1 \%$ |
| Colombia | South America | 99000 | 100500 | 102610 | 112973 | $14.1 \%$ |
| Chile | South America | 91453 | 104922 | 103123 | 112650 | $23.2 \%$ |
| Ecuador | South America | 70642 | 69685 | 75250 | 40716 | a |
| Panama | Central America | 26246 | 34198 | 36685 | 32386 | $23.4 \%$ |
| Jamaica | Caribbean | 16998 | 18624 | 20177 | 19998 | $17.6 \%$ |
| Peru | South America | 16998 | 17946 | 19005 | 18561 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Guatemala | Central America | 14717 | 15755 | 16081 | 15968 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Dominican Republic | Caribbean | 15208 | 14987 | 15055 | 15586 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Costa Rica | Central America | 10794 | 11051 | 12706 | 13615 | $26.1 \%$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic of) | South America | 11205 | 9843 | 10544 | 11426 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Uruguay | South America | 7670 | 8416 | 10528 | 10215 | $33.2 \%$ |
| Honduras | Central America | 8765 | 9273 | 9464 | 9902 | $13.0 \%$ |
| El Salvador | Central America | 4686 | 5098 | 5965 | 4372 | $-6.7 \%$ |
| Guadeloupe | Caribbean | 2741 | 2973 | 3137 | 3436 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Nicaragua | Central America | 2328 | 2505 | 2707 | 2919 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Barbados | Caribbean | 1189 | 1202 | 1308 | 1313 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Netherlands Antilles | Caribbean | 809 | 895 | 915 | 985 | $21.8 \%$ |
| Belize | Central America | 251 | 247 | 256 | 723 | $187.9 \%$ |
| Saint Lucia | Caribbean | 418 | 498 | 535 | 548 | $31.2 \%$ |
| Bolivia | South America | 625 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Caribbean | 6254 | 6520 | 13239 | n.a. |  |

[^3]$a \quad$ Data for some ports are estimates. Data for Ecuador 2007 does not include private terminal data.

Table 62
Ranking of port activity by country in Latin America and Caribbean (TEUs)

|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Average annual growth 20042007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 4977180 | 5302242 | 7122054 | 8713984 | 25.03\% |
| Panama | 2428762 | 2731705 | 2949072 | 3907839 | 20.30\% |
| Mexico | 1902754 | 2133476 | 2676774 | 3063539 | 20.34\% |
| Chile | 1544935 | 1715999 | 2041145 | 2680939 | 24.51\% |
| Jamaica | 1356034 | 1670800 | 2150408 | 2016792 | 16.24\% |
| Argentina | 1251895 | 1490378 | 1800000 | 1863954 | 16.30\% |
| Colombia | 875415 | 953331 | 1333764 | 1835018 | 36.54\% |
| Bahamas | 1059581 | 1121285 | 1390000 | 1636000 | 18.13\% |
| Peru | 806567 | 991681 | 1085040 | 1175329 | 15.24\% |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 920884 | 1069008 | 1218798 | 1125221 | 7.40\% |
| Costa Rica | 734088 | 778651 | 828781 | 976621 | 11.01\% |
| Ecuador | 564093 | 632237 | 670237 | 894320 | 19.51\% |
| Guatemala | 750343 | 785868 | 809348 | 830936 | 3.58\% |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 449468 | 322466 | 632266 | 714972 | 19.69\% |
| Honduras | 555703 | 553013 | 593800 | 636435 | 4.84\% |
| Uruguay | 423343 | 454517 | 519218 | 596487 | 13.63\% |
| Dominican Republic | 537316 | 355404 | 366255 | 309344 | -14.14\% |
| Guadeloupe | 108658 | 154263 | 154506 | 168839 | 18.46\% |
| El Salvador | 45315 | 49151 | 124331 | 144458 | 72.93\% |
| Barbados | 82028 | 88758 | 98511 | 99623 | 7.15\% |
| Netherlands Antilles | 82087 | 89229 | 90759 | 97271 | 6.17\% |
| Nicaragua | 16983 | 18951 | 47854 | 58614 | 81.71\% |
| Belize | 35565 | 35891 | 38005 | 39191 | 3.40\% |
| Saint Lucia | 24965 | 60747 | 30656 | 32339 | 9.85\% |

[^4]3 million TEUs) The average annual growth rates in Latin America and the Caribbean was 19 per cent from 2004 to 2007. If the current trend continues, port throughput in 2008 will be double that of 2004. In 2007, total container port throughput in Latin America was around 33.6 million TEUs.

The need to double port capacity in a short period of time means capacity improvements need to be given a high priority in national port policies. This development will require significant investment.

The three biggest container ports - Santos, Brazil; Kingston, Jamaica; and Colon-Manzanillo, Panama each handled over 2 million TEUs in 2007 (see table 63). Their market share is equal to 19.65 per cent of total port throughputs in Latin America and the Caribbean. The top 10 ports account for around 45 per cent of the region's total container throughputs.

The top 10 ports account for 47.5 per cent of the region's total container throughputs.

With growing opportunities for private sector involvement, the presence of international terminal operators has increased in the region. International port operators are operating in the terminals of almost all main ports in South America, Panama, Mexico and the main container hub ports in the Caribbean (i.e. Jamaica, Bahamas, Puerto Rico, and Trinidad and Tobago). International operators continue to extend their market shares in the region and to control the strategically important ports in trade (see figure 30).

In June 2007, APM Terminals (APMT) assumed full ownership of the Terminal de Containers do Vale do Itajai S/A at the Port of Itajai in Southern Brazil. A terminal expansion plan will increase the facility's capacity to over 1 million TEUs. APMT further strengthened its presence in the region in October 2007, when purchasing a majority share of Alinport S.A. at the Port of Posorja, near Guayaquil, Ecuador. Construction has begun, with the
The region's key trans-shipment hubs are (a) Manzanillo, Panama, with 84.4 percent of its overall port throughput being trans-shipment; (b) Kingston, Jamaica (85.9 per cent); (c) Freeport, Bahamas (99 per cent); and (d) Balboa, Panama (84.9 per cent). ${ }^{182}$ Recently, ports in Cartagena, Colombia, and Point Lisas, Trinidad and Tobago, have started to challenge the traditional hub ports by increasing their share of trans-shipment traffic. The success of these new entrants is partly reflected in the latest reduction in concentration of port throughput on the NCSA (figure 29).

Terminal construction in smaller ports - e.g. Rio Grande, Brazil; Manta, Ecuador; and Mejillones, Chile - has created new opportunitiesfor liner services.

## Private sector involvement in ports

The participation of private sector companies in port operations has contributed to significant infrastructure investment and gains in efficiency. Private sector participation in Latin America has been driven by port reforms since the 1990s. Today, about 65 per cent of all ports in the region operate under a landlord scheme. Recent research ${ }^{183}$ has emphasized the positive impact of port privatization on efficiency in port and port-related operations in Latin America and the Caribbean. Sound institutional and effective frameworks able to adapt to market changes, reducing transaction costs and organizing and leadership of clusters are key success factors. ${ }^{184}$
opening of the planned 700,000 TEU capacity terminal facility expected in late 2009. This latest acquisition will bring the number of international terminal operators competing in Ecuador to three: ICTSI in Guayaquil, Hutchison Whampoa in Manta and APMT in Posorja.

In Brazil, the private sector is estimated to have invested \$600 million in container facilities since the beginning of the privatization process in the 1990s, however it is expected that the current developments and new port projects and expansions in Santos, Rio Grande, Santa Catarina, Itajai, Manaus and other locations will require a further $\$ 2.5$ billion over the next five years.

Tenders for concessions and the preparation of the legal framework for operation of port terminals in the main ports in Central American countries, other than Mexico, are trailing behind. Previous reform efforts, such as the port labour reform in Acajutla, El Salvador have resulted in significant efficiency gains, but did not bring new investments to the port. The development of a new port in La Union, El Salvador, as a port which could also serve Nicaragua and Honduras, is expected to be operational in 2009.

Port infrastructure and efficiency differs significantly throughout Latin America and even the best performing ports rank behind ports in other regions with comparable traffic volumes. Investments in ports have been increasing with private sector involvement since the start

Table 63
Top 25 container ports by port throughput in Latin America and the Caribbean (TEUs)
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Country } & \text { Port } & \mathbf{2 0 0 4} & \mathbf{2 0 0 5} & \mathbf{2 0 0 6} & \mathbf{2 0 0 7} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { annual } \\ \text { growth }\end{array} \\ & & & & & & \\ \text { 2004-2007 }\end{array}\right]$

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC - Perfil Marítimo and individual port data 2008.

Figure 29
Gini Index - concentration of port throughput by coast, 2000-2007


Source: UNCTAD secretariat.
of the port devolution ${ }^{185}$ processes in the 1990s. The effectiveness of liberalization and the developed regulatory and institutional frameworks have not always created the most fruitful environment for success. In a recent investment climate survey, over 50 per cent of Latin American businesses considered inadequate infrastructure to be a serious problem. ${ }^{186}$

Despite the success of private sector involvement in ports and a growing port throughput, access to ports and hinterlands have become a crucial factor in transport and port efficiency. Significant emphasis needs to be placed on the development of infrastructure to provide accessibility to port hinterlands.

The persistent high transport costs in Latin American maritime trade can be partly attributed to management and legal variables, as well as to infrastructure and superstructure restrictions. Empirical evidence has shown that port infrastructure and excessive regulatory mechanisms lead to higher transport costs, as is also underlined in the results from the 2007 World Bank Doing Business Report. ${ }^{187}$

Regional integration and infrastructure development have been recognized as key issues for success in the region. The IIRSA initiative ${ }^{188}$ in South America and the Plan Puebla Panama ${ }^{189}$ Central America have evolved, both working on the development of infrastructure, providing financing mechanism and realization of infrastructure projects with regional impact.

## E. VESSEL REGISTRATION

Thirty-three per cent of the world fleet is registered in Latin America and the Caribbean (see table 64). In 2008, this was equal to 363 million dwt. Five of the 10 major open and international registries are in Latin America and the Caribbean; they account for 56 per cent of the tonnage. Their biggest share is in general cargo vessels ( 72 per cent), bulk carriers ( 65 per cent) and container ships (50 per cent).

Panama, the Bahamas and Antigua and Barbuda flag 89 per cent of all the ships registered in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Thirty-three per cent of the world fleet is registered in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Figure 30

## Geographical distribution of international terminal operators in Latin America and the Caribbean, 2008



Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon and updated from Wilmsmeier G. and Sánchez R. (2006), Port development in Latin America. The complementarity and divergence of systems. Annual meeting of American Geographers. AAG. Chicago.

Note: The borders and names on this map do not imply official support or acceptance from the United Nations. Abbreviations used: APM: APM Terminals; DPW: Dubai Ports World; HHLA: Hamburger Hafen und Logistik AG; HPH: Hutchison Whampoa Inc.; ICTSI: International Container Terminal Services, Inc.; KN: Katoen Natie; PSA: PSA Singapore; SAAM: Sudamericana Agencias Aéreas y Marítimas S.A.; SSA: SSA Marine; TCB: Terminales de contenedores e instalaciones multiproposito Grup TCB.

## Table 64

Participation of Latin America and Caribbean flags of registration, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ types of ship ${ }^{\text {b }}$

(dwt, 1 January 2008)

|  | Total <br> fleet | Oil <br> tankers | Bulk <br> carriers | General <br> cargo $^{\text {c }}$ | Container <br> ships | Other <br> types |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Share among major 10 open <br> and international registries | $56 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Share of world total | $33 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat on the basis of data provided by Lloyd's Register-Fairplay.
a The designations employed and the presentation of material in this table refer to flags of registration and do not imply the expression of any opinion by the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country or territory, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers.
b Ships of 100 GT and over, excluding the Great Lakes fleets of the United States, Canada and the United States ReserveFleet.
c Including passenger/cargo.

Table 65 illustrates the growth of vessel registration in Latin America and the Caribbean. Vessel registration in the region has shifted away from South American countries towards Caribbean countries, which have focused on ship registrations as a new source of economic activity. At the beginning of 2008, 10.7 per cent of the fleet registered in Latin America and the Caribbean was running flags from ECSA countries, in comparison to 58.7 per cent in 1980. A similar development can be observed on the WCSA; in 2008, 4.2 per cent of the Latin American and Caribbean fleet was running flags from this region, one third of the participation in 1980.

Central American countries show a small participation in the world fleet, with the exception of Panama and Mexico. The composition of the fleet in 2008 was as follows: 23.0 per cent were tankers, 25.4 per cent dry bulk carriers, 27.6 per cent general cargo vessels,
16.1 per cent containerships and 7.7 per cent other types of vessels. Since 2000, the share of tankers ( 23.6 per cent) has been constant. The share of containerships has increased, while the share of dry bulk carriers have decreased. The share of tankers may increase in the next few years, given that Petrobras, Brazil has plans to significantly expand its fleet over the next few years and thus also contribute to shipbuilding activities in Brazil.

The composition of the open and international registries in the region shows that these countries have specialized in certain ship types. By way of example, 51.6 per cent of the ships registered in Panama in 2008 are dry bulk carriers. The fleet registered in the Bahamas consists of 51.1 per cent oil tankers, while Bermuda seems to focus on dry bulk (43.7 per cent) and general cargo ships (42.4 per cent) (for details see table 66).

Table 65
Merchant fleets of the world and of Latin American and Caribbean countries, selected years
(In thousand dwt)

|  | Year | Total | Oil tankers | Bulk carriers | General | Container ships | Other types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| World total | 1980 | 682768 | 339'324 | 185652 | 115824 | 11243 | 30725 |
|  | 1990 | 658377 | 245936 | 234659 | 102676 | 25955 | 49151 |
|  | 2000 | 808377 | 285442 | 281655 | 102653 | 69216 | 69412 |
|  | 2004 | 895843 | 336156 | 320584 | 92048 | 98064 | 48991 |
|  | 2008 | 1117779 | 407881 | 391127 | 105492 | 144655 | 68624 |
| Latin America - total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 21794 | 7914 | 6183 | 6547 | 37 | 1113 |
|  | 1990 | 25529 | 7501 | 9025 | 6348 | 364 | 2291 |
|  | 2000 | 34051 | 7645 | 9934 | 9837 | 3540 | 3095 |
|  | 2004 | 36741 | 8687 | 10299 | 9672 | 5345 | 2738 |
|  | 2008 | $41^{\prime} 802$ | 9'615 | $10 ' 621$ | 11 '563 | 6 '760 | $3 ' 244$ |
| East Coast South | 1980 | 12649 | 4866 | 3893 | 3491 | 0 | 399 |
| America | 1990 | 14459 | 5119 | 6303 | 1907 | 214 | 916 |
|  | 2000 | 6923 | 3039 | 2625 | 687 | 196 | 376 |
|  | 2004 | 5131 | 2444 | 1403 | 528 | 189 | 567 |
|  | 2008 | 4514 | 2286 | 945 | 416 | 246 | 621 |
| West Coast South | 1980 | 2717 | 484 | 929 | 1212 | 0 | 92 |
| America | 1990 | 2770 | 558 | 973 | 1022 | 0 | 217 |
|  | 2000 | 1646 | 615 | 370 | 236 | 77 | 348 |
|  | 2004 | 1740 | 818 | 323 | 189 | 21 | 389 |
|  | 2008 | 1783 | 864 | 299 | 196 | 21 | 403 |
| Others (including | 1980 | 6428 | 2564 | 1361 | 1844 | 37 | 622 |
| Mexico, Caribbean and | 1990 | 8300 | 1824 | 1749 | 3419 | 150 | 1158 |
| Central America) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 | 25482 | 3991 | 6939 | 8914 | 3267 | 2371 |
|  | 2004 | 29871 | 5425 | 8574 | 8955 | 5135 | 1783 |
|  | 2008 | 35505 | 6464 | 9376 | 10951 | 6493 | 2220 |

Source: UNCTAD Review of Maritime Transport, various issues.
Vessels registered in Antigua and Barbuda, the Bahamas, Bermuda, Panama and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines are not included since these are included in the top 10 major open and international registries. See also annex III.

Table 66
Merchant fleets of Latin America and the Caribbean by flag of registration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and types of ship, ${ }^{\text {b }}$
as of 1 January 2008
(In thousand dwt)

|  | Total fleet | Oil tankers | Bulk carriers | General cargo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Container ships | Other types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anguilla | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Argentina | 1143 | 628 | 144 | 115 | 18 | 238 |
| Aruba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barbados | 1006 | 242 | 389 | 301 | 0 | 74 |
| Belize | 1490 | 50 | 294 | 918 | 9 | 219 |
| Bolivia | 127 | 50 | 7 | 49 | 0 | 21 |
| Brazil | 3296 | 1645 | 802 | 289 | 227 | 334 |
| British Virgin Islands | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Cayman Islands | 4358 | 2238 | 1719 | 259 | 0 | 142 |
| Chile | 1088 | 459 | 299 | 101 | 21 | 207 |
| Colombia | 111 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 43 |
| Costa Rica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cuba | 77 | 25 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 31 |
| Dominica | 1734 | 755 | 796 | 148 | 0 | 36 |
| Dominican Republic | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Ecuador | 377 | 309 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 65 |
| El Salvador | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Falkland Islands ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 |
| Grenada | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Guatemala | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Guyana | 42 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 7 |
| Haiti | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Honduras | 795 | 265 | 108 | 325 | 2 | 94 |
| Jamaica | 248 | 3 | 200 | 33 | 11 | 0 |
| Mexico | 1519 | 1005 | 28 | 78 | 0 | 409 |
| Netherlands Antilles | 1713 | 51 | 374 | 909 | 102 | 278 |
| Nicaragua | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Paraguay | 59 | 4 | 0 | 47 | 6 | 1 |
| Peru | 207 | 83 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 87 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 977 | 205 | 219 | 520 | 2 | 30 |
| Suriname | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Turks and Caicos Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uruguay | 75 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 49 |

Table 66 (continued)

|  | Total <br> fleet | Oil <br> tankers | Bulk <br> carriers | General <br> cargo | Container <br> ships | Other <br> types |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Venezuela | 1574 | 875 | 281 | 58 | 2 | 358 |
| French Guyana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guadeloupe | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 11183 | 29 | 1229 | 3635 | 6205 | 85 |
| Bahamas | 59744 | 30510 | 13239 | 6610 | 1998 | 7387 |
| Bermuda | 9870 | 2100 | 3438 | 123 | 813 | 3397 |
| Panama <br> Saint Vincent and <br> the Grenadines | 252564 | 66342 | 130433 | 17274 | 30007 | 8508 |
| Total | 8503 | 651 | 3723 | 3610 | 154 | 365 |

Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon Fairplay 2008.
The designations employed and the presentation of material in this table refer to flags of registration and do not imply the expression of any opinion by the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country or territory, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers.

Ships of 100 GT and over, excluding the Great Lakes fleets of the United States, Canada and the United States Reserve Fleet.

Including passenger/cargo.
A dispute exists between the Governments of Argentina and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland concerning sovereignty over the Falkland Islands (Malvinas).


[^0]:    Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon OECD Development Centre (2007); based on WITS and Comtrade (2007) data.
    a Latin America and Caribbean.
    Note: $\quad$ The Balassa index measures the relative export performance by country and industry, defined as a country's share of world exports of a good divided by its share of total world exports.

[^1]:    Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC, CEPALSTAT various years.

[^2]:    - = Exports from East Coast South America - Exports from Central and North
    = = Exports from West Coast South America Coast South America

[^3]:    Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC- Perfil Marítimo, Containerisation International and individual port data for 2006 and 2007.

[^4]:    Source: UNCTAD secretariat based upon UNECLAC - Perfil Marítimo and individual port data.

