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I. Introduction
At a time when digital connectivity defines our lives, the importance of data in shaping the trajectory of global development cannot be understated. As economies and societies become increasingly interwoven with digital technologies, data emerge not only as an asset but as a catalyst for transformative change. While data-driven insights harbor the potential to spur innovations and foster sustainable growth, the pathway is riddled with both unparalleled prospects and formidable challenges.

This issues paper seeks to unravel the multifaceted relationship between data and sustainable development, probing into its vast potential and the inherent risks, especially in the context of the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda. Through an in-depth exploration of relevant literature and country case studies contributed by the members of the United Nations Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) and international organizations, we aim to offer a comprehensive understanding of how nations, both developed and developing, can harness data as a formidable ally in their quest for sustainable progress.

In today's digital age, data are not just a mere information record. It serves as a fundamental building block for economic advancement and policymaking. Data fuel the creation of innovative business models and paves the way for transformative breakthroughs. When organizations adopt data-centric approaches, it not only redefines their governance structures but can also amplify their productivity. By opening up new markets and catering to a myriad of customer needs, data stand out as a pivotal catalyst. More importantly, its ability to provide a systemic evidence means we can better understand the intricate interplay of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and their interconnected impact.

When managed wisely, data have the potential to combat pressing global issues, ranging from poverty eradication to climate change mitigation, from ensuring food security to disaster risk management, and even in mounting responses to pandemics. However, it is crucial to remember that like all powerful tools, data come with its set of challenges. If mishandled, it can accentuate the disparities in developmental outcomes, magnifying the digital divide and potentially morphing it into a broader developmental chasm. This is especially concerning when we consider the widening gulf between the developed and developing nations. If left unregulated, data can inadvertently lead to market monopolies, spawn discriminatory practices, and even pose threats to fundamental human rights, among other issues.

In this issues paper, we uncover the channels through which data can invigorate developmental initiatives, streamline production systems, and champion evidence-based policymaking—all of which culminate in the realization of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Drawing from a rich tapestry of experiences—both from developed economies with advanced data infrastructures and developing nations embarking on their data-driven journeys—we spotlight invaluable lessons and best practices. These narratives not only serve as beacons of success but also offer replicable models for nations worldwide.

In addition to examining the potential of data in driving sustainable development, this issues paper unpacks the intricate challenges that public and private sectors encounter in maximizing data utilization. It emphasizes the pivotal role of data quality, robust infrastructure, and rigorous cybersecurity, underscoring the urgency for stringent standards that ensure data’s integrity and security. The rise of systemic issues, including stifled market competition and ethical dilemmas stemming from data misuse, is brought to the fore. The paper examines the impact of data-centric business models, such as digital platforms, elucidating their propensity to engender imbalances in labor markets, market competition, and consumption patterns. By shedding light on the multifaceted nature of data-related challenges, this paper aims to stimulate informed
discussions and catalyze strategic initiatives to bolster both effective and ethical data utilization.

The structure of this paper is as follows: the subsequent three sections lay the foundation by exploring the nature of data (Section II), promises of data for sustainable development (Section III) and its challenges (Section IV). Section V delves into data governance, focusing on three pivotal areas: global data governance, data taxonomies, and the social contract for data governance. Section VI focuses on the capacities of governments to benefit from data and address associated challenges. Section VII concludes with recommendations and conclusions for the review of Governments, the international community, and the CSTD. Additionally, this issues paper comprises an Annex detailing suggested discussion questions to enrich the discussion on data for sustainable development during the Intersessional Panel of the Commission in November 2023.

II. Understanding the multifaceted nature of data

This section delves into the intricate nature of data, defined as observations transformed digitally to yield actionable insights and knowledge. The process of creating and managing data is shaped by both technological and socio-cultural factors, highlighting the non-neutrality of data. The discussion underscores the significant role of data in achieving sustainable development goals. While it emphasizes data’s capacity to address global challenges when managed effectively, it also warns of the risks tied to its mishandling. In conclusion, the section stresses the importance of a deeper understanding of the relationship between data and digital technologies in order to fully realize data’s potential in advancing sustainable development.

Data, in its essence, can be defined as “observations that have been converted into a digital form that can be stored, transmitted or processed, and from which knowledge can be drawn” (Statistics Canada, 2019). Observations, in the broadest sense, refer to any form of information or facts about the world around us, captured through various means such as sensors, human inputs, or automated systems. These observations can encompass a multitude of aspects - from physical quantities like temperature and pressure to more abstract concepts like human emotions or market trends.

The transformation of these observations into a digital form signifies the conversion of this information into a language that machines and digital systems can understand. The subsequent storage, transmission, and processing of digital data enable us to retain information for future use, share it across geographical boundaries, and manipulate it in ways that allow us to derive meaningful insights (UNCTAD, 2021). Data, in its raw form, may not hold much value. It is through careful analysis and interpretation that data are converted into actionable knowledge, insights, or intelligence. This transformation is what truly defines the value of data, as it allows us to make informed decisions, discover patterns, predict trends, and ultimately drive progress in various fields. The ability to utilize data holds significant potential for enhancing social welfare across the entire economy. This value extends beyond just the private interests of organizations that manage specific data sets, benefiting society at large (Coyle et al., 2020).

Data are increasingly recognized as a critical enabler of sustainable development goals (UN, 2022c). When effectively managed, data have the potential to address significant global challenges such as poverty reduction, ensuring food security, mitigating climate change impacts, managing disaster risks, and responding to pandemics (UNCTAD, 2022b). However, if data are mishandled or mismanaged, it can exacerbate inequalities in development outcomes and undermine the development potential of the digital economy (Vinuesa et al., 2020).

---

In stark contrast to conventional production inputs such as raw materials or labor, data are not naturally occurring. Rather, data are a product of complex technological systems and socio-cultural interactions. When creating data, social actors, including individuals and organizations, make several choices about what information to focus on, what to record, and how to encode it (Aaltonen et al., 2023). These choices are influenced by a wide range of factors, including the actors' goals, values, and biases, as well as broader social, cultural, and economic factors. Data are not simply objective representations of reality; instead, data reflect the choices and values of the individuals and systems involved in their creation. This non-neutrality shapes our perception and communication by determining what information is collected, how it is represented, and how it is interpreted.

Simultaneously, the creation and transformation of data into digital form are strongly influenced by the capabilities and limitations of digital technologies, including their ability to handle, store, and process information. Such a relationship between data and technology affects how data functions as a filter of perception (Alaimo & Kallinikos, 2022). Viewing data as part of technological infrastructures highlights the fact that the value and significance of data are not intrinsic but emerge from the broader systems in which they are situated. Within these technological systems, data are transformed into facts that serve as the foundation for evidence-based decisions. The notion of "evidence" in this context possesses limited objectivity, as it is jointly shaped by socio-cultural perceptions and technological affordances. This perspective emphasizes the significance of the algorithms, models, and other analytical tools that accompany data throughout its value chain. Organizations must diligently design, manage, and update their data infrastructures, keeping in mind the accuracy, reliability, and fairness of the tools and methodologies employed.

The generation and use of data are intrinsically tied to the advent and deployment of digital technologies such as broadband networks, Internet of Things (IoT), mobile phones, and more (Box 1). Broadband networks have vastly expanded the reach and speed of data communication, enabling real-time, high-volume data transfer across vast geographical distances. Internet of Things (IoT) devices, ranging from home automation systems to industrial sensors, are another major source of data. By embedding connectivity and computing capabilities into everyday objects, IoT devices generate a constant stream of data about their operation and environment. Similarly, the ubiquity of mobile phones has led to an explosion in user-generated data. From geolocation data to social media posts, mobile phones provide a constant feed of digital data that reflects a wide range of human activities and behaviors. Each of these technologies plays a crucial role in the lifecycle of data, serving as both a source and a conduit for data.

The generation of data is just the first step in data value chain. Data need to be collected, stored, and analysed, often across various systems, before it can be used effectively. In this context, data represent a new kind of resource – one that is inherently linked to the technological infrastructure that generates, manages, and uses it. As such, understanding and navigating the complexities of synergies between novel technological innovations and data is a crucial aspect of harnessing the potential of data for sustainable development. In order to leverage the full potential of data, it is essential that data governance efforts consider the intrinsic connectivity of data and digital technologies and involve all relevant stakeholders.
Box 1. Examples of frontier data-enabled technologies

**Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI)**
Generative AI refers to a subset of artificial intelligence systems that are trained to create content, patterns, or predictions based on the vast amounts of data they have been exposed to. Generative AI has been widely adopted globally for various applications such as software development, essays, business letters, and contracts. Generative AI is “a technology that leverages deep learning models to generate human-like content (e.g., images, words) in response to complex and varied prompts (e.g., languages, instructions, questions)” (Lim et al., 2023, p. 2). Unlike previous generations of AI systems, Generative AI stands out due to its dynamic context and unprecedented scale of use. Generative AI goes beyond existing AI solutions by not only providing a response but also generating the content within that response. It can create new and original responses that go beyond what it has been explicitly programmed for. This flexibility and creativity make Generative AI a powerful tool for tasks that require generating diverse and contextually relevant content, such as writing, creative expression, and problem-solving. The ability to generate content rather than simply providing predefined responses gives Generative AI a unique edge in various applications and expands its potential for innovation and creativity.

**Quantum computing**
Quantum computing’s efficiency in solving complex calculations could greatly enhance machine learning and AI applications. This technology allows for the transplantation of entire learning techniques, such as neural networks, into the quantum domain. This could lead to quantum neural networks that can process and learn from information exponentially faster and potentially more accurately than their classical counterparts. Moreover, it promises to democratize AI by reducing the time and cost of training complex AI models, making them more accessible to smaller firms. Powered by quantum computing, data analytics can simulate chemical reactions at an atomic level, allowing us to understand the potential outcomes of different combinations of molecules better and at a level of detail far beyond the capabilities of classical computers. This technological advancement opens up opportunities in manufacturing, enabling the creation of new materials with tailored properties that surpass the performance of existing alternatives. Quantum computing promises a transformative leap in a range of industries, including, but not limited to manufacturing, food production, and genome sequencing.

**Distributed ledger technologies**
Blockchain and other distributed ledger technologies (DLTs) have emerged as transformative solutions for securing data and facilitating transactions in a decentralized manner, without the need for a central authority (UNCTAD, 2023b). By providing a transparent and secure digital transfer of value and ownership within a network, DLTs have the potential to revolutionize economic and data transactions. Every transaction recorded on the ledger is visible to all participants, creating a high level of accountability and reducing the potential for fraud or manipulation. This transparency can enhance trust among participants and enable new forms of collaboration and cooperation. DLTs serve as a foundation for complementary innovations and can reshape entire industries and economic systems. DLTs have already demonstrated their versatility, finding applications in various sectors beyond cryptocurrency, including supply chain management, healthcare, finance, and public governance.

III. Promises of data for development

Section III provides an overview of how nations can leverage data to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This section introduces two perspectives on data that shape the narrative of the subsequent sections. The first perspective views data as an economic input. Data serve as a driving force for development, generating economic value and creating new market opportunities. The roles of digital platforms in global entrepreneurship and international trade are discussed in this context. The second perspective sees data as a crucial tool for decision-making, offering robust evidence to support effective policies and strategies. This includes its role in improving health and welfare, as well as in combating environmental degradation and climate change.

The increasing integration of data into every aspect of society calls for heightened attention from policymakers across all fields. The facilitation of data access and exchange is projected to yield socio-economic advantages amounting to roughly 0.1% to 2.5% of a country’s total Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in the next few years (OECD, 2019a). But these projections,
as impressive as they sound, might just be the tip of the iceberg (Ker & Mazzini, 2020). Measuring the true value of data is a complex endeavor. The intricate nature of data, its multifaceted applications, and its potential for creating unforeseen synergies can make it challenging to assign an accurate numeric value to its worth. Therefore, while the current estimates already suggest significant economic gains, the actual impact on a country's economy, when considering the cascading benefits and multiplier effects of data integration, could very well be substantially larger than the given range³.

The interplay between data and development is a multifaceted one, encompassing two distinctive yet interconnected perspectives, each carrying equal significance. The first perspective situates data within the economic development process itself, viewing it as a key economic asset within the data value chain (Haskel & Westlake, 2017). In this context, data acts as a catalyst for development, driving value creation⁴. This happens when raw data are processed and transformed into digital intelligence or data products. Data become a strategic asset, fostering innovation, optimizing operational efficiency, and steering companies towards more data-driven, knowledge-based business models. Data-driven innovations can increase productivity and facilitate transformative innovations that create new markets and foster novel ways of value creation (Box 2).

Box 2. How can data-enabled technologies support development programs: the case of industrial drones

We are at the beginning of the mass adoption of drones as a visionary, safe, and scalable solution in development programs. Over recent years, drones have begun to find increasingly specialized and innovative applications in a host of sectors from healthcare to agriculture. In the sugar cane farms of KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa, drones have been used to spray crops, reducing the amount of manual labor required and possibly enhancing the precision of the application (Caboz, 2020). Similarly, in Rwanda, drones have been successfully employed to transport blood, circumventing logistical inefficiencies related to the cold chain storage of blood supplies (Russo & Wolf, 2019). In the aftermath of Nepal's devastating 2015 earthquake, drones were used in surveying and mapping areas to facilitate post-disaster reconstruction efforts (Wang, 2019). The potential applications of drones are not just confined to these instances; they can revolutionize entire industries (Maghazei et al., 2022).

However, despite these promising developments, the full-scale adoption of drones in development programs is still embryonic. One of the main challenges is a limited understanding of the full spectrum of use-cases, benefits, and hurdles associated with drone technology. Another challenge lies in the absence of clear, actionable guidance on the best practices for drone adoption, from initial conception through to implementation. This includes tackling operational challenges such as flying drones either within line of sight or beyond, ensuring safe data collection practices, and seamlessly integrating these capabilities into existing systems. Regulatory barriers also pose substantial obstacles, from risk assessments to the cumbersome process of obtaining flight permits and other authorizations. Further complicating the landscape are organizational challenges, which can range from securing reliable access to drone vendors to navigating a maze of contractual and liability considerations. Behavioral aspects, including the acceptance and trust of this new technology by communities and stakeholders, must also be accounted for.

Lastly, there is an overarching need for comprehensive governmental policies and long-term strategic planning, particularly in developing nations, to fully leverage the potential of drone technology. Without these, even the most promising pilot projects are likely to remain isolated examples of what could be, rather than harbingers of a new era in developmental aid and industrial efficiency.⁵

⁴ Contribution from the Government of Djibouti
⁵ Contribution from Prof. O. Maghazei, University of Bath.
Concurrently, data can offer societal benefits that extend beyond the exclusive gains realized by companies (Coyle et al., 2020). The second perspective perceives data as a critical tool in decision-making processes, aiding in the achievement of economic, social, and environmental objectives (UNCTAD, 2022b). Seen through this lens, the correlation between data usage and development is quite explicit. The surge in data availability, facilitated by advancements in digital technologies, can substantially assist in progressing towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This is accomplished by furnishing robust evidence for decision-making, thus enhancing the effectiveness of policies and strategies⁶. Data-centered approaches can support policy decisions grounded in evidence, leading to more streamlined and impactful policy measures⁷. Data can also help us decarbonize our economies and make them more sustainable.

Data facilitate a systems approach, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions among various SDGs (table 1). By harnessing vast amounts of data, we can begin to identify and anticipate the ripple effects caused by actions aimed at a particular goal. This understanding allows for the design of interventions that are not only targeted but are also integrated, effectively addressing multiple SDGs simultaneously without undermining any one of them. The strength of this approach lies in its ability to build upon ongoing national and international efforts to define and track progress towards each SDG.

Data-centered approaches can effectively utilize the indicators defined for each goal, processing and learning from the corresponding data in a way that humans alone could not. By facilitating a more detailed and nuanced understanding of these indicators, data-driven innovations can support both the attainment of these goals and the monitoring of progress towards them. The use of data in this context also enhances transparency and accountability in the pursuit of SDGs⁸. With novel advancements in data analytics, stakeholders can continuously track progress, identify potential setbacks, and implement course corrections as necessary. In essence, the data-based solutions not only support more holistic and effective interventions towards the SDGs but also foster a more dynamic and responsive approach to sustainable development.

For countries in the global South, data-driven technologies offer the opportunity to bridge the socio-economic development gap⁹. They can accelerate and expand economies, allowing these nations to ‘leapfrog’ into a more advanced technological paradigm, bypassing intermediate stages. Furthermore, these technologies can help compensate for the lack of capital infrastructure, enhance the speed, scope, and depth of long-term planning, and refine sectoral policies.

**Table 1. Contribution of data to SDGs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDG</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF CONTRIBUTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>End poverty in all its forms everywhere</td>
<td>Data-driven initiatives can help map and understand the specific needs of impoverished communities, enabling the delivery of tailored solutions. Data can enhance productivity and efficiency in various industries, leading to cost savings and increased competitiveness, consequently lifting people out of poverty.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶ Contribution from the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania.
⁷ Contributions from the Government of Brazil.
⁸ Examples of such initiatives include the National SDG Tracker developed by UN ESCAP and the SDG tracker developed by the Our World in Data team.
⁹ Contribution from the Government of the Russian Federation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture</td>
<td>Data collected from various sources (such as satellites, drones, or IoT sensors) can help farmers optimize their use of resources (water, fertilizers, etc.), increasing the sustainability of agriculture by reducing waste and environmental impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages</td>
<td>By analyzing data on disease patterns, vaccination rates, and healthcare facilities’ reach, targeted interventions can be designed to improve healthcare access in underserved areas. Predictive analytics can help preempt disease outbreaks, ensuring timely responses and potentially saving lives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all</td>
<td>Data analytics can help in personalizing education according to a student's learning style, pace, and strengths, thereby enhancing the learning experience and outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls</td>
<td>Granular data collection and analysis can help identify disparities in areas such as education, employment, health, and political representation, forming the basis for targeted policy interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all</td>
<td>Data analytics can guide sustainable water management strategies, helping to conserve water and ensure its equitable distribution. Data from smart metering systems can inform the planning and development of water and sanitation infrastructure, ensuring it meets future needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all</td>
<td>Data from smart grids can help monitor and analyze energy consumption patterns, leading to the design of energy-efficient strategies and energy-saving technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all</td>
<td>Data on start-ups, patent applications, and R&amp;D investments can help foster an environment that promotes entrepreneurship and innovation, key drivers of economic growth. By identifying the skills demanded by the job market and comparing them to the skills of the workforce, data can guide the development of effective education and training programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation</td>
<td>Data can inform the planning, design, and maintenance of resilient infrastructure, taking into account factors such as population growth, urbanization, and climate change. Furthermore, data on technology use and digital connectivity can guide strategies to promote technology access and digital inclusion, key elements of a resilient infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reduce inequality within and among countries</td>
<td>Data on socio-economic dynamics can help measure and monitor socioeconomic disparities both within and between countries. This includes differences in income, access to opportunities, and resource distribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable</td>
<td>Data-driven approaches can help predict population growth patterns, optimize traffic and transportation systems, and assist in environmental sustainability efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</td>
<td>Data from smart devices can be used to analyze the use of resources in production processes, helping businesses to identify ways to improve efficiency, reduce waste, and minimize environmental impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts</td>
<td>Climate data enable the development of targeted strategies and interventions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote renewable energy sources, optimize resource management, and enhance climate resilience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development</td>
<td>Data on ocean-based economic activities like fisheries, tourism, and offshore energy can help balance economic development with sustainable management of marine resources. Data-centered approaches can further improve resource extraction from undersea geological formations to minimize the environmental impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss</td>
<td>Data on forest cover, deforestation rates, and forest health can guide sustainable forest management practices, contributing to carbon sequestration and biodiversity conservation. Data can help design and implement measures to protect endangered species and halt biodiversity loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels</td>
<td>Data on socio-economic dynamics can help assess the accessibility and effectiveness of justice systems, guiding reforms to ensure that everyone, especially marginalized groups, can access justice. Data on government activities can increase transparency and hold institutions accountable, strengthening rule of law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development</td>
<td>Data analytics can be used to track aid flows, assess the impact of development assistance, and ensure that aid is being effectively used to achieve the SDGs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following sections dive into data's dual role: as a fundamental economic input and a crucial tool for evidence-informed decision-making. First, we explore how data fuel transformative innovation, how it is redefining competition, the emergence and significance of platform-based ecosystems, data potential in shaping a circular economy, and its application in sustainable energy production and management. Shifting focus to decision-making, our exploration sheds light on the power of data analytics in combating climate change, its transformative influence on agricultural systems and nutrition, the role data play in contemporary urban planning, its importance in efficient disaster management, and its revolutionary impact on healthcare and health research. These discussions underscore data's expansive potential in shaping development trajectories.

**A. Data as an economic input**

Data are more than just a byproduct of online interactions. It is the lifeblood of the modern economy. As data become an integral production factor, it promises unparalleled opportunities while presenting new challenges. By delving into the nuances of data's role in economy, we explore the concept of increasing returns to scale when data are effectively harnessed, the implications for market competition, and the intricacies of data complementarities.
When data are harnessed as a crucial factor of production, it has the unique capability to showcase increasing returns to scale. As we feed more data into the system or process, the output we receive is not merely proportional to the input. Rather, the output magnifies at a rate that is significantly larger than the incremental increase in data volume. This phenomenon sets the stage for a self-reinforcing virtuous cycle. As organizations delve deeper into data analysis, they unearth valuable insights. When these insights are effectively applied, it often results in an enhancement of product quality and an expansion in the scope of operations. This, in turn, translates into the creation and accumulation of even more data. The cycle then repeats itself, each time providing the organization with richer datasets and more refined insights, thus constantly amplifying the positive outcomes. This continuous loop of data collection, analysis, application, and then regeneration of data serves as a powerful enabler for sustained organizational growth and innovation.

However, this increasing returns’ dynamic also presents barriers to entry for newcomers. To take advantage of the virtuous cycle and benefit from learning effects, companies need to achieve a critical mass of data. New competitors lacking access to large datasets are thus at a significant disadvantage, which has important implications for market competition and regulatory oversight.

Adding another layer of complexity is the role of data complementarities. More value can be extracted when different types of data are integrated. For example, a company with access to both online shopping history and social media activity can potentially gain deeper and more nuanced insights than a company limited to just one type of data. Understanding how these complementarities work can offer additional perspectives on how data can generate value at scale. Companies are increasingly looking to deepen their customer understanding, sometimes through strategic mergers that combine complementary datasets. Such mergers can heighten "across-user learning efficiency," fortifying a firm's competitive edge even further (Hagiu & Wright, 2022). As a result, regulators need to be alert to these shifting landscapes, particularly when increasing returns to scale and mergers of complementary datasets can potentially stifle competition.

Accumulating more data can yield valuable additional insights and increase profitability. However, in some cases, there can be diminishing or even negative returns from increasing data scale. While more data can improve the accuracy and robustness of insights, beyond a certain point, the returns may start to decline. For instance, on average, algorithmic suggestions on music streaming platforms often surpass human selection in general efficiency. Yet, these outcomes hinge on the proficiency of human editors, the volume of individual data accessible to the system, and fluctuations in the surrounding conditions that lead to changes in consumer demand (Peukert et al., forthcoming). Augmenting data analytics with human curation can help overcome the limitations of personalized algorithmic suggestions. Therefore, increasing data volumes will not contribute to higher returns by default. Companies also need to have complementary investments in capabilities and skills to benefit from increasing data volumes.

Additionally, users might feel overwhelmed when presented with too many choices on digital platforms or they might opt for a smaller network on a platform to maintain their privacy (Tucker, 2018). For search engines, extended periods of data retention might offer no significant benefits in terms of market shares (Chiou & Tucker, 2017). Managing vast quantities of data can become resource-intensive, and may not always yield proportionally higher benefits.

For data-driven companies, it is crucial to focus not just on the sheer volume of data, but also on the quality and relevance of the data collected. Companies with deeper data on user profiles will be at an advantage when facing novel tasks, as they can learn and adapt faster due to their
more comprehensive datasets (Sapi & Schaefer, 2023). Therefore, next to data quantity, companies focus on data depth to achieve a competitive advantage. Understanding the dynamics of data control and its returns can be key to evaluating its role in competition and its implications for regulatory policy. Depending on how fast the returns to data diminish, the need for regulatory intervention will differ. The role of data complementarities, barriers to entry, and potential for learning efficiencies across users are all critical factors to consider in this landscape.

1. **Transforming innovation and competition in the data-driven age**

Data serve as a foundation for both economic production and fostering innovation (Nambisan et al., 2019; Plekhanov et al., 2022; Teece, 2018). By leveraging data, organizations can devise novel solutions to existing problems, optimizing processes and outcomes to a degree hitherto unattainable. In the context of sustainability transitions, the value of data lies in its potential to provide a balance between economic demands and sustainability requirements, thereby fostering more responsible growth and development.

The combination of data with recent advancements in digital technologies sparks chains of innovations, creating a domino effect of interconnected and co-dependent innovation activities. This ripple effect increases the complexity of the innovation landscape due to the speed of changes and the interconnectedness of various elements of innovation process. With this complexity comes unpredictability. The impacts of data-driven innovations can frequently exceed the initial expectations of their creators, leading to unforeseen consequences. These unexpected outcomes can stir further disruptions within organizations and industries, potentially necessitating additional investments to address new challenges and timely policy adjustments (UNCTAD, 2021; Yoo, 2010). Unintended outcomes can vary widely, from inherent biases in AI systems against certain societal groups to the deployment of data and algorithms in the development of lethal autonomous weapons systems (UNESCO, 2021; UNIDIR, 2021).

Data exchanges are increasingly becoming the epicenters of a transformative shift in innovation ecosystems, fundamentally redefining how we perceive and approach innovation itself. Traditionally, innovation was often seen as a linear process, proceeding from inception to product development to market release. However, in today's digital landscape, innovation processes are far from linear. Influenced by digital data and associated technologies, they have become fluid, dynamic, and highly interactive, with multiple touchpoints that allow for iterative feedback loops and constant refinements. This evolution necessitates business owners to recalibrate their innovation management strategies to remain competitive in the data-centric economy and underscores the need for novel approaches in innovation policymaking.

One of the most compelling developments catalyzed by this data-driven change is the emergence of distributed value creation ecosystems (Plekhanov et al., 2022). These business ecosystems dismantle the centralized models of value production, placing greater emphasis on collective efforts and participatory mechanisms. Companies are no longer isolated entities but nodes within an interconnected network of service providers and clients, extending across geographical boundaries. A substantial portion of internal processes are no longer strictly in-house, but rather, are increasingly outsourced to or integrated with third-party providers through digital channels. Utilizing data from external sources yield substantial benefits for companies (Lei et al., 2023). Customers, once merely passive consumers, have become active contributors, even co-creators, involved in various stages of product development and
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10 More on these challenges can be found in the following section “Challenges of data for development”.
11 The following provide more details on the rise of platform-based ecosystems and their role in the economy.
refinement. This involvement not only democratizes the innovation process but also enriches the final product through real-time, user-generated insights.

Building on this concept of distributed collaboration and co-creation, online communities exemplify the power of collective effort in the digital era. Online communities come together to innovate and solve complex problems collaboratively, breaking down traditional geographic barriers and empowering individuals in remote areas. Online communities like GitHub and Wikipedia operate without a formal managerial hierarchy and under a copyright license that prevents any entity from having proprietary control (Benkler, 2017). Yet, the results of collaboration in online communities can be not only innovative but also highly competitive (Dahlander et al., 2021). Linux is a prime example of successful peer production. The operating system's kernel was developed by Linus Torvalds and is released under the general public license (GPL). Over the years, thousands of contributors have improved and expanded upon it. Today, Linux powers everything from supercomputers to mobile phones, and no single entity controls it.

For low- and middle-income countries, the rise of open-source online communities is especially significant. It offers them a unique advantage in bridging the technological gap. Instead of investing time and resources in developing software from the ground up or procuring it from expensive vendors, these nations can tap into the open-source community and catch up with the technological frontier. Proliferation of online communities like GitHub increases the rate of entrepreneurship and also stimulates the emergence of globally-oriented ventures in developing countries (Wright et al., 2023). For instance, software developers in Central Europe and Asia contribute to tens of billions of dollars in services each year by leveraging the resources of open source online communities (Agrawal et al., 2016; Barach et al., 2020).

Another way data-driven innovations can support the economic growth of developing countries is through the reduction of border frictions and optimisation of market transactions. These innovations diminish language barriers and lowering transportation costs (Goldfarb & Tucker, 2019). For many remote countries, their geographic location and inadequate physical infrastructure often pose significant obstacles to trade. They are hampered by the sheer logistics and cost of transporting goods to markets where these goods are in demand. But the advent of the data economy presents a unique opportunity for these countries. If they can cultivate the necessary human capital to create digital goods and services, they may be able to circumvent these physical challenges. Digital goods, by their nature, do not incur the same transportation costs or logistical complexities as physical goods. The marginal cost of delivering digital products across vast distances is negligible. As such, lower language barriers, combined with the ease and low cost of digital product delivery, create a promising future for these countries.

To summarize, due to the data revolution, the very nature of innovation is undergoing a transformative shift (Nambisan et al., 2019; Ozalp et al., 2022; Plekhanov et al., 2022). Innovations become more participatory and inclusive, facilitated by the integration and analysis of data from a multitude of stakeholders. With heightened internal and external collaboration and reduced transaction costs, organizations can solve more complex problems than ever before, opening up new opportunities for growth and development.

2. **The rise of platform-based ecosystems and implications for sustainable development**

The paramount role of data has not gone unnoticed in global markets. The most successful companies today by market capitalization, including Alphabet, Alibaba, Amazon, Microsoft, Tencent are owners of data-based business models. Such companies, given their data-driven core, have effectively capitalized on their ability to aggregate, analyze, and apply data to drive business strategies, offer tailored services, and create a competitive advantage. The market's
increasing appreciation for data-centric business models is evidenced by the surge in venture capital investments targeting data-intensive firms. These investments reflect a growing recognition of the transformative potential of data, especially when coupled with cutting-edge technology and innovative business models.

Among the frontrunners of this data revolution are platform-based ecosystems, including, but not limited to search engines, social media, and e-commerce. These platform-based ecosystems, standing at the vanguard of market trends and technological advancements, have fundamentally integrated data into their core operations. Digital platforms thrive on their ability to harness and analyze significant data volumes, enabling them to tailor user experiences, match consumer needs with merchant offerings, and provide advertisers with highly targeted opportunities. Global Internet data traffic is significantly dominated by a handful of platform companies (World Bank, 2021b). Six US-based platform companies were responsible for generating over 40 percent of the world's internet data flows in 2018 (Google, Netflix, Facebook, Microsoft, Apple, Amazon). In essence, platform companies have effectively turned data into a valuable asset and a strategic tool, unlocking insights that drive decision-making, innovation, and value creation (Evans & Schmalensee, 2016). They provide incentives, conflict resolution mechanisms, and technological infrastructure, allowing for participatory innovations driven by a large number of users and developers (McIntyre & Srinivasan, 2016).

Underpinned by extensive data resources, platform-based ecosystems are a new powerful nexus of innovation and entrepreneurship, thus stimulating economic development (Box 3). A platform-based ecosystem is a business environment that centers around a digital platform as its primary actor, as seen in examples such as the OiS and Android ecosystems that created new markets for mobile applications and enabled innovations across various industries (Kapoor & Agarwal, 2017; Wareham et al., 2014). Platform-based ecosystems are distinct from other organizational forms because of the presence of network externalities and specific platform strategies that are deployed. Within this framework, there are four primary participants: (1) platform owner who has control over the intellectual property and is responsible for governing the platform. (2) providers who facilitate the interaction between the platform and its users; (3) producers who create and present the various offers or services available on the platform; and finally (4) consumers who embrace and utilize the products and services available through the platform (Van Alstyne et al., 2016). Digital platforms can be described as "semi-regulated marketplaces" where entrepreneurial activities are facilitated by the platform owner.

By providing a coordination structure, digital platforms empower ecosystems of value co-creators to develop and sell new products and services that rely on complementary offerings (Foerderer et al., 2021; Gawer, 2014; Tiwana et al., 2010). Essentially, platform-based ecosystems act as an interactive arena where parties can create, exchange, and augment value, often creating outcomes that no single party could achieve alone. This interactive feature is driven by complex algorithms that curate and personalize experiences, streamline processes, and offer solutions that are tailored to the needs of the users, thus maximizing the value of each interaction.

Box 3. The dual role of digital platforms in employment and economic development

Digital platforms bring new dynamics into standard employment (Davis, 2016). They play a crucial role in effectively connecting employers with potential new hires. Digital platforms offer several advantages for both employers and workers.

Firstly, employers benefit from a broader pool of talent and have access to a diverse range of individuals with specialized skills. Platform allows employers to find candidates who possess the specific expertise they require.
More than just acting as a facilitator, platform-based ecosystems have evolved into powerful engines that drive innovations. They do not merely transmit and facilitate the exchange of existing knowledge and data, but also promote the generation of novel ideas and solutions. This transformative aspect is one of the most profound values that platform-based ecosystems offer, reshaping industries, social interactions, and economies in fundamental ways across developed and developing countries. Platform-based ecosystems pave the way for a type of collaboration that transcends traditional organizational boundaries (Kretschmer et al., 2022). They bring together multiple contributors, who may be dispersed across various geographical locations and might not have had the opportunity to interact or collaborate otherwise. Through these ecosystems, geographical and temporal barriers are significantly reduced, fostering the creation and sharing of knowledge on an unprecedented scale.

To make large-scale collaboration not only feasible but also sustainable, platform-based ecosystems incorporate sophisticated mechanisms for conflict resolution and systems of incentives. This is accomplished through algorithms that resolve disputes, mediate interactions, and establish rules and norms for behaviour. The true power of platform-based ecosystems lies in their capacity to harness the collective intelligence of a diverse set of contributors, ensuring sustained collaboration over time. They leverage an extensive pool of knowledge, skills, and resources, combining them in the most effective and efficient manner to enable radical forms of innovations.
Platform-based ecosystems offer powerful solutions to some of the most formidable obstacles hindering sustainable development, such as difficulties in accessing resources and people, knowledge sharing, and mobilizing collective action (Hellemans et al., 2022). By utilizing platforms, organizations can tap into the collective resources of a vast network of contributors. The ability to repurpose and reuse resources, along with creating value via intermediating transactions, rather than engaging in independent production, introduces a more sustainable approach to economic activity.

Digital platforms and ecosystems serve as catalysts for collective action, uniting actors from various knowledge domains for joint problem-solving (Ciulli et al., 2020; Jacobides et al., 2018). Due to the intricate nature of sustainability challenges, a diverse range of participants are required to devise effective solutions. In addition to resource mobilization, digital platforms are critical knowledge-sharing hubs, reducing barriers to the flow of information (George et al., 2021). Platform-based ecosystems can bring together disparate actors, creating a nexus for the exchange of information and resources that directly contribute to tackling sustainability challenges. By widening the participant base and diversifying the knowledge involved in the problem-solving process, platform-based ecosystems enable a unique mobilization of insights from varying perspectives. This fosters a rich, collaborative environment for the co-creation of large-scale innovations to tackle complex sustainability problems.

While platform-based ecosystems have introduced innovative approaches to sustainable development, they also present significant challenges that cannot be overlooked. A holistic perspective that considers the multifaceted challenges associated with privacy, ethics, governance, and environmental impact, is essential for harnessing their potential responsibly and effectively.

3. How data-driven innovations power the transition to sustainable production

In a traditional linear economy, the flow of goods follows a “take, make, dispose” model, where raw materials are extracted, processed into products, and ultimately discarded as waste. This not only strains the planet's finite resources but also leads to environmental degradation. The concept of a circular economy presents an alternative, offering an ecosystem-centric business model that aims for sustainability, waste reduction, and the creation of closed loops where materials and resources are continually reused, refurbished, and recycled (UNCTAD, 2023d).

Data-driven innovations are instrumental in supporting the transition towards a circular economy and decarbonization, by utilizing digital technologies across various stages of the product life cycle (Kamble et al., 2018). Through advanced analytics, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, machine learning algorithms, and real-time tracking, data-driven systems can accurately map the flow of materials and energy across an entire value chain. This level of transparency allows businesses to identify inefficiencies, waste points, and opportunities for material recovery or new revenue streams (IEA, 2017).

Data-centered approaches have a potential to enable sustainable production through various pathways, including immediate operational efficiency, product and service innovations, and stakeholder alignment (Plekhanov et al., 2022). By leveraging data-centered approaches, manufacturing companies can identify patterns, anomalies, or early warning signals that indicate a potential failure in machinery or infrastructure (Senoner et al., 2022). This allows for timely interventions, such as repairs or replacements, to be carried out, preventing costly breakdowns and minimizing downtime. Data-enhanced pre-emptive maintenance reduces the consumption of resources and energy associated with reactive repairs, as well as the waste

Challenges introduced by platform-based ecosystems are discussed in the “Challenges of data for development”.
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generated from replacing entire systems due to failures. By addressing problems before they escalate, pre-emptive maintenance improves operational efficiency, extends the lifespan of assets, and reduces unnecessary resource consumption.

One of the transformative implications of data is in the refinement of manufacturing processes. The move away from centralized production facilities and adoption of a distributed model, for instance, brings manufacturing processes closer to the point of demand. This reduces the need for long-distance transportation of goods, resulting in lower carbon emissions and energy consumption associated with logistics (UNCTAD, 2022d). By moving away from centralized production facilities and adopting a distributed model, manufacturing processes can be brought closer to the point of demand (Srai et al., 2016). The refinement of manufacturing processes to align with individual consumer needs and changes in demand not only boosts market optimization, but also encourages an environment-centric future, thus synergistically bolstering both ecological and social aspects of sustainability.

Data analytics can enhance product design, making it possible to create products that are more durable and eco-friendly. The use of advanced computer modelling and simulation allows for the optimization of product designs to enhance their durability and ease of repair. This results in extended product lifespans, thereby reducing waste and contributing to the circular economy. Sustainable materials can be selected during the design phase, thus further supporting decarbonization. For instance, powered by extensive data volumes, quantum computing can precisely simulate systems like molecules, polymers, and solids. In the chemical industry, this could lead to efficient molecular designs for specific tasks, predicting their effectiveness prior to physical synthesis. The outcome ranges from improved catalysts to innovative batteries. In the manufacturing and construction sectors, this knowledge transfer from molecular to material level can expedite the design of new alloys, fabrics, and coatings, leading to more effective and sustainable production.

Furthermore, data-driven technologies allow companies to create digital twins, or virtual replicas, of their products. These digital models can simulate how a product behaves under different conditions, helping businesses to refine their designs and make them more durable and efficient. By reducing the need for physical prototypes and by optimizing product performance, this approach can significantly decrease resource use and emissions.

Data-enabled servitization offers another layer of sustainability. Servitization can be broadly defined as the transformation of manufacturing companies from solely producing goods to delivering comprehensive solutions and services related to those goods. Some examples of manufacturing companies that use data-centered approaches to transition to service-focused business models include, but are not limited to, Rolls-Royce, Philips, Kone, and Hilti. Data-enabled servitization can decouple economic growth from resource consumption, creating a more sustainable and eco-friendly industrial landscape. Servitization focuses not just on selling products, but also on selling the utility that those products provide, via a blend of products and services. One of the goals is to fulfill customer needs while minimizing environmental impact. For instance, consider the use of smart sensors and IoT technology. These can provide a wealth of data on product usage and performance. Analyzing this data allows businesses to understand how their products are used, and can inform product refinements and the development of services that enhance the product's utility. This shifts the business focus from value-in-transaction to value-in-use, reducing resource use and emissions.
By integrating data-centered approaches across the product lifecycle, businesses can reduce waste, increase resource efficiency, and lower carbon emissions, thereby contributing to a more sustainable future\textsuperscript{13}.

4. Data for sustainable energy production and management

The transition to a more sustainable and energy-efficient society is one of the most pressing issues of our time. Data-driven innovations have emerged as a vital solution in facilitating this change, offering considerable benefits including improved quality and cost savings for users (Ardito et al., 2018). This synergy between data, energy efficiency, and sustainability is discernible through diverse technologies such as advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), energy management systems, predictive maintenance solutions, and demand response programs. The data these systems produce plays an instrumental role in decarbonizing the energy sector, providing crucial insights that optimize resource usage, boost efficiencies, and facilitate the integration of renewable energy sources (Watson et al., 2010).

Data-driven innovations can optimize the real-time monitoring and management of electricity flow, allowing for more efficient routing and reduced transmission losses. Approximately 8\% of the total electricity generated is lost during transmission and distribution processes (IEA, 2017). This loss, equivalent to the combined electricity demand of global iron and steel industries, residential and commercial lighting, and cooking applications, paints a vivid picture of the scale of these losses and the need for improving electricity systems' efficiency. In regions like Africa, Latin America, and India, the losses exceed 15\% of the total electricity generated, nearly twice the global average. This high loss rate underscores the challenges these regions face in setting up efficient and reliable power grids (IEA, 2017). Countries can optimize their energy consumption by integrating data-enabled features like automated adjustments, demand response, and preventive maintenance. The result is not just economic savings but also a reduced carbon footprint, as less power needs to be generated to meet the same demand.

Data analytics offers further advantages, like extending the operational lifespan of power plants and network components. This extension is made possible by advancements in maintenance practices and by minimizing the physical stresses on equipment. With the aid of extensive data from power plants and network infrastructure, potential issues can be preemptively addressed before escalating into severe problems that could lead to equipment failure. Predictive analytics, in this case, can detect patterns and anomalies in operational data that could indicate wear and tear or impending malfunction, facilitating targeted repairs and preventing costly breakdowns.

The longevity of power plants and network components carries a twofold economic advantage. On the one hand, it increases revenue for asset owners by extending the operational lifespan before replacement is needed, thereby improving the financial viability of power assets. On the other hand, it reduces investment requirements for the entire power system by prolonging equipment lifetime, leading to significant cost savings for the power sector and economy as a whole. These saved resources could be funneled towards other critical areas such as research and development or renewable energy initiatives.

Moreover, the reach of data analytics is not confined to macro-level power system planning alone. It holds equal importance at the micro level, influencing the design of individual projects and augmenting their contribution to the system. Take the design of new wind power projects, for instance. Data aids engineers in creating more complex and efficient designs for wind farms. It helps in choosing the most suitable turbine technology and guides the strategic positioning

\textsuperscript{13} Data plays a role as a double-edged sword in sustainability transitions. More on challenges associated with data use is listed in the subsequent .
of turbines within a wind farm. The optimization of wind resources and the mitigation of issues associated with wind power integration into the power grid are significant benefits of such data use.

Bridging the gap between micro and macro-level planning, data-centric approaches not only enhance individual projects but also revolutionize the broader energy landscape by breaking down traditional silos. Currently, energy production and management often operate in silos across many countries, with sectors like electricity, gas, and heat, largely independent. This compartmentalization breeds inefficiencies and forgoes potential synergies. However, data-centric methodologies herald a sea-change. They have the potential to revolutionize the energy generation paradigm, transitioning from centralized to distributed models. Such a transition promises electricity production closer to its consumption point, reducing transmission needs, and saving on infrastructure costs. These innovative methods allow us to better align generation sources with demand across different energy sectors, reducing strain on the transmission lines. Such cross-sectoral communication sets the stage for innovations like demand response, wherein power consumers adjust energy usage in response to supply changes or price signals. This adjustment, in turn, contributes to overall system balance and efficiency.

Looking at the larger picture, data-driven innovations have the capacity to weave renewable energy sources into the power grid fabric, thus enhancing overall sustainability. The challenge posed by the intrinsic variability of renewable sources like solar and wind power due to weather conditions is considerable. This variability unsettles traditional energy systems designed around predictable power sources. Yet, it is here that data's pivotal role converts this challenge into an opportunity for a more resilient, sustainable energy future. Advanced data analytics aid in predicting renewable energy production based on weather forecasts with increasing precision. This application allows grid operators to adjust to fluctuations in power output, thereby reinforcing grid reliability and resilience.

Green energy platforms, another crucial development, link energy producers and consumers in unprecedented ways, thereby introducing new cooperation forms among market players (Menzel & Teubner, 2021). This capability unlocks the potential of numerous small-scale generation and storage units, which had hitherto remained underutilized. Through effective coordination and bundling, these platforms maximize renewable resource usage and decentralize energy provision. The emergence of these green energy platforms also invites new players into the market. This influx introduces healthy competition into sectors previously monopolized or marked by oligopolistic tendencies. The resultant stimulation of innovation, reduction in prices, and improvement in service quality are clear boons for consumers. Concurrently, these digital platforms effectively manage the complexity brought by the decentralization of the energy sector. As the sector diversifies and spreads out, digital platforms provide the necessary architecture to organize, control, and optimize resources, ensuring energy distribution efficiency.

The adoption of a data-centric approach thus allows energy projects to maximize energy production and efficiency, contributing to a more sustainable power system. By doing so, we can push the future of renewable energy production towards increased sustainability, reliability, and cost-effectiveness. The strategic use of data analytics, thus, empowers us to turn the vision of an optimized, efficient, and sustainable power system into a reality.

B. Evidence-informed decision-making

Policymaking does not stay immune to the data revolution. Data-driven approaches can enhance policy formulation and design by leveraging more refined, real-time data analysis,
potentially leading to better resource allocation (OECD, 2018). By linking data across various agencies, digital infrastructures can offer a broader context for policy matters, thus promoting a more cohesive inter-agency policy design.

The prospect of integrating different data systems, which include information on housing, economic indicators, environmental factors, and social data, for policymaking is extremely promising. This could pave the way for more comprehensive and effective decision-making. This increased connectivity could lead to a comprehensive data ecosystem encapsulating a nation's entire socio-economic dynamics. Armed with such a vast and integrated data resource, policy makers would be in a unique position to derive concrete interrelations, e.g., between publicly funded research and real-world impacts. This could span from discerning the impact of science on economic growth, to understanding the role of regional start-ups in sustainability transitions. Real-time policy data can enable prompt policy modifications and the identification of trends, contributing to strategic policy planning. Policymakers can use insights derived from data analysis to allocate resources efficiently to maximize their impact. Data help identify regions or communities that are most in need, ensuring that resources are targeted to address disparities and inequalities effectively. Insights drawn from data analytics can guide policymakers in resource allocation to optimize outcomes14. By pinpointing the areas or populations with the highest needs, data ensures that resources directly tackle disparities and imbalances effectively.

The extensive data available through public data systems not only help in trend predictions and policy modifications but also serve as a goldmine for information discovery (OECD, 2020b). The data, spanning a broad range of inputs, outputs, and activities, can identify emerging issues (box 4). One of the potential avenues is the use of data for gender mainstreaming. Data-centered approaches can identify, monitor, and address gender disparities, paving the way for a more equitable world. By revealing disparities, guiding interventions, and tracking progress, data can help policymakers ensure that citizens have an equal opportunity to succeed in every function
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14 Contribution from the Government of Egypt.
15 Contribution from the Government of Cameroon.
16 Contribution from the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP).
17 Contribution from the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia (UN ESCWA).
and at every level. But the key lies in not just collecting the data, but in using it responsibly and effectively to drive meaningful change.

1. **Data analytics to combat the climate change**

Climate change poses a grave threat to humanity, and data-driven innovations both contribute to the problem and offer potential solutions. Data-driven innovations can mitigate climate change risks by providing insights and solutions for effective environmental management (Pee & Pan, 2022). The transformative potential of data extends far beyond its ability to optimize energy consumption, decrease water usage, or minimize land utilization. While these benefits are certainly significant, they represent just the surface of what data-driven innovations can truly contribute to individuals and society at large. The deeper, more profound value of data can be found in its ability to galvanize effective environmental governance. Understanding the potential of data in environmental governance necessitates an appreciation of the complex interplay between various ecological, social, and economic dimensions that underpin the climate change (Cowls et al., 2023).

Climate change challenges often manifest in a multifaceted manner, requiring concerted and coordinated efforts from multiple stakeholders to address them effectively. Data-centred approaches could act as a critical tool for understanding environmental patterns, predicting future scenarios, and thus, supporting the formulation of policies that consider the full range of environmental and societal implications. Data can also aid in monitoring and enforcement, which are two critical aspects of environmental governance. Granular and timely data insights can be leveraged to monitor compliance with environmental regulations and to identify instances of non-compliance swiftly. This would not only foster accountability but also ensure that corrective measures are taken in a timely manner, thus preventing further environmental damage.

A key facet of data's potential lies in its capacity to support both nature-based climate mitigation methods and innovative technological solutions for greenhouse gas removal. Nature-based climate mitigation measures, like reforestation, agroforestry, and peatland restoration, rely on the power of ecosystems to absorb and store carbon dioxide. Implementing these measures effectively requires a detailed understanding of numerous factors, such as the types of vegetation best suited for carbon sequestration in a given area, the optimal planting strategies, and the likely impacts of climate change on these ecosystems. This is where data-driven innovations can play a pivotal role. For instance, earth observation data can be used for monitoring terrestrial ecosystems as they have sufficient electromagnetic spectral resolution to be able to distinguish between plant species based on how they reflect light. These insights enable governments and communities to develop targeted measures to conserve biodiversity. By processing vast amounts of data—from climate patterns to soil composition—data analytics can provide new insights and assist in the design and implementation of more effective nature-based solutions.

Furthermore, data analytics can enhance the efficiency of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies, a promising yet intricate solution for greenhouse gas removal. AI algorithms can be utilized to optimize their efficiency and cost-effectiveness. For example, it can help predict where carbon capture is likely to be most effective, optimize the operation of capture technologies, and monitor the safe and permanent storage of carbon. By doing so, data-driven
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innovations can be instrumental in scaling up the deployment of these vital greenhouse gas removal technologies.

Space technologies offer another avenue, generating indispensable data to monitor and assess the triple planetary crisis, which consists of climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution (Box 5). These technologies provide accurate and timely information about the scale and progression of these interconnected crises, as well as the resulting impacts on ecosystems, economies, and societies. By tracking changes in temperature, precipitation, land use, and other environmental factors, space technologies can reveal trends and patterns that inform mitigation and adaptation strategies.

**Box 5. Satellite data for the Sustainable Development Goals (EO4SDGs)**

Space-borne satellite communication networks have become a critical asset in providing internet connectivity to communities worldwide, especially in developing countries where terrestrial infrastructure is either unreliable or non-existent. Satellite communication systems have bridged the digital divide, bringing internet access to remote areas, and enabling individuals, communities, and businesses to access a wealth of information and resources previously unavailable. One of the key reasons that satellite communication networks are invaluable for internet connectivity in developing countries is their ability to provide coverage over vast geographical areas without the need for extensive physical infrastructure. In regions where it is difficult or prohibitively expensive to lay down cables or build cell towers due to geographical challenges, such as mountainous terrain, deserts, or islands, satellite communication offers a viable alternative.

This capability is especially crucial for isolated communities or areas vulnerable to natural disasters like earthquakes, floods, or typhoons, where terrestrial infrastructure can be easily damaged or disrupted. Satellite communication networks also offer a level of resilience and redundancy that terrestrial networks may struggle to provide. With a satellite network, communication can still be maintained even if one or more ground-based facilities are compromised or disabled. This level of resilience is crucial in regions prone to natural disasters, political unrest, or other disruptions to terrestrial infrastructure. Space-based communication networks also offer rapid deployment capabilities, which is essential in emergency situations or for quickly establishing connectivity in newly developed regions. Satellites can be launched, and ground stations can be set up within a relatively short timeframe compared to the time required to build an entire terrestrial network. 20

Data analytics' ability to delve deep into marine ecosystems is also noteworthy. Data-centred approaches can uncover patterns and trends within ocean data, enabling us to understand and determine the level of industrialization and resource extraction that marine ecosystems can sustain (Lowerre-Barbieri et al., 2019). This approach involves collecting and analyzing data related to marine biodiversity, population dynamics of aquatic species, pollution levels, climate change impacts, and human activities such as fishing and offshore drilling. Data-centered approaches can further improve resource extraction from undersea geological formations to minimize the environmental impact. By collecting and analyzing data, we can gain valuable insights into the carrying capacity of marine ecosystems, which can assist in setting sustainable quotas for industrialization and resource extraction.

In the business realm, data analytics plays a pivotal role in carbon footprint tracking. Everything from the sourcing of raw materials, manufacturing processes, distribution methods, usage patterns, and end-of-life disposal is accounted for. AI algorithms can then process this large and complex dataset, providing a precise calculation of the carbon footprint (Rolnick et al., 2022). With this detailed and data-driven understanding of their carbon footprint, organizations can identify the most carbon-intensive aspects of their operations or products. This is an essential first step in formulating effective strategies for carbon reduction. For example, a manufacturing company might find that a significant portion of its emissions comes
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from its energy consumption. In response, it could invest in energy-efficient technologies or transition to renewable energy sources.

Data-driven technologies like blockchain can provide a transparent and immutable record of a company's carbon emissions data. This traceability not only allows businesses to demonstrate their sustainability efforts to consumers and regulators, but it also enables third-party verification of emissions data, fostering trust and accountability. Data-driven carbon management can go beyond direct operations and encourage more sustainable behavior across the supply chain. For instance, companies can use carbon footprint data to favor suppliers that adopt low-carbon technologies. This not only reduces the total emissions associated with the company's products but also incentivizes other companies to reduce their own emissions. Predictive data analytics can also play a critical role in CO2 reduction efforts. Predictive models can forecast future emissions based on various factors like production levels, energy sources, and technology use. With these insights, companies can make proactive changes to their operations and strategies, mitigating their future environmental impact. The resulting decrease in CO2 emissions is not just good for the planet but also beneficial for businesses as they navigate a world with increasingly stringent environmental regulations and growing consumer demand for sustainable practices.

In summary, the true value of data in the battle against the climate change lies not just in how it can help us use resources more efficiently, but more importantly in how it can facilitate a more comprehensive, informed, and effective approach to environmental governance. This elevated understanding empowers us to craft policies and strategies that are more robust and tailored to our specific needs. Data equips us with the information we need to formulate policies that are not only reactive but proactive, enabling us to anticipate future environmental challenges and address them before they escalate. Through enabling better understanding, policy formulation, and enforcement, data holds immense promise in fostering a more sustainable and resilient world. By implementing these data-centered approaches, organizations can take significant strides towards reducing their carbon footprint, contributing to global climate change mitigation efforts. These efforts, multiplied across numerous organizations globally, can contribute significantly to the larger, collective fight against climate change.

2. **Data-driven transformation of agricultural systems and improved nutrition**

Data-driven innovations hold enormous potential to transform agriculture, creating a more sustainable and equitable food system that could help end hunger and improve nutrition worldwide (Basso & Antle, 2020) (box 6). Data from various sources - such as weather forecasts, soil sensors, satellite imagery, and drones - can be harnessed to inform precision farming techniques. Public authorities can aggregate data—like food security information, weather, population size, conflict, hazards, nutrition, and macro-economic data—to predict and monitor the food security situation in near real-time21.

Data analytics can optimize the use of water, fertilizers, and pesticides, increasing yields while reducing the environmental impact. For instance, predictive models based on weather data can guide farmers on the best times to plant and harvest, minimizing crop losses (box 7). Similarly, soil sensors can provide real-time data on nutrient levels, moisture content, and pH, enabling farmers to tailor their farming practices accordingly, enhancing soil health and crop productivity.

---

21 Contribution from the World Food Programme (WFP). For more information, please refer to the WFP's HungerMap on [https://hungermap.wfp.org/](https://hungermap.wfp.org/)
Agriculture, being a vital component of the global economy, is also a significant contributor to greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions, including carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. These emissions emanate from a variety of agricultural practices such as synthetic fertilizer usage, deforestation for farmland, livestock rearing, rice cultivation, and burning of agricultural residues, all contributing significantly to global warming and climate change. In this context, data-based agricultural practices emerge as a beacon of hope, offering a potential solution to these existing challenges. These data-centered approaches holistically integrate the benefits of sustainable production, climate resilience, and reduced GHGs emissions, painting a promising picture of the future of farming. For instance, by analyzing data on livestock rearing practices, feed optimization techniques can be introduced to reduce methane emissions from cattle. Similarly, the use of digital tools can facilitate a shift towards more sustainable farming practices, like agroforestry or organic farming, that sequester carbon and reduce the use of synthetic fertilizers, thereby lowering emissions.

Box 6. The impact of data-driven technologies on agriculture

Land assessment: data-driven technologies facilitate the detailed evaluation of the land's quality, productivity potential, and its susceptibility to diseases and pests. It incorporates the usage of satellite imaging and remote sensing technologies to provide critical insights about the land's condition, including soil moisture, vegetation indices, and topographical features.

Soil-crop suitability: intelligent algorithms help in understanding which crops are best suited for particular types of soil, based on its properties like pH level, fertility status, organic content, and more. The technology utilizes data science to analyze and interpret vast amounts of soil data, enabling farmers to make informed decisions regarding crop selection and rotation.

Weather forecasting: advanced predictive modeling techniques are used to forecast weather patterns. This includes predicting rainfall, temperature fluctuations, humidity, and potential extreme weather events. Such detailed meteorological information allows farmers to optimize their farming schedules and safeguard their produce against adverse weather conditions.

Precision farming: By leveraging tools like AI-powered drones and sensors, real-time monitoring of crops is possible, leading to early detection of diseases, precise estimation of biomass, and prediction of yields. This level of granular observation optimizes productivity and reduces losses. The introduction of precision farming, a methodology that relies heavily on data and technology, has helped farmers optimize their inputs and resources. By employing GPS, satellite imagery, and IoT-based sensors, precision farming ensures that each portion of a field receives the exact care it needs. This results in reduced wastage of resources and an increase in overall yield.

Agricultural supply chain management: data analytics can help optimize the routes for delivery, reducing fuel consumption and ensuring timely deliveries. Additionally, smart packaging technologies can monitor and control the storage conditions to extend the shelf-life of the products. At the consumption stage, these technologies can help track and reduce food waste by providing insights into consumer behavior. In the realm of agro-waste management, smart technologies can enable efficient waste segregation, recycling, and disposal, contributing to a sustainable agricultural system.

Box 7. Empowering sustainable development: leveraging remote sensing data and GIS for agriculture

By leveraging high-frequency satellite and aerial imagery of our planet, remote sensing data, combined with geographic information systems (GIS), provide capabilities that extend well beyond traditional data sources such as censuses, administrative records, and surveys. With the ability to accurately identify and assess cropland classifications promptly, especially when integrated with machine learning algorithms, satellite data holds the
potential to revolutionize agricultural and crop monitoring systems. It optimizes agricultural practices, efficiently allocates resources, and responds swiftly to evolving conditions.

The effectiveness of satellite data in addressing food insecurity is particularly evident in its ability to create precise cropland classifications. Such classifications not only enhance crop monitoring systems but also bolster disaster management mechanisms. As the effects of climate change continue to exert significant pressure on the agricultural and food security systems of developing countries, the need for these advanced tools becomes even more pressing.

In this context, satellite data prove indispensable in evaluating crop damage resulting from adverse climatic events, such as monitoring floods and assessing agricultural loss in developing countries using readily available remote sensing data. By mapping flooded areas quickly and determining crop survival rates, decision-makers can derive critical insights into the location, scale, and severity of a crisis. This vital information aids in formulating precise response strategies and allocating resources efficiently, thereby reducing the potential impacts on food security. Such advancements edge us closer to a future where crop yields maximize their potential, food security is fortified, and our global food systems are both adaptive and sustainable.

Data can revolutionize food supply chain management, promoting fair distribution systems. By tracking and analyzing data along the entire supply chain, we can improve transparency and traceability of products. This ensures that farmers are paid fairly for their produce, encourages sustainable practices, and reduces food waste by matching supply with demand more accurately. Blockchain technology, for instance, is being used to create immutable records of transactions, fostering trust and accountability in the food supply chain.

In terms of nutrition, data-driven insights can guide policymaking and intervention design. By analyzing data on dietary patterns, malnutrition rates, and the availability of nutritious food, targeted strategies can be developed to improve access to and consumption of nutritious food. This can help address malnutrition in all its forms - from undernutrition to overweight and obesity. Furthermore, data can facilitate research and development in crop breeding. By analyzing genomic data, researchers can identify traits linked to disease resistance, drought tolerance, or nutritional content, accelerating the development of more resilient and nutritious crop varieties.

In conclusion, data have the potential to create a more sustainable, resilient, and equitable food system. From the field to the dining table, data-driven insights can optimize resources, improve yields, foster fair trade, reduce waste, and enhance nutrition. As we face the challenges of climate change and a growing global population, leveraging data in agriculture becomes ever more crucial.

3. Data-centered approaches in urban planning and development

Data-driven innovations offer transformative opportunities in urban development. Data analytics can aid in anticipating demographic growth patterns, streamlining traffic and transport systems, and supporting environmental sustainability initiatives (UNCTAD, 2022e). Data also hold the key to promoting equitable urban growth, exposing socio-economic divides, and enabling specific, targeted intervention strategies (Zekić-Sušac et al., 2021). The landscape of urban data collection is expanding. Sources range from social media and mobile phone data to satellite imagery, all of which can enhance our existing models. These resources enable quick, informed decisions.

The role of Earth Observation data

Earth Observation (EO) data, in particular, are instrumental in evaluating vulnerabilities when planning infrastructure locations, particularly in areas susceptible to natural hazards like floods.

---

22 For additional information regarding the use of remote sensing data and GIS, kindly refer to the UNCTAD Cropwatch project: https://unctad.org/project/cropwatch-innovative-cooperation-programme
and fires (box 8). Additionally, EO data contribute to climate modeling, enabling assessments of evolving risks from climate projections. After infrastructure construction, both EO and Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) data can be used to monitor land deformation and detect shifts in the Earth's surface that could lead to infrastructure damage due to instability.

**Box 8. The Global Heat Resilience Service**

The Global Heat Resilience Service is an initiative spearheaded by the Group on Earth Observations (GEO) that brings together partners to create a service aimed at providing every urban area worldwide with insights into the health risks associated with exposure to extreme heat. By leveraging global, regional, and local data, including Earth observations from satellites and in-situ measurements, as well as statistical and geospatial data, local surveys, and field measurements, this decision-support tool aims to help cities better understand the health risks posed by extreme heat. This user-centric, trustworthy, free, and open-access tool will enable cities to collect, analyze, and integrate data and knowledge from various sources, including weather, health, demographics, the built environment, infrastructure, and social factors, in order to develop adaptive plans that reduce the impact of extreme heat on citizens' health and local economies. Furthermore, by incorporating citizen-science and data collection initiatives, the service aims to empower communities, including young people, to actively participate in enhancing knowledge about heat vulnerability within their cities.

**Crowdsourcing for urban planning**

A particular method of non-traditional data collection gaining significance is crowdsourcing (Brem et al., 2023). Crowdsourcing relies on voluntary input from the general public, democratizing data collection and fostering a sense of community cooperation. Crowdsourcing can identify and illuminate gaps in our current understanding and measurement of the SDGs, potentially leading to the development of new goals and targets (Fritz et al., 2019). This underscores its capacity to facilitate bottom-up approaches to problem identification and solving, bringing forth insights that traditional data sources may overlook or be incapable of capturing. Digital platforms leveraging crowd-sourced data herald a paradigm shift in our comprehension of, and approach to, urban planning (Brabham, 2009). These platforms integrate and interpret data collected from a wide range of sources including city inhabitants, tourists, urban planners, and policymakers. The result is an all-encompassing, detailed knowledge repository that can substantially improve our understanding of intricate problems faced by urban areas.

Crowd-sourced data platforms offer real-time, geo-specific insights into an array of urban complications such as traffic bottlenecks, pollution intensity, utilization of public infrastructure, and housing requirements, to name a few. By involving the city's inhabitants—essentially forming a community of contributors—these platforms promote a sense of participation and ownership over the planning and developmental processes (Crooks et al., 2015). This democratic approach can result in a more intricate understanding of urban issues, and can empower citizens to take an active role in formulating solutions.

Moreover, the incorporation of crowd-sourced data in urban planning bears significant implications for enhancing the accessibility and inclusivity of urban spaces. Accessibility, in this context, encompasses not just physical access but also social and economic inclusivity. For example, data shared by individuals with disabilities can pinpoint accessibility challenges in public spaces—such as insufficient wheelchair ramps or inadequate public transportation services—and can instigate the necessary modifications. Likewise, feedback from socio-economically underprivileged communities can illuminate shortcomings in public services or infrastructure, thereby guiding more equitable urban development strategies.

---

23 Contribution from the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA).
24 Contribution from the Group of Earth Observations (GEO).
The incorporation of crowd-sourced data in urban planning bears significant implications for enhancing the accessibility and inclusivity of urban spaces. Accessibility, in this context, encompasses not just physical access but also social and economic inclusivity. For example, data shared by individuals with disabilities can pinpoint accessibility challenges in public spaces—such as insufficient wheelchair ramps or inadequate public transportation services—and can instigate the necessary modifications. Likewise, feedback from socio-economically underprivileged communities can illuminate shortcomings in public services or infrastructure, thereby guiding more equitable urban development strategies.

Data-enabled technologies for urban planning

On the technological front, digital twin technology and Building Information Modelling (BIM) are making significant inroads in urban development (Opoku et al., 2021). Digital twin technology is an innovative method for modelling, understanding, and predicting the functioning of different systems or objects in dynamic environments. This technology uses real-time data to construct a virtual 'twin' of a physical object, enabling in-depth simulation of its operation under diverse conditions. The predictive insights gathered from these simulations can significantly influence decision-making processes to optimize maintenance and plan more proactively (Boje et al., 2020). For instance, EO and GNSS data can be used to create digital twins of cities through 3D modeling, enabling a comprehensive virtual representation of urban areas. These digital twins allow for real-time visualization, monitoring, and prediction of natural and human activities within the city.25

Building Information Modelling (BIM) supports sustainable urban development by facilitating more energy-efficient designs by predicting the performance of various systems such as heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (Succar, 2009). BIM also allows for a cradle-to-grave analysis, considering the environmental impact of materials from extraction and manufacturing to use, maintenance, and eventual demolition. Finally, it enables accurate estimations and efficient planning during the construction process, thus minimizing waste production (Volk et al., 2014).

By creating a detailed, dynamic, digital representation of physical structures, it becomes feasible to visualize, monitor, and manage the building's lifecycle from inception to demolition. Digital twin and BIM technologies offer a comprehensive, data-driven perspective to observe and predict how the building will interact with various environmental factors and usage patterns over its lifespan. In addition, data-driven innovations like smart grids for utilities like electricity and water, which can optimize usage and reduce wastage, contributing to more sustainable cities (Barai et al., 2015).

In conclusion, advanced data applications can trigger a transformation in urban planning, by encouraging community participation, empowering decision-making, optimizing resource use and enhancing the accessibility and inclusivity of urban spaces. This represents an encouraging trajectory towards the establishment of more habitable, sustainable, and resilient urban areas.

4. Data-centered approaches for disaster management

By leveraging vast amounts of data, analytical tools can provide crucial information during and after natural disasters, consequently helping in effective disaster relief and mitigation efforts.26 Data analytics can help map floods with a high level of precision. This mapping can be pivotal in shaping the response strategies of relief agencies by directing them to the hardest-hit areas.

25 Contribution from the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA).
26 Contribution from the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA). UNOOSA designed the UN-SPIDER platform to support countries throughout the entire disaster management cycle using space technologies. This technological assistance enables countries to become more resilient when a disaster strikes.
Not only that, but these models can also be used to forecast future flood events based on climate data, enabling preventative measures to be taken. Similarly, AI can be instrumental in locating refugee camps using satellite data (Logar et al., 2020). During major disasters, the prompt location and assessment of refugee camps become essential to determine the necessities and priorities for relief efforts. Algorithms can help locate these camps even in remote or inaccessible areas, ensuring that aid reaches those who need it most.

Digital platforms effectively dismantle geographical boundaries during disaster management. With these data-driven innovations, online emergency responses are not confined to specific localities but instead can span across continents, connecting affected people with a global network of helpers. This global reach, enabled by data-driven approaches, has fundamentally changed the dynamics of disaster response, making it more inclusive, immediate, and effective (Nan & Lu, 2014).

During the southern California wildfires in 2007, Hurricane Katrina, 2014-2016 Ebola outbreak, and COVID-19, ordinary people utilized online forums as a means of citizen engagement, communication and coordination in these crises (OECD, 2020a; Palen et al., 2009; Sutton et al., 2008; UN, 2015). In response to the devastating floods that struck Pakistan in 2022, Code for Pakistan, a local civic innovation organization, launched a crowdsourcing platform called Floodlight (WEF, 2023). Floodlight was established to gather and share flood-related data from across the nation. Tapping into the power of collective data from millions of citizens affected by the floods, the initiative aimed to create a comprehensive, real-time map of the impacted regions. This effort proved instrumental in understanding the scope of the flood's impact, helping to address the needs of affected communities more effectively.

In the similar vein, geographically dispersed volunteers used digital platforms during the 2007 San Diego wildfires and the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake to create a complex system of information sharing, aggregation, and visualization orchestrated by these volunteers (Majchrzak & More, 2011; Nan & Lu, 2014). The suite of data-driven technologies deployed demonstrated how such tools could significantly augment the capacity of ordinary citizens to respond to disasters and share critical information in real-time through crowdsourcing.

5. **Data-driven innovations in healthcare and health research**

Data-driven innovations provide the foundation for the digital healthcare revolution, delivering improvements in individual care and advancing innovation in medical research. Data can contribute to (1) precision medicine conceptualized as "the right drug, for the right patient, at the right time" (Abrahams, 2008); (2) evidence-informed healthcare governance that can streamline organizational routines and optimize costs (UNCTAD, 2022c); (3) breakthroughs in medical research and drug development (Burki, 2020).

Data-driven management practices can help identify inefficiencies in various healthcare processes, from patient admission to treatment and discharge (Langell, 2021). By analyzing data from these processes, providers can pinpoint where bottlenecks occur, how much time is wasted, and where errors are most likely to happen. Data-driven lean management can enhance patient safety and quality of care. By reducing errors and inefficiencies, providers can deliver more reliable and effective treatments. Data-driven approaches can also minimize the risk of errors, such as misdiagnoses or medication errors, by standardizing procedures and implementing safety checks.

Telehealth, the use of data and digital technology to provide remote healthcare services, is another transformative innovation enabled by data (Dorsey & Topol, 2016). An example of such an initiative is the Philippines' RxBox, a telehealth system that enables health workers to
diagnose, monitor, and treat patients within rural health facilities. Particularly in regions with underdeveloped healthcare infrastructure, telehealth bridges geographical barriers, ensuring that healthcare reaches even the most isolated communities (Weinstein et al., 2018). This aspect was critically highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic when telehealth proved to be an essential tool for healthcare delivery amidst lockdowns and social distancing mandates (Behar et al., 2020). The decreased use of medical resources through the adoption of telemedicine can result in significant cost savings. This efficiency can help direct the saved resources towards other pressing healthcare needs, thereby enhancing the overall effectiveness of the healthcare system (Lin et al., 2017).

Novel technologies can analyze information that is well beyond the grasp of human cognition, managing to discern patterns and associations that may elude even the most experienced medical practitioners. They can consider a plethora of variables simultaneously, assessing probabilities and outcomes based on a multitude of factors. This ability to weigh complex data and make decisions based on probabilities is instrumental in driving advancements in healthcare. Advanced imaging techniques allow for the intricate analysis of the human body, leading to early detection of diseases.

Data-enhanced medical imaging can spot early-stage tumors, complications from diabetes, heart-related issues, infections like COVID-19 in the lungs, and even the progression of neurological disorders like Alzheimer's. For instance, the Peruvian Ministry of Development and Social Inclusion collected eye data from patients to develop a digital application for early detection of anemia. The early identification of these conditions is pivotal. Recognizing a disease like cancer using nuclear medicine in its initial stages and promptly following up with treatments such as radiation oncology can greatly enhance treatment outcomes and reduce mortality. In essence, the marriage of data and healthcare through these advanced technologies facilitates proactive medical interventions and more personalized patient care.

Technological advancements can also improve the field of pharmacogenetics by offering a powerful toolset for genetic data analysis, interpretation, and decision-making. Pharmacogenetics, which is the study of how genetic variations influence an individual's response to drugs, relies on the ability to interpret and analyze vast amounts of genetic data. Data analytics enable researchers to sift through large databases of genetic information more rapidly and accurately than ever before. Some public and private institutions already apply AI to genomic data from thousands of oncology patients and enable a continuous data loop from identifying a patient, confirming genomic signature, treatment selection, and monitoring outcomes (Boev et al., 2021; Fedorov & Gelfand, 2021). Rapid genome sequencing could help identify genetic markers for diseases, enabling earlier detection and potentially leading to breakthroughs in designing targeted treatments (e.g., Alzheimer's, Parkinson's) (Emani et al., 2021; Marx, 2021).

In conclusion, the transformative potential of data-driven innovations in the healthcare sector is undeniable. From enhancing individual patient care through precision medicine to revolutionizing medical research, data play a central role in contemporary medical advancements. As we continue to harness and integrate data-driven solutions and methodologies, the future of healthcare looks increasingly promising, underpinned by efficiency, inclusivity, and groundbreaking discoveries.

27 Contribution from the Government of Philippines.
28 Contribution from the Government of Peru.
29 Contribution from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).
IV. Challenges of data for development

Section IV offers a comprehensive exploration of the complexities encountered by both public and private entities when attempting to utilize data in a manner that is both effective and efficient. At the outset, it underscores the fundamental requirements associated with data. These prerequisites encompass the necessary conditions or standards that need to be in place before data can be optimally used. This can include aspects such as data quality, infrastructure, and organizational acceptance. Additionally, the section sheds light on systemic challenges, which are deep-rooted issues within organizations or systems that can impede effective data usage. These systemic issues are very diverse, ranging from adverse effects on market competition to data-based discrimination. The challenge of data governance will be addressed in a separate section.

In the past, technological advancements expanded human capabilities, such as facilitating heavy transportation and enabling faster mathematical calculations. However, the current wave of technological changes introduces data-driven innovations that possess the ability to learn faster than humans and operate autonomously without human intervention. For example, the proliferation of the Internet of Things (IoT) and advancements in machine learning algorithms enable the creation of self-regulating factories that can perform operations with minimal human involvement. Unlike the past, where many innovations relied on human labor, the current focus of data-driven innovations is on reducing labor and resource costs, which leads to a decreased demand for a highly skilled workforce and contributes to knowledge and wage inequality (Korinek et al., 2021).

In the past, the rapid diffusion of technology across countries and firms held the promise of future convergence between developed and developing nations. However, with the advent of the data economy and automation, the traditional competitive advantages of developing countries based on low labor and resource costs are being dismantled (UNCTAD, 2023d). The emergence of new technologies can also introduce additional hurdles to participation in Global Value Chains (GVCs), in the form of requirements for specialized knowledge, skilled personnel, and substantial capital investments (Banga, 2022; WTO, 2021). Examples of successful economic modernization in East Asia and Southeast Asia were able to leverage low labor and resource costs to attract foreign direct investments (FDI) and gradually transition to more advanced production stages. However, the current technological and data landscape poses challenges to following this previous pathway of modernization and instead leads to divergence and greater inequality among nations.

The data economy poses challenges for all countries, irrespective of their development levels (fig. 1). High levels of market concentration and the disproportionate increase in market power of a few technology companies result in rent-seeking behaviors and the diversion of investments away from sectors that enhance productivity. Digital platforms enable firms to establish unique pricing regimes and employ discriminatory advertising and pricing instruments, undermining the efficiency of market mechanisms. Competition policies are struggling to keep up with technological developments and are often unable to address these issues in a timely manner.

Another significant challenge lies in the impact of data-driven innovations on employment. Proliferation of data-driven innovations may render a significant portion of workers redundant and contribute to a global surge in unemployment (Frey & Osborne, 2017). On a brighter note, data analytics can optimize repetitive job tasks while creating new opportunities for human creativity and more fulfilling professional occupations (Balsmeier & Woerter, 2019). AI has a promise to complement and augment human capabilities rather than replace them outright. This
collaborative synergy between humans and AI can unlock enhanced productivity and pave the way for new avenues of innovation, instead of merely leading to job displacement.

Figure 1. Summary of data-related challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data prerequisites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Data quality, portability and interoperability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Complementary skills and capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Organizational acceptance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Legal frameworks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systemic challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Data divides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Market competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data ethics and violations of human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disinformation and misinformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Power imbalances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However as AI systems become increasingly more capable of performing non-routine tasks (Brynjolfsson et al., 2018), there is a danger that this optimistic view on the link between technology and employment will not hold for too long. Large Language Models (LLMs), like GPT series, exhibit an enhanced capacity to execute cognitive tasks that were traditionally the domain of humans, including, but not limited to, analyzing vast amounts of text and crafting documents and messages. As a result, contrary to the automation waves of the past which predominantly affected manual and repetitive jobs, this fresh wave of AI-driven automation has its sights set on clerical jobs. A significant portion of tasks associated with these roles falls under medium to high exposure to potential automation, while about a quarter of these tasks are at an elevated risk of being entirely automated (Gmyrek et al., 2023). This technological shift has profound societal implications, particularly for developing countries. Historically, clerical roles have been instrumental in propelling female employment in these regions. However, with LLMs on the rise, there is a tangible possibility that many such positions might never materialize in developing countries and transition economies.

The specter of automation-driven unemployment looms large, casting a shadow across both developed and developing nations. The potential negative consequences of automation on employment and social well-being have prompted some developed countries, like Finland, and Switzerland, to consider the introduction of universal basic income and improve retraining schemes and life-long learning programs (Stadelmann-Steffen & Dermont, 2020). However, a global consensus on how to adapt education and labor policies to address the needs and challenges of the data economy has yet to be reached.

Given the magnitude of the data promises and the complexity of associated challenges, data governance has become a central concern on the global political agenda. Public and private organizations increasingly recognize the importance of data as a major driver of sustained competitive advantages and a response to various modern challenges. However, failures in managing the negative effects of technological advancements pose a significant danger to
social well-being and can exacerbate inequality. Balancing the benefits and challenges of data economy through effective policy measures is essential to ensure equitable and sustainable growth. The growing influence of data underscores the necessity for a more inclusive data governance, where benefits are not confined to a selected few and where every individual has an equitable opportunity to shape, and be shaped by, the digital future.

A. Challenge concerning data prerequisites

Benefiting from data is not an easy endeavor. It necessitates that countries fulfill several prerequisites that encompass a range of areas, from the quality of data to its management and security. Foremost, countries must ensure that the available data are of high quality. The adage "garbage in, garbage out" applies here: without high-quality data, any derived insights or decision-making would be flawed or, at worst, detrimental. It is not enough for data to just be plentiful—it must be accurate, complete, timely, relevant, and consistent.

Alongside data quality, the interoperability of this data across different technological systems is of paramount importance. As our world grows increasingly interconnected, different systems, applications, and devices must be able to exchange and make use of the data effortlessly. Ensuring such interoperability allows for seamless communication and integration, eliminating potential data silos that could hinder comprehensive analysis and interpretation.

Furthermore, necessary technological infrastructure must be made available by the countries. This infrastructure forms the foundation on which data can be collected, stored, processed, and accessed. Without this, the entire data lifecycle could be compromised, preventing countries from maximizing the value of the data.

Yet having access to high-quality, interoperable data housed on sound infrastructure is not enough. Countries must also possess the necessary capabilities and skills to extract insights from data. This means investing in the development of data literacy, analytical skills, and technical expertise among their workforce. Only then can countries turn raw data into actionable insights and informed decisions.

Equally important is the establishment of trust in these data systems. The governments must ensure their citizens' confidence in how data are managed and used. This trust can be fortified by maintaining transparency in data practices, and also by actively working to minimise organizational resistance against data-centered approaches. In an era where data misuse and breaches are prevalent, it is crucial for countries to win their citizens' trust by demonstrating and fulfilling commitment to ethical, responsible data practices.

The necessity for data safety and security cannot be overstated. With the rise of cyber threats, countries must have robust mechanisms in place to protect their data assets. This includes measures to prevent unauthorized access, detect potential threats, respond to incidents, and recover from attacks or data loss.

Lastly, but certainly not least, is the provision of necessary funding for data infrastructures and data management. Managing data effectively is a complex task that requires substantial investment. Countries need to secure funding for everything from constructing and maintaining the infrastructure, to the tools and personnel necessary for data management, to the training and development programs that build data skills.

30 Contribution from the Government of Japan.
All these elements intertwine to form a comprehensive framework for countries to truly benefit from data. Only when these prerequisites are met can countries hope to fully harness the power of data in driving decision-making, innovation, and overall societal progress.

1. Defining high-quality data: accuracy, interoperability, and contextual fitness

Data quality, as a concept, presents distinct challenges due to its inherent dependency on the designated use of the data. Data considered high-quality within certain contexts may be deemed subpar or insufficient when applied to different scenarios. According to the OECD (2012), the measure of data quality is not solely contingent on the accuracy of the data. Even impeccably accurate data cannot be deemed high-quality if its production is so delayed that its use becomes outdated or irrelevant. Similarly, if data cannot be conveniently accessed or if it seems to contradict other data sources, its quality is undermined. In essence, high-quality data are data that are fit for its intended use. If the data does not match the problem scope, lacks crucial variables, or is in a format that is incompatible with the tools used, its utility decreases, thereby reducing its quality for that particular application.

In addition, factors such as completeness, consistency, credibility, and comparability are also critical in determining the quality of data. Incomplete data can lead to inaccurate insights, inconsistencies can breed confusion, a lack of credibility can question data authenticity, and non-comparable data can limit its application scope. Thus, maintaining high data quality is an intricate balancing act, requiring thorough understanding of the purpose of the data, the context of its use, and its inherent characteristics. This multifaceted concept underscores the importance of implementing rigorous data management processes to ensure the 'fitness for use' of data in relation to its intended purpose. It also emphasizes the need for continuous evaluation and improvement of data quality, as user needs and contexts can evolve over time.

High quality data are also interoperable. Interoperability, in this context, refers to the ability of different datasets and systems to work together, enabling the seamless sharing and integration of data. This not only allows for more effective data utilization but also enables the combination or linking of different datasets to generate new insights. The journey towards achieving data interoperability necessitates legislative measures that extend beyond simply promoting data access. It calls for regulations that ensure data can be seamlessly interconnected and used effectively. These provisions should touch on the quality of data and the conditions under which data should be published. Best practices for enhancing data interoperability include adhering to the FAIR principles: Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability. These principles advocate for data to be easily discoverable, accessible with well-defined conditions, compatible with other datasets, and reusable for various research purposes.

An important aspect of adhering to these principles is the publication of data in machine-readable formats. Machine-readable data are structured in a way that can be easily read and understood by computers, enabling efficient processing and analysis. In addition, providing data access via Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) can further boost interoperability. APIs allow different software applications to communicate with each other, enabling external systems to access and use the data. To further strengthen the interoperability of data and systems, adopting harmonized standards, preferably open standards, is advisable. Open standards are specifications for hardware or software that are publicly available and collaboratively developed, usually by international standard-setting organizations. These standards are designed to cater to user needs and ensure that data and systems can seamlessly interact within a particular market or sector.

Data portability is understood as both a technical capability and sometimes as a fundamental right. It allows individuals or organizations to ask a data custodian to share specific data about them. This data can be sent either back to the person making the request or to another chosen...
entity. The shared data are provided in a widely accepted, structured format that machines can read and understand (OECD, 2021). This data transfer can be either sporadic, done upon request, or systematic. The right to data portability grants individuals (known as the data subject) three specific rights:

- The right to receive a copy of the data they provided to the data custodian (controller).
- The right to send this data to a different data custodian.
- The right to request that the data be directly transferred from one custodian to another.

The introduction of data portability has significant implications for both businesses and individuals (De Hert et al., 2018). For companies, especially those deeply rooted in the digital landscape, the implications are multifaceted. It not only challenges traditional competition laws but also offers a potentially complex opportunity to achieve seamless integration across varied systems. For individuals, this right signifies an enhanced command over their personal data, giving them a stronger voice in the data economy. This leads to a more user-centric relationship between different digital services. On a broader scale, it aims to reset the balance between data subjects and data controllers, or in simpler terms, to restore the equilibrium between digital users and the platforms they engage with. This shift can stimulate a more competitive business environment.

Yet, a note of caution is warranted. Tech giants have the capacity to exploit their extensive data reserves to break into and possibly monopolize new sectors (Borgogno & Colangelo, 2019). This has potential long-term implications. Considering the hesitancy of such tech giants to freely share their data, there is a burgeoning demand for reciprocal data access. Data portability, in this context, can be instrumental in diluting the monopoly of market leaders, thereby preventing them from establishing their dominance.

Effective regulation of data portability and interoperability necessitates robust cross-agency collaboration. This is largely because these two concepts are underpinned by various concerns, ranging from user privacy and consumer rights to competition enforcement. It is not just about sharing data; it is about doing so securely, efficiently, and in a manner that upholds the rights and interests of all stakeholders. Given the specialized nature of various sectors, it is quite possible that sector-specific nuances exist in terms of how data are handled and transferred. This makes the involvement of sector-specific regulators crucial. A telecommunications regulator might approach data differently than, say, a health services regulator. Their combined expertise ensures comprehensive regulation.

One of the significant hurdles to realizing effective data portability and interoperability lies in the implementation phase (Borgogno & Colangelo, 2019). Current regulations, although recognizing the importance of these concepts, often fall short of providing granular guidance on how businesses and organizations should actualize them. This regulatory ambiguity poses challenges for entities, which might be unsure about how to proceed without contravening any laws or guidelines.

The absence of clear-cut provisions or detailed frameworks accentuates concerns over the effectiveness of these concepts in practice. If businesses are unsure about how to achieve interoperability, they might either avoid it altogether or implement it poorly. Moreover, such ambiguity can lead to legal uncertainties where businesses may inadvertently flout regulations, leading to punitive measures. If left unchecked, the freedom given to market players in designing their interoperability solutions could have serious repercussions. For instance, adopting insecure or flawed mechanisms like certain Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) can expose vulnerabilities. These vulnerabilities could be exploited, leading to significant data breaches, undermining user trust, and posing substantial cybersecurity threats.
A stark reminder of the pitfalls of lax data interoperability measures is the Cambridge Analytica scandal. Here, vast amounts of user data were harvested without explicit consent, showcasing the potential misuse of poorly implemented portability and interoperability measures (Isaak & Hanna, 2018; Polanski, 2018).

As the data economy continues to evolve, the call for effective data portability and interoperability becomes even more urgent. While the motivation is clear, ensuring these concepts are effectively and securely realized requires a concerted effort from regulatory bodies, sector-specific experts, and businesses. The stakes are high, but with the right measures, the benefits of interoperability and portability can be reaped without compromising security or user trust.

2. Data infrastructure and meaningful digital connectivity

Participation in the data economy extends beyond simply connecting individuals to the internet. It also necessitates developing robust data infrastructure at a national level, especially in low- and middle-income countries. Currently, many of these countries lack the domestic facilities required to exchange, store, and process their locally generated data. In the absence of local data infrastructure facilities, many countries continue to rely on overseas resources. This dependence necessitates the transfer of large amounts of data in and out of the country, leading to slower internet speeds and higher costs. Such dependencies can significantly hinder these countries’ ability to fully partake in and benefit from the data economy. A strong foundation for this infrastructure begins with sufficient international bandwidth, enabling fluid and unrestricted access to the global internet commons.

The global imperative for universal internet access has gained substantial momentum, as delineated by a 2019 report from the United Nations Broadband Commission for Sustainable Development (ITU & UNESCO, 2019). This document articulates a trajectory for the international digital connectivity, targeting a broadband penetration rate of 75% globally by 2025. Within this framework, specific benchmarks include a 65% broadband proliferation in developing economies and a 35% penetration rate in the least developed nations. The United Nations advocates for each nation—irrespective of its developmental index—to formulate a national strategy to realize universal broadband access by the stipulated timeframe.

However, achieving these targets is challenged by the existing digital divide. Research by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) in 2022 showed that nearly 2.7 billion people worldwide lacked meaningful Internet connectivity (ITU, 2022b). There is a clear North-South divide to where these unconnected populations reside. Connectivity rates in both Europe and the Americas are healthily above 80%, the Arab world has 80%, while we see significant drop-offs in Asia (64%) and, most of all, in Africa (40%). Such inequalities are further compounded across minorities and other groups within these regions. Thus, for instance, 264 million less women were seen to be using the internet than men (GSMA, 2023). Similarly, on average, the connectivity rates of urban populations were seen to be double that of those living in rural and remote areas (Signé, 2023).

This disparity in access to the digital world is not only limited to internet connectivity but also extends to crucial digital infrastructure like data centers. While there are ~3 data centers per million people in North America, the ratio drops to ~0.8 per million in South Asia (World Bank, 2021b). Owing to this disparity, the cloud computing market is also vastly concentrated in a few hands. In fact, recent estimates suggest that nearly 65% of the market has been cornered
by just three firms, Amazon, Google, and Microsoft. Such dominance creates dependencies around the storage and processing of data that can then be leveraged exponentially as more and more firms provide data to the cloud layer and deepen their control over digital intelligence.

Data's pivotal role in forming informed policy decisions, propelling social and economic growth, and enhancing public services is immense. However, contrary to its significance, adequate funding and resources are frequently not dedicated to sustaining and upgrading these vital data systems. The lack of funding can adversely affect the quality and dependability of data, thereby obstructing the ability to generate accurate insights essential for competent decision-making. The repercussions of underinvestment in data infrastructure are expansive and notable. For example, it could lead to data gaps that impede policymakers from acquiring a thorough understanding of socio-economic issues, thereby obstructing their capability to formulate effective strategies and policies. Furthermore, underfunded data systems can result in obsolete or unreliable statistics, causing misguided policy decisions with long-term societal and economic implications.

Another hazard is that underinvestment may intensify inequalities. Low-income countries, already challenged with data availability and quality, may face even greater struggles to fund their data systems. This could amplify the global data divide, leaving these countries further marginalized in a world where data are progressively driving change. The situation underscores the dire need for escalated investment in data systems. It is crucial to secure funding and resources that allow nations to develop robust, comprehensive, and efficient national statistical plans. Doing so would not only enhance data quality and reliability but also enable governments to make more informed decisions, leading to beneficial social and economic outcomes.

High-quality data are fundamental in steering wise policy decisions, informing effective strategies, and assessing the impact of government initiatives. However, the complexities of data collection, management, and analysis can often be intricate and not easily comprehended by those overseeing budgetary allocations. This lack of understanding can result in underestimating the amount of funding needed to uphold robust, reliable, and comprehensive data systems. Moreover, the returns on investing in data systems might not always be immediately perceptible or tangible. This can contribute to a lack of incentives for budgetary decision-makers to prioritize funding for data systems, especially when there are contending demands for resources across different sectors.

3. **Skills and capabilities to harness productivity improvements from data**

Promises of data-driven decisions for improved business performance are numerous. However, if the use of data for business decisions is the current “best practice”, why do not all firms adopt and benefit from it? Even though investments in digital technologies have been increasing consistently for years, there has not been a corresponding rise in productivity globally (Andrews et al., 2016). This halt in productivity is not confined to specific regions or sectors but is a broad-based phenomenon witnessed across the vast majority of companies from developed and developing countries (McGowan et al., 2015).
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The slowdown in productivity growth is a long-term trend, effectively becoming a permanent phenomenon in global economic dynamics. There are diverging viewpoints on this matter. The first perspective argues that current technological advancements, as groundbreaking as they may appear, might not match the magnitude of transformative changes brought about by previous technological revolutions (Gordon, 2000). Examples of these include the advent of electricity or the introduction of the internal combustion engine, both of which significantly altered the course of human progress and productivity in a manner that current digital technologies have yet to replicate.

However, this perspective is not universally held, and a more optimistic outlook suggests that we may be underestimating the productivity impacts of the present wave of technological evolution (Brynjolfsson et al., 2021). The data-driven innovations we invest in today necessitate time, along with complementary investments and capabilities, to yield substantial productivity gains. The apparent slowdown in productivity growth could be due to the time it takes for investments in data analytics to mature and subsequently boost overall productivity.

The development of competitive advantages through data-centric approaches requires not just an initial financial investment, but also the acquisition of specific capabilities and human skills. Therefore, productivity growth might not be immediately visible, but it does not necessarily mean it is permanently stifled. The full effects may just take longer to manifest than previous technological shifts due to the complexity and uniqueness of the current stage of the data revolution (Bharadwaj, 2000). Productivity gains derived from data analytics hinge not merely on the technology itself but also on a range of critical mechanisms and complementary assets:

- Organizations need to carry out organizational reforms and investments in management skills (Bloom et al., 2012; Bresnahan et al., 2002). It is essential to create organizational structures and cultures that can adapt to technological changes and leverage them effectively. This transformation often necessitates significant changes to existing business processes and investments in leadership development, strategic thinking, and change management skills at all levels of the organization.

- Significant investments in Research & Development (R&D) and innovation capability play a crucial role (Bartelsman et al., 2018; Beck et al., 2023; Plekhanov, 2023). Innovative technologies often require equally innovative processes to unlock their full potential (Wu et al., 2020). Firms must invest not just in acquiring the technology but also in R&D to develop new and efficient ways to use it, creating bespoke solutions that align with their specific business needs.

- The development of human capital and the nurturing of relevant skills is a crucial driver of productivity (Gal et al., 2019; Tambe et al., 2012). Technological advancements are of limited use if there is a lack of skills necessary to implement and utilize them effectively. Continuous training and skill development, therefore, form an integral part of the process of technological adaptation.

- Collaboration with external partners is fundamental (Tambe et al., 2012). This includes knowledge sharing, strategic alliances, and cooperation with other firms, institutions, and stakeholders. By forming such collaborative partnerships, organizations can tap into a wider pool of resources, knowledge, and skills, speeding up technology adoption and driving productivity gains.

Securing productivity gains from the data revolution is not merely a matter of pouring financial resources into new technological applications. It requires a multi-faceted approach that addresses organizational structures, skills, alignment of business strategies, and strong
partnerships, all of which play critical roles in harnessing the potential of data for productivity enhancements.

The challenge of translating IT investments into productivity gains is universal, yet it is particularly pronounced in developing countries. As studies by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) (2022) and the World Bank (2021a) have shown, the demand for digital skills (from foundational literacy to advanced competencies) is rapidly advancing across Asia and Africa, but large parts of these continents are struggling to keep up. For instance, it was estimated that by 2030, nearly 230 million jobs in Sub-Saharan Africa will require digital skills. However, in countries such as Chad or the Central African Republic, only minuscule percentages of people (1.6% and 2.4%, respectively) have experience in even the basics of operating digital technology. In addition to reducing the developing world to suppliers of cheap, low-skilled labor, these gaps may also entrench inequalities in more advanced sectors. Developing countries also struggle to retain data science and information technology professionals (UNCTAD, 2021), generating a vicious cycle whereby these countries lack the talent pools to attempt productive activity at higher levels on the digital value chain.

Developed countries, despite their advantage of sophisticated technological infrastructure and a highly skilled workforce, are experiencing a prolonged period of slow productivity growth (Andrews et al., 2016). This is paradoxical, considering their substantial investments in data-enabled technologies. There is a notable variation in productivity within these countries and across their various sectors, revealing a growing divide between top-performing companies and the rest.

In this context, a small number of tech companies have shown consistent growth in productivity. These companies have successfully leveraged data and digital technologies to achieve significant economic gains and large market shares. However, this is not the case for a substantial segment of businesses, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). These companies have not experienced similar growth, facing challenges such as limited access to capital, a lack of digital skills, organizational adaptability issues, and obstacles to innovation. This productivity gap has tangible impacts on the economy, affecting market competitiveness, wage levels, and overall economic health.

These observations raise critical questions. Is the technological and policy environment inherently biased towards top-tier companies? What obstacles are preventing a wider range of businesses from realizing productivity gains from their digital investments? And importantly, how can reforms in policy, education, and economics be implemented to ensure that the benefits of the digital revolution are accessible to a broader segment of the economy?

4. Data security risks: the double-edged sword of interconnected business in the digital economy

The increasing interconnectedness of business processes, physical devices, and the widespread adoption of digital technologies present opportunities for value creation and the development of new business models. However, this interconnectedness also brings about a new frontier of digital security risks, exposing firms to various threats such as denial-of-service attacks and ransomware incidents (Lu & Da Xu, 2018). The erosion of boundaries between digital systems and firms in the digital economy intensifies the severity and spread of digital attacks, as evidenced by the rising costs of data breaches. The COVID-19 pandemic has further
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emphasized the importance of digital transformation\(^{35}\), with a significant surge in the consumption of digital content and services, necessitating a shift towards remote work and digital operations. Consequently, ensuring robust data security measures has become increasingly vital for public and private organizations across diverse sectors.

A cyber attack on a power grid can lead to widespread blackouts, affecting everything from hospitals to homes, and causing immediate life-threatening situations. Similarly, disruptions in communication networks can prevent essential information flow, isolating communities and potentially hampering emergency response efforts. If transportation systems, such as air traffic control or urban rail networks, are compromised, it can result in delays and accidents\(^{36}\). Furthermore, continuous disruptions caused by cyber attacks can erode public trust in the government's ability to protect its citizens.

Data breaches can have significant negative impacts on the economy\(^{37}\). They can result in substantial financial losses for businesses and individuals. When sensitive financial information such as credit card details or bank account information is compromised, it can lead to fraudulent transactions, unauthorized access to funds, and financial theft (S. Bauer et al., 2017). Businesses may also face costly legal settlements, fines, and damage to their reputation, which can impact their revenue and profitability.

Data breaches can expose valuable intellectual property (IP), including trade secrets, patents, and proprietary information (Andrijcic & Horowitz, 2006). This can lead to significant financial losses as competitors may gain access to confidential information, resulting in lost competitive advantage, decreased market share, and potential revenue decline. Data breaches often erode trust and damage the reputation of businesses. When customer data are compromised, it can lead to a loss of confidence among existing customers and potential customers (Cavusoglu et al., 2009). This loss of trust can result in reduced customer loyalty, decreased sales, and a tarnished brand image. Rebuilding trust can be a long and challenging process, further impacting the economic standing of the affected organization.

Despite the importance of data security, companies often tend to underinvest in cybersecurity measures, primarily because the economic ramifications of any potential data breach are typically borne not by them, but by the clients whose data are compromised (WEF, 2022). This creates a disconnect between the party responsible for data security and the party that suffers the most significant consequences in the event of a data breach.

Given this situation, there is a pressing need for government intervention to counteract firms' tendency to underinvest in cybersecurity. This could involve both incentives and regulations. Governments could provide financial incentives, such as tax breaks or subsidies, to companies that enhance their cybersecurity measures. This could potentially make cybersecurity investments more financially attractive to firms.

On the other hand, stricter regulations could be imposed to ensure that companies are held accountable for their cybersecurity infrastructure. Such regulations could include mandating specific security standards or imposing significant penalties for data breaches. This could encourage companies to take proactive steps towards enhancing their cybersecurity measures to avoid regulatory sanctions. However, it is important to strike a balance between encouraging
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firms to enhance their cybersecurity measures and not overburdening them with excessive regulation, which could stifle innovation or create barriers for smaller players in the market.

It is imperative for governments to bolster their capabilities in both countering and managing the aftermath of cyber attacks\(^\text{38}\). The consequences of these attacks can be vast, ranging from compromising personal data to disrupting critical national infrastructure. Recognizing the importance of addressing these threats, particularly in places where expertise and resources may be limited, the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) steps in by helping to establish entities like Computer Incident Response Teams (CIRT) or Computer Emergency Response Teams (CERT) in developing countries\(^\text{39}\). Such teams play a crucial role in the cybersecurity framework of a nation. By acting as a centralized hub, they ensure that when cyber incidents occur, the response is coordinated and efficient. This centralization not only aids in quicker incident resolution but also in disseminating vital information, ensuring that cyber threats are dealt with in a swift and comprehensive manner.

5. **Organizational resistance to data use: protecting data integrity against manipulation**

Reliable high-quality data are essential instruments in the machinery of governance, acting as an objective lens that reflects the performance and consequences of policy decisions. Data provide a measure against which the effectiveness of political strategies can be appraised, thereby serving as an important regulator on governmental activities.

Beyond their evaluative capacity, trustworthy data also have the potential to counterbalance concentrations of power. By their very nature, statistical data act as a democratizing force, fostering transparency and accountability that can facilitate a more equitable dispersion of power throughout society. They shine a light on the actualities of government performance and societal trends, and in doing so, create an informed public capable of engaging more meaningfully with the political process. In this capacity, reliable statistics serve as a formidable tool for public empowerment, enabling citizens to keep their governments answerable and demand change where necessary.

Nevertheless, the very strength of data – its ability to propel transparency, accountability, and democracy – also renders it attractive to those with ulterior motives. There exists a significant risk that these parties, aware of the transformative potential of data, may endeavor to interfere and manipulate decisions related to its collection, reuse, and dissemination. Such interference could be aimed at distorting the data to serve their interests, conceal inconvenient truths, or mold public perception to their advantage.

This interference could manifest in various ways, from dictating what data are gathered and how it is interpreted, to controlling who can access the data and dictating how data are circulated. The objective is typically to maintain the status quo, safeguard individual or group interests, and curtail the potential for data to drive transformative change. Given these risks, it is vital to insulate the processes surrounding data collection, reuse, and sharing against such manipulations. Only with such safeguards can we ensure that data continues to be a force for good governance, public scrutiny, and active democratic participation.

6. **Legal frameworks for equitable data governance**

In the data age, there is a pressing need for comprehensive legal frameworks that support and regulate data-driven innovations. Central to this need is the quest for greater legal certainty, which can be achieved by implementing transparent and consistent domestic policies across sectors. When laws are consistent and clear, it alleviates ambiguity, fostering an environment
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where innovation thrives and all stakeholders, from businesses to consumers, have clarity regarding their responsibilities and rights.

Rather than getting mired in procedural formalities, these laws should be outcome-oriented. They should zero in on providing effective protection to individuals. This involves a commitment to prioritize real-world privacy and security outcomes, ensuring that data-driven innovations not only respect the sanctity of privacy rights but also shield personal data from unauthorized access. In cases where these safeguards fail, clear avenues for redress should be in place. Diving deeper into data management, the legal frameworks should champion principles of data minimization and integrity. Only the necessary data should be collected, and its accuracy must be diligently maintained. Furthermore, when it comes to data sharing, the consent of users should be explicit, and data exchange practices must adhere to the highest ethical standards. In a globalized world, fostering international data transfers is crucial. However, these transfers should not compromise data protection standards; rather, they should facilitate a global exchange of ideas, technologies, and best practices.

The challenges posed by data do not fit neatly into the limited functional areas of vertically organized government departments. Data challenges cut across multiple policy areas. For instance, decisions in trade can impact privacy or intellectual property rights, given the pervasive nature of digital technologies. An exemplar of the intersection of policy areas is copyright law. As digital technologies evolve, there is an increasing realization that copyright needs to transition from an exclusionary right to one that emphasizes access. Historically, copyright was a tool for authors, but with the emergence of large tech conglomerates, there is a risk of it becoming restrictive, hindering the free flow of information and market competition.

In the wake of rapid advancements in AI, the very act of inventing is undergoing a paradigm shift. As AI systems become increasingly proficient, they are not just assisting the invention process by making it more cost-effective. The technological evolution heralds the dawn of AI-generated inventions—innovations not crafted by human hands but autonomously conceptualized by AI software (Kitano, 2021). Such an inevitable transformation demands a corresponding evolution in our patent systems. It is essential for these systems to be primed to recognize and accommodate the nuances of AI-generated inventions (de Rassenfosse et al., 2023). They need to address the complex questions of ownership, novelty, and intellectual property rights in a landscape where the creator is not a human but a machine. The challenge lies not just in acknowledging AI's role in innovation but in structuring legal frameworks that can effectively protect these new forms of invention and resolve associated challenges (box 9).

**Box 9. Copyright in the age of generative AI**

The advent of the generative AI revolution has illuminated complex ethical dilemmas, particularly centering around the consumption and utilization of public and copyrighted knowledge. With AI systems being trained on a plethora of texts, audio, and video archives, the traditional boundaries of fair use and copyright law are being rigorously tested and challenged.

In the context of copyright law, the principle of fair use has always served as a balancing act, ensuring that the utilization of copyrighted works does not infringe upon the rights of original authors and content creators. However, the unprecedented scale at which generative AI consumes and processes this information raises profound ethical and legal questions. Does the extensive use of copyrighted works for training AI transcend the permissible boundaries of fair use? Are the authors and content creators adequately compensated or acknowledged for their contributions in the era of AI?

Some scholars have proposed that evaluation of fair use in this scenario may require the introduction of a new ethical principle— that one can term “fair learning” — in order to balance access to the commons of public
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knowledge that is essential for building ethical and non-discriminatory AI while ensuring the output of such AI systems does not pose “significant substitutive competition” to the authors/content creators whose works have been used. In this view, “If the purpose of the AI’s use (of copyrighted input material) is not to obtain or incorporate the copyrightable elements of a work but to access, learn, and use the unprotectable parts of the work, that use should be presumptively fair (Lemley & Casey, 2020).”

However, this emerging ethical landscape is intricate. The implementation of “fair learning” requires rigorous legal, ethical, and technological scrutiny. Legislation and policies must evolve to encapsulate the complexities introduced by AI. Concurrently, AI developers and users must be cognizant of the ethical implications of their innovations, ensuring that the technologies are not just legally compliant but also ethically sound.

Such complexities underscore the importance of ensuring laws are globally harmonized. As trade flows increasingly rely on the Internet, legal frameworks must strike a balance: protection that is too lax can erode consumer trust, but overly stringent regulations can hamper business growth. Furthermore, a key pillar of this harmonized approach is the effective implementation of these policies. A transparent environment, bolstered by these regulations, will ensure that digital solutions are harnessed for the broader societal good. This approach should not only set clear objectives and standards but also promote multi-stakeholder partnerships, laying the foundation for a digitally inclusive society.

Taxation in the digital economy further exemplifies the need for international collaboration. The current misalignment between where digital platforms extract value and where they are taxed poses critical challenges. Especially for developing countries, where users significantly contribute to these platforms, there is a pressing need to ensure they receive their fair share of tax revenues. International discussions on digital taxation must be inclusive, giving developing nations a seat at the table. While frameworks from entities like the OECD are steps in the right direction, their long-term impact on equitable profit distribution remains a topic of debate.

Establishing robust, nuanced, and relevant regulatory frameworks for cross-border data flows stands as one of the foremost policy imperatives (UNCTAD, 2021). As data increasingly becomes the lifeblood of the digital economy, governments are tasked with navigating the intricate balance between the domestic opportunities and potential pitfalls presented by these data flows. On one hand, the benefits of cross-border data flows are manifold. They can play a pivotal role in supporting the realization of specific human rights, ensuring that they are upheld and not trampled upon in the digital economy. Moreover, for the average individual, such flows translate to a broader range of competitive online services, enriching their digital experience and offering more informed choices. Businesses, especially those operating in global markets, stand to gain immensely too. The free movement of data across borders allows them to make choices that are economically judicious and operationally efficient.

However, these benefits come with an accompanying set of challenges. Foremost among them are the overarching threats to data security. With increasing connectivity, issues of privacy breaches and cybersecurity vulnerabilities become more pronounced. The very nature of data-driven sectors, with their inherent potential for market failures, brings about a series of intricate challenges. Network externalities, economies of both scale and scope, and pervasive information asymmetry are just a few of the complexities that governments need to grapple with.

As digital technologies become integral to modern life, access to this capital becomes paramount (Ciuriak & Ptashkina, 2018). It is not just about having the data but ensuring its equitable distribution and access, ensuring no segment of the society is left behind41. However,
this equilibrium is particularly daunting for Least Developed Countries (LDCs). Hindered by inadequate digital infrastructure, nascent digital capabilities, and constrained regulatory bandwidth, these nations face an uphill task. The challenge for them is not just to catch up but to build resilient systems and robust legal frameworks that allow them to thrive in this data-centric world.

B. Systemic challenges

The transition into the digital age has opened unprecedented opportunities for progress, but it also bears inherent systemic challenges. A significant issue is the emerging data divide. Many regions and societal groups, especially those in lower-income countries, struggle with data accessibility due to lack of infrastructure, economic constraints, and digital illiteracy. As a result, they remain mere raw data suppliers while tech giants in developed countries extract the primary value. The dominance of these tech giants in the global platform economy suppresses lower-income countries' voices in decision-making processes related to data governance.

Simultaneously, this data-driven environment has led to market competition concerns. A few powerful companies have monopolized large data sets, leading to potential wealth concentration and market power abuses. This dominance can also facilitate algorithms that support anticompetitive pricing strategies. Addressing this requires innovative regulatory frameworks, as traditional antitrust methods may fall short.

Human rights also come into focus in the data landscape. Data and the associated technologies, like AI, may inadvertently violate rights such as privacy and freedom of expression. Cultural differences in ethical norms further complicate matters. Biased AI systems can reinforce existing societal prejudices, affecting sectors like employment and criminal justice. These biases can lead to data-based discrimination, where companies might adjust prices based on personal data or specific groups might remain invisible in data processes due to unequal digital resource access.

Lastly, while the data revolution promises efficiency and growth, it also has notable environmental impacts. Technological efficiencies can unintentionally boost consumption, offsetting potential eco-friendly advantages. For instance, data consumption's surge, propelled by digital platforms, has data centers using an increasingly significant portion of global electricity. This rise in digitalization also produces more electronic waste, posing significant challenges to developing countries that are ill-equipped to manage it. As the world grapples with these challenges, it is evident that collaborative actions between the civil society, public and private sectors, and technical communities are pivotal to harmonize the digital transition with broader sustainability and climate goals.

1. Data divides and how to ensure equitable participation in the data economy

The economic advantages that can be derived from data and are neither automatic nor uniformly dispersed amongst or within countries (UNCTAD, 2019). Data-driven progress, while offering immense potential for societal advancement, has unfortunately contributed to the exacerbation of inequalities and the deepening of data divides. This phenomenon has disproportionately favored specific groups or regions, creating significant challenges for lower-income countries striving to participate equitably in the global data economy. The rising value of data has fueled competition for its collection, resulting in practices that are often unsustainable and inequitable. Such practices can exacerbate power imbalances, putting
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developing countries at a disadvantage and potentially widening disparities in their access to and control over valuable data resources.

The data divide compounds existing disparities as data-intensive frontier technologies can only reach their full potential in countries with the necessary infrastructure, including high-speed internet and data collection and analysis capabilities. Given that these data-driven processes often rely on large amounts of data, countries with large populations play a vital role as sources of raw data for many businesses. This situation risks relegating developing countries to mere consumers of data, lacking the capacity to fully harness the value of data themselves.

Regions like Africa, South and Central America, and Central Asia are underrepresented in global data governance debates, which shows that “the international debate over ethical AI may not be happening globally in equal measures. MEDC (more economically developed) countries are shaping this debate more than others, which raises concerns about neglecting local knowledge, cultural pluralism and global fairness (Jobin et al., 2019).” The equitable sharing of benefits from the productivity gains enabled by data and AI technologies in the international political order – is often overlooked in ethical debates.  

While a majority of the global population now has access to the Internet, there are still millions of people who lack connectivity, particularly in marginalized and underserved communities (ITU, 2021). As of 2021, approximately 2.9 billion people globally did not have internet access (ITU, 2022a). The majority of this offline population, numbering about 1.7 billion, resided in the Asia-Pacific region, with China and India accounting for the most significant numbers. Africa was the next most affected region, with around 738 million people without internet access. The total number of offline individuals across the remaining four regions combined was approximately 470 million. This vast number of people without internet connectivity represents a significant data divide, impacting their ability to access and benefit from digital resources and opportunities.

This lack of access creates disparities in opportunities and hinders the realization of the full benefits of the data economy. Even among those who have access to the Internet, limited connectivity poses a barrier to fully harnessing the potential of the data economy. Slow or unreliable connections limit the ability to engage in online activities, access information, and utilize online services effectively. This further exacerbates the inequality between digitally empowered individuals and those with limited connectivity.

Internet access and the necessary connectivity devices can be prohibitively expensive for many individuals and communities, particularly in low-income areas. The high cost of connectivity acts as a barrier to entry, preventing equal participation in the data economy and impeding access to the opportunities it offers. Furthermore, the availability of relevant and localized digital content and services is essential for promoting inclusivity and equality. A lack of culturally appropriate content and services can alienate individuals and communities, making it challenging for them to benefit from digital resources (UNCTAD, 2022b). Ensuring that digital content cater to diverse needs and languages is vital for fostering inclusion and equal participation in the digital world. Digital skills and literacy also play a crucial role in bridging the data divide. Many individuals, particularly in underserved communities, lack the necessary knowledge and skills to navigate digital technologies effectively. This limits their ability to fully engage in online activities, utilize digital tools for personal and professional development, and take advantage of the opportunities presented by the digital age.
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In many developing countries, companies often face a subordinate position in the global data landscape, as data and the value derived from it tend to be concentrated in the hands of a few dominant global digital platforms and multinational enterprises (UNCTAD, 2019). This concentration of data ownership and value capture can pose significant challenges for developing countries, potentially leading them to become mere providers of raw data while having to pay for the digital intelligence extracted from their own data.

Given this context, it is necessary to explore ways to achieve more inclusive development benefits from data. This involves improving the ability to collect, share, and analyze high-quality data, including across national borders, in a manner that leaves no one behind. While all countries need to allocate more domestic resources to develop data-related capacities and capture its value domestically, many countries may face limitations in meeting these needs due to financial, technical, and other resource constraints. Therefore, international cooperation and capacity building efforts are required to bridge the gap and support countries in achieving their data-related goals.

Moreover, the involvement of lower-income countries in global data markets and their influence over the governance of these markets can be quite challenging to achieve. Power dynamics, imbalanced relationships, and barriers to entry often prevent these countries from actively participating in decision-making processes that shape global data policies. As a result, their voices may be marginalized, and their specific needs and concerns may not be adequately addressed. In addition to the infrastructure and governance challenges, establishing the institutional and regulatory frameworks necessary to instill trust in data systems can be a complex and resource-intensive undertaking for lower-income countries.

Building robust data protection and privacy laws, ensuring data security, and promoting ethical data practices require significant financial and technical resources. Many lower-income countries may struggle to allocate the necessary funding and expertise to develop and enforce these frameworks, leaving their citizens vulnerable to data misuse and privacy violations. The UN Environment Programme assessed in 2019, prior to the start of the COVID-19 pandemic and related setbacks to the Sustainable Development Agenda, that there was not sufficient data to comprehensively measure the progress of 68% of environment-related SDG indicators and called for data sources that can improve spatial and temporal coverage.

Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts and collaboration at multiple levels. International organizations, governments, and private sector entities need to work together to bridge data divides by investing in infrastructure development, providing technical assistance, and promoting digital literacy programs in lower-income countries. Efforts should also focus on empowering these countries to actively participate in shaping global data policies, ensuring their perspectives are represented and their unique needs are addressed. Capacity building and knowledge sharing initiatives can help lower-income countries develop the skills and expertise needed to harness data effectively for their own development. International partnerships can play a crucial role in facilitating technology transfers, sharing best practices, and supporting local innovation ecosystems.

As we move towards a more data-centered world, we often neglect areas where data are scarce or unavailable. Data divides lead to “data invisibility” of marginalized communities, including women, tribal groups, castes, religious and linguistic minorities, and migrant workers (UNCTAD, 2022a). The invisibility of these communities in national or global data landscapes can result in their voices being muted and limit their active participation in social, economic, and political spaces. Relying heavily on automated data collection methods can inadvertently
exclude these already vulnerable groups. This exclusion can further deteriorate their trust in digital tools and potentially amplify biases that affect the performance and accuracy of data analytics. This scenario highlights the urgency for enhanced transparency in how data are collected, processed, and used, ensuring fair and equitable digital representation. As our reliance on data for decision-making grows, we may inadvertently overlook regions and societal groups lacking data. We must remember that the absence of data does not equate to an absence of change or impact.

2. **Adverse effects on market competition and how to ensure fair play in the data economy**

The increasing data connectivity between firms in today's business landscape has led to a higher level of mutual reliance on each other's business models. Traditional competition between stand-alone companies has evolved into competition within networks of firms, wherein the dynamics of collaboration and competition become intertwined (Cenamor et al., 2017).

Digital ecosystems and platforms create network effects, wherein the value of the ecosystem increases as more participants join (Schilling, 2002). This phenomenon makes it difficult for competitors to appropriate value independently, as the success of one participant enhances the value and attractiveness of the entire ecosystem. As a result, firms are incentivized to actively participate in these ecosystems to access a larger customer base, leverage shared resources, and benefit from network effects. Within these interconnected networks, the boundaries between competitors and collaborators become blurred (Ritala et al., 2014). Direct competitors may recognize the mutual benefits of cooperation and choose to become partners. By pooling their complementary strengths and resources, they can achieve shared goals, enhance their competitiveness, and create new value within the ecosystem. Collaborative activities such as joint product development, shared marketing initiatives, or even co-creating innovative solutions become common strategies in such ecosystems.

The current business landscape is characterized by the dominance of a few large platform companies that control vast amounts of data. This concentration of personal information within a limited number of entities raises concerns about market power and the potential for discriminatory practices. The privileged position of these companies gives them the ability to exert influence over markets and potentially engage in practices that unfairly advantage certain groups while discriminating against others. The control exerted by major platforms and data providers over the digital economy can skew the distribution of wealth it generates. This dominance could potentially obstruct local value creation and capture, making it challenging for smaller entities and new market entrants to compete and prosper (UNCTAD, 2022b). Some potential risks include an abusive use of personal data to set high prices for goods for certain customers (Adams, 2017). Additionally, a leading search engine could display search results that unduly promote particular retail sites (EC, 2017). Similarly, a major national provider could hinder the functionality of an international rival (Zhong & Yuan, 2021). Furthermore, a dominant tech company might exert disproportionate influence over governments (Blumenthal, 2017).

Algorithms can threaten consumer welfare by supporting anticompetitive behaviours. Companies use algorithms to set prices for goods or services. However, if multiple companies are using similar algorithms and data, this could result in price collusion even without any explicit agreement between these companies. This can happen in two ways - either the algorithms are programmed to follow a price leader, adjusting their own prices in line with that leader's, or they independently arrive at similar pricing strategies based on their analysis of
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market data. In either case, this can lead to higher prices for consumers, even though there's no explicit collusion between the firms.

These dynamics highlight the importance of carefully examining market concentration and potential abuses of power resulting from data accumulation. It is crucial to establish robust regulatory frameworks that promote competition, prevent anti-competitive behavior, and safeguard against discrimination based on data control. Such regulations can help ensure a level playing field and foster a more equitable and inclusive digital ecosystem.

Effective regulatory measures may include antitrust policies that prevent monopolistic practices and promote fair competition. This can involve scrutinizing mergers and acquisitions in data-driven industries to prevent excessive consolidation and promote diversity and innovation. Additionally, regulations can focus on data privacy and protection, ensuring that individuals have control over their personal information and preventing its unauthorized use or exploitation.

In the data economy, traditional antitrust regimes may not be entirely effective. Data-driven firms often possess intangible assets such as data and algorithms rather than tangible ones like real estate or physical equipment. As traditional antitrust thresholds often consider tangible assets, many data-driven firms might not meet these criteria, hence escaping antitrust reviews. Many data-driven firms, particularly start-ups, may not generate significant revenues initially as they focus on user acquisition and product development. Therefore, their financial footprint may be insufficient to trigger a review under traditional antitrust regimes, which typically consider revenue size as a criterion. While data-driven firms may start small, their potential for rapid and exponential growth due to network effects is substantial.

Given these factors, traditional antitrust mechanisms may need to be updated to account for the unique characteristics of data-driven firms. Specifically, adjustments in merger notification thresholds can ensure antitrust authorities have the opportunity to review potentially anti-competitive mergers involving data-driven firms. This change would allow authorities to scrutinize mergers and acquisitions that, while seemingly minor in the present, could potentially lead to significant market concentration in the future. It would also ensure that antitrust regulation stays relevant in an increasingly digital and data-driven global economy, helping to maintain competition and protect consumers.

While advances in data-driven innovations are built on global collaboration, encompassing contributions from universities, public research institutions, and various global companies, the resultant technologies' ownership largely remains in the hands of a select group of companies. These market leaders, predominantly situated in a few countries, exert overwhelming control in the data economy. By cornering the knowledge and infrastructure essential for refining and capitalizing on the technology, these companies have cultivated a dominant presence, particularly in areas of deep learning and neural networks, both of which are data-intensive domains. The significant concentration of power within a few entities brings forth pressing concerns. Among these are issues of accountability, the transparency of operations, and the overarching question of how democratic control can be asserted over data and AI systems, especially given the profound influence they have on our daily lives.

3. When data meet ethics: addressing the ethical implications of modern data practices

The use of data-driven approaches has the potential to impact human rights, including issues related to privacy, freedom of expression, and discrimination. It is crucial to ensure that data systems respect and uphold fundamental human rights and do not perpetuate or exacerbate existing inequalities or biases. Ethical guidelines and frameworks are necessary to guide the development, deployment, and use of data in an ethical and responsible manner.
Data ethics takes center stage, especially in scenarios where the gathering and manipulation of personal information complies with legal norms set out in privacy law, yet triggers a broader array of moral, cultural, and societal issues. These concerns could potentially lead to direct or indirect unfavorable consequences impacting individuals or collective social groups. It is not merely about the legality of data acquisition and processing, it involves the moral implications associated with the methods utilized to collect data, the respect for individual privacy, the intentions behind collecting such data, and the potential implications of its misuse.

Cultural considerations also come into play in data ethics. In a diverse global community, what may be considered ethically acceptable in one culture may not be viewed the same way in another. Therefore, the culturally-sensitive handling of data is crucial to ensure that no harm is done to people's cultural beliefs and values. Moreover, societal aspects of data ethics involve evaluating the potential consequences of data collection and processing on different social groups. The potential impacts could be as subtle as reinforcing existing social biases or as severe as causing discrimination or social harm.

Therefore, while privacy laws provide a legal framework for data collection and processing, they may not necessarily address all ethical concerns arising from the broader implications of data practices. This highlights the need for a robust data ethics framework, encompassing moral, cultural, and societal considerations alongside legal compliance.

The vast amounts of data processed by AI systems raise concerns about individual privacy. Data sharing and utilization can lead to unintended negative consequences. For instance, when individuals willingly share their data online, it can be exploited to gather information about others who did not give their consent, thus undermining their privacy (Acemoglu et al., 2022). Advancements in data analytics have simplified the process of associating ostensibly non-personal data with identifiable or identified individuals, blurring the line between personal and non-personal data. That poses challenges to regulatory methods that rely solely on a static understanding of "personal data" to establish the relevance of rights and responsibilities (OECD, 2019b).

AI systems learn from vast amounts of data, and if the training data are biased or reflect societal prejudices, the AI algorithms can inadvertently perpetuate those biases (box 10). For example, if historical data used to train an AI algorithm exhibits discriminatory patterns, the algorithm may make biased decisions or predictions, leading to increased discrimination in various domains such as employment, criminal justice, or lending practices. This bias amplification can further deepen existing social disparities and marginalize certain groups.

AI algorithms may exhibit biases associated with factors such as gender, race, ethnicity, geography, and socio-economic variables (such as education, income, and zip codes). It has been observed that when companies integrate AI into their products and services, individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds are more likely to experience adverse effects (Zou & Schiebinger, 2018). Decisions driven by AI can have far-reaching impacts on individuals’ lives, such as influencing job prospects (through automated resume screening), access to financial services (through credit scoring algorithms), or even legal outcomes (through predictive policing or sentencing algorithms). However, these AI systems can be prone to biases or errors, especially when trained on skewed or discriminatory data, leading to unjust or harmful outcomes. Yet, affected individuals often lack information about these systems and their potential impacts, undermining their ability to contest decisions or seek redress.
These risks can contribute to discrimination along socioeconomic lines, further entrenching existing inequalities. Specific groups that have limited access to digital tools such as mobile phones, the internet, and banking services, including women, could become less visible in data and decision-making processes if algorithmic bias is allowed to persist in the use of biased datasets. Decision-making based on data about a person’s social interactions, such as friends and neighbors, can also amplify discriminatory effects. For instance, an individual’s poor credit score could indirectly lower the scores of those within their neighborhood or social network. Further complexities arise when alternative scoring tools are used to identify vulnerable individuals who may be susceptible to predatory loans and other exploitative product offerings. Such practices underscore the importance of ensuring fair and equitable use of data and algorithms. Ensuring this requires a multi-pronged approach, involving stringent regulation, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and public awareness about data privacy and rights. Unchecked, these practices could further exacerbate socio-economic disparities and discrimination, and unfairly disadvantage already marginalized groups. Therefore, it is crucial that these issues are adequately addressed to prevent such outcomes and promote a fair and equitable digital ecosystem.

4. Disinformation, misinformation, and the role of data

Disinformation has emerged as a global issue of concern with serious consequences for democracy and human rights (OHCHR, 2021a). There is extensive documentation of the surge of misinformation and disinformation campaigns during the Covid-19 pandemic jeopardizing public health (OHCHR, 2020), interferences with electoral processes (Bradshaw & Howard, 2019), and attacks on minority groups (OHCHR, 2021b). Disinformation also intensifies political polarization and negatively impacts society’s trust and cohesion (Matasick et al., 2020). While disinformation is not a new problem, data-enabled technologies such as social media platforms, artificial intelligence (AI), and Big Data analytics have created new avenues for false or manipulated information to be created, disseminated, and amplified at a scale, speed, and reach never known before. As a RAND Corporation report on ‘Hostile Social Manipulation’ observed, “the role of information warfare in global strategic competition has become much more apparent in recent years (Mazarr et al., 2019).’’

There is no clear definition of, or shared common understanding and approach to, the terms

| Box 10. Perils of ‘Data for social good’ initiatives

Initiatives aimed at utilizing data for societal benefits can sometimes lead to increased surveillance, inadvertently normalizing the reliance of public systems on private firms that can be extractive in nature.

An example of this can be seen in a collaborative project between a prominent tech company and a regional government that aimed to apply AI in preventing teenage pregnancy and reducing school dropout rates (Viera Magalhães & Couldry, 2021). This initiative involved the creation of a “permanent” monitoring system to track the habits and well-being of disadvantaged women and children, leading to comprehensive surveillance of these vulnerable individuals. However, addressing these complex social issues effectively would require a focus on strengthening institutional systems, such as education and universal access to health and reproductive care.

In another instance, a tech giant’s suite of educational tools and software, offered to schools often at no cost, has faced criticism (Viera Magalhães & Couldry, 2021). While intended to facilitate learning and accessibility, concerns have been raised about the collection of extensive personal information from underage students without parental consent, as the use of these tools is often mandatory in many educational settings. These cases illustrate the nuanced and delicate intersection between leveraging data for societal welfare and ensuring the privacy and autonomy of individuals. Ethical considerations are paramount and call for a comprehensive dialogue involving all stakeholders to ensure that data are used responsibly and ethically, balancing societal benefits with individual rights and privacy.

These risks can contribute to discrimination along socioeconomic lines, further entrenching existing inequalities. Specific groups that have limited access to digital tools such as mobile phones, the internet, and banking services, including women, could become less visible in data and decision-making processes if algorithmic bias is allowed to persist in the use of biased datasets. Decision-making based on data about a person’s social interactions, such as friends and neighbors, can also amplify discriminatory effects. For instance, an individual’s poor credit score could indirectly lower the scores of those within their neighborhood or social network. Further complexities arise when alternative scoring tools are used to identify vulnerable individuals who may be susceptible to predatory loans and other exploitative product offerings. Such practices underscore the importance of ensuring fair and equitable use of data and algorithms. Ensuring this requires a multi-pronged approach, involving stringent regulation, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and public awareness about data privacy and rights. Unchecked, these practices could further exacerbate socio-economic disparities and discrimination, and unfairly disadvantage already marginalized groups. Therefore, it is crucial that these issues are adequately addressed to prevent such outcomes and promote a fair and equitable digital ecosystem.
disinformation, misinformation, and fake news (UN, 2022a). The difficulty of formulating precise definitions of these terms is accurately captured by the UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression and Opinion, Irene Khan (OHCHR, 2021a):

“Part of the problem lies in the impossibility of drawing clear lines between fact and falsehood and between the absence and presence of intent to cause harm. False information can be instrumentalized by actors with diametrically opposite objectives. Truthful information can be labeled as “fake news” and delegitimized. Opinions, beliefs, uncertain knowledge, and other forms of expression like parody and satire do not easily fall into a binary analysis of truth and falsity. Furthermore, false content that is spread online with the intent to cause harm (disinformation) can be picked up and shared by innocent third parties with no such intent (misinformation), the innocent vector boosting dissemination and adding credibility to the malicious campaigner. Intentionally or not, the harm occurs. Some forms of disinformation can amount to incitement to hatred, discrimination, and violence, which are prohibited under international law.”

Several attempts have been made to bring conceptual clarity to these often misunderstood, interchangeably used, and misused terminologies. One such attempt that subsequently came to be cited widely is the following taxonomy of information disorder developed by Wardle and Derakhshan (2017).

- **Misinformation**: when false information is shared, but no harm is meant.
- **Disinformation**: when false information is knowingly shared to cause harm.
- **Malinformation**: when genuine information is shared to cause harm, often by moving what was designed to stay private into the public sphere.

The European Commission’s Independent High-Level Group on Fake News and Online Disinformation noted the appropriation and misleading use of the term "fake news" by powerful actors to dismiss disagreeable coverage (EC, 2018). Consequently, the UK government has prohibited the use of "fake news" in official policy documents and papers.

Digital platforms leverage the large-scale data collection of users’ online activities, including their browsing activity, purchasing history, location data, and more, to provide users with content they are most likely to engage with, in turn, spending more time on the platforms, which converts to more advertising revenue for the platform. As users regularly encounter content that aligns with their political affiliation and personal beliefs, this enables confirmation biases (Lai, 2022). Further, even if a user wants to engage with different content and viewpoints, the infrastructure of the platforms hinders her from doing so without a considerable ‘effort tax’ (Sunstein, 2015). In this time-scarce world, such personalization can result in individuals unconsciously limiting their perspective to what the algorithms curate for them (Solove & Schwartz, 2009). This inhibits the capacity of an individual to reflect on their values, motivations, and decision-making involved in engaging with content. In turn, this allows the spread and cementing of misinformation. As the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Opinion and Expression noted, “disinformation thrives in an online environment when there is less accessibility to plural and diverse sources of information” (OHCHR, 2021a).

The large-scale data collection of users by digital platforms and the use of AI and Big Data analytics have enabled micro-targeting of users with specific content. These innovations have been game-changers in the recent waves of disinformation, and have amplified its damaging impact to unprecedented levels. Political campaigning has also moved into a new phase in which digital technology is used to launch sophisticated microtargeting of segmented groups.
of voters, donors, and supporters. This poses a risk of undermining free and fair elections. For example, racially targeted disinformation campaigns were used to suppress votes from communities of color in the three most recent major elections in the United States.

Users are often unaware and have little control over the kinds of data collected about them. They also have very little control over how they have been profiled by social media and how that impacts the content they see on their feeds, or how what they see compares with other users (Lai, 2022). Microtargeting technologies provide state and non-state actors with extensive access to voter data on race, political affiliation, religion, and more, to hone their messages or agenda and maximize the effectiveness of reach (OHCHR, 2021a). The technology enabling these practices ranges from relatively simple computer programs, such as bots that operate fake social media accounts, to more advanced technologies like machine learning algorithms capable of generating realistic-looking profile pictures and deepfakes. These technologies can be used to amplify specific narratives, manipulate public opinion, and even spread disinformation. The proliferation of fake accounts and deepfakes complicates the information landscape, making it challenging for users to discern between authentic and manipulated content.

What is evident from the above is the enormous power that the Big Tech platforms today possess to fundamentally restructure our information channels and influence our digital information diet with serious implications on individual agency and on our capacity to make informed decisions as citizens (Ward, 2018). The problem is made acute with not only non-state actors but also with governments increasingly harnessing the attention economy logic of these platforms as a “highly effective tool for disseminating propaganda…spread[ing] misinformation and to monitor citizens” (Horowitz & Lowe, 2020).

This excessive power and potential for misuse underscore the necessity to reevaluate and strengthen the regulatory frameworks governing these platforms. A critical aspect of this is addressing the fraught issue of revenue sharing between digital platforms and news media. Ensuring equitable arrangements is pivotal to maintaining information integrity and averting crises like the one witnessed in Canada during the wildfires, attributed to a lack of access to crucial data. Australia and Canada have set precedents by requiring tech giants such as X (formerly, Twitter) and Meta (formerly, Facebook) to compensate media publishers for sharing their content, promoting trusted and fair journalism.

Disinformation and misinformation threaten democracies, civic participation, and efficient governance. They jeopardize the right of the public to be well-informed and to discuss societal issues based on reliable, high-quality, accurate information based on the public interest. All forms of disinformation can negatively impact the enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms, as well as the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals. The proliferation of sensationalist content and partisan information makes citizens prone to responding to public and democratic issues emotionally rather than by rational reasoning.

During the past decade, there has been a flurry of laws prohibiting ‘false news’ of various forms on the internet and social media platforms, with at least 17 States adopting legislation in the
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past year alone to address pandemic-related problematic information. However, as the UN Special Rapporteur observed, “many of these ‘false news’ laws fail to meet the three-pronged test of legality, necessity, and legitimate aims set out in article 19 (3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (OHCHR, 2021a).” Most of these laws do not define with sufficient clarity what constitutes fake or false information, and also do not mandate a clear and robust connection between the act committed and the resulting harm. Further, the authority to decide what constitutes fake news is often vested in political executives rather than judicial bodies and accompanied by harsh criminal punishment, which poses a serious threat of state censorship of dissident and dissenting voices. Given these implications for freedom of speech, UN human rights bodies “have made it clear that criminalizing disinformation is inconsistent with the right to freedom of expression”.

When introducing legal measures to counter disinformation, states must commit to approaches where any legal restrictions on speech are clearly and precisely prescribed by law, only introduced where they are necessary to protect other fundamental values, and are proportional to the specific threat at hand.

5. Power imbalances in the data economy

Data have gained a currency-like stature, serving as a critical economic asset and playing a decisive role in shaping our societies. However, it has also resulted in inequalities. We define data inequality as the disproportionate distribution of benefits derived from digital resources, which owe their value to the collective contributions of numerous stakeholders. Tech conglomerates that gather data wield disproportionate power, leaving customers and individual entrepreneurs feeling helpless about their data's usage and ownership.

This disparity originates from the absence of transparent processes and insufficient ways for individuals to influence the acquisition, storage, and deployment of their data. Often, people lack the essential information or knowledge to engage effectively with those who collect their data. They might not fully understand how their data are used, the value it possesses, or the potential negative outcomes its misuse can trigger. As a result, their control over their data is limited, curtailing their ability to negotiate within the data economy. This gap in digital literacy and data awareness can intensify feelings of alienation as individuals struggle to understand and navigate the complexities of the digital economy.

Furthermore, data-based business models deliberately create disparities in data access and utilization, tipping the scales to benefit the proprietors of these models. Platform owners exercise their power primarily through their control over the platform's architecture, which in turn allows them to set rules and maintain exclusive access to information and digital resources. These capabilities may tempt them to exploit their power imbalance with other stakeholders involved. An important enabler of such power shifts is data submitted by customers, e.g., evaluations generated by peers regarding the quality of products and sellers on third-party platforms. Typically linked with digital platforms like eBay, Amazon, Uber, and Airbnb, these work settings represent new power dynamics characterized by two distinct features:

- Firstly, instead of traditional two-way exchanges, customer reviews establish three-way relationships between the platform operator, the buyers, and the sellers. These relationships give rise to multiple levels of accountability, which adds a layer of complexity to the interactions.
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Secondly, anonymous customers constitute an unseen "crowd". This crowd impacts each individual seller's profile and reputation through public online evaluations (Orlikowski & Scott, 2015). This means that sellers must be mindful not only of individual transactions but also of how they are perceived by the wider community of users.

The dynamics of online reviews create a power asymmetry because the parties involved have differing abilities to take action. For example, platform operators control the underlying systems and algorithms, buyers can publish reviews that affect sellers' reputations, and sellers often have limited means to defend themselves or challenge negative reviews. As such, the increased reliance on customer reviews in these settings brings about a shift in power dynamics that favors those who can best leverage these new mechanisms of evaluation and control (Curchod et al., 2020)

In the case of ride-sharing and ride-hailing platforms, data-based control mechanisms extend from the software algorithms that match drivers with riders to the systems that determine pricing and payouts. This control enables them to exert influence and control over the platform's operations, leading to a significant power imbalance between them and the workers.

Workers, on the other hand, often find themselves in a noticeably inferior power position, as they must operate within the confines set by the platform. This can lead to a feeling of dominance by the platform. This power imbalance is particularly notable because platform workers, unlike traditional employees, typically do not have formal employment contracts or protections. They are more akin to independent contractors, relying on the platform to connect them with customers and generate income. This reliance further exacerbates the feeling of dominance and the subordinate position of power experienced by the workers.

Another example is algorithms of e-commerce platforms that compile numerous evaluations into a single average score, thereby expressing the collective wisdom and consolidating buyers into a unified group. This sense of facing a collective entity rather than individuals amplifies the seller's feeling of isolation. Hence, the disaggregation and aggregation mechanisms bolster power asymmetries by creating actor categories with varying agency levels and separating those who monitor from those being monitored.

Platform owners can vanish into inaccessibility at any moment, leaving the less powerful at their mercy. This form of power, characterized by a blend of network and data-enabled monitoring, creates a confusing environment for those subjected to it, causing stress and anxiety. Sellers, despite being part of a large network of users with whom they freely transact, find themselves within a formal, hierarchical power structure. The encoding of actions into the algorithm can empower some actors (for instance, by giving buyers the right to evaluate sellers) while disempowering others (for instance, by denying sellers the right to respond with negative evaluations). It also establishes protocols that govern interactions on the platform, such as setting evaluation criteria for buyers or demoting sellers with low scores.

When implemented, the algorithm executes the set of instructions defined in the code, thereby influencing the actors' actions. For instance, the algorithm might allow buyers to engage in aggressive practices under the shield of anonymity, or contribute to a dehumanized work environment where interactions with the platform owner are virtually non-existent. In this context, the data algorithm takes on a structuring role, shaping asymmetric relationships on the platform. Through ongoing online interactions, social actors carry out the encoded actions, thus continuously reproducing these power imbalances.

In addition to their role in structuring power imbalances, algorithms also codify and script social relations on the platform, thereby reducing the space for individual agency. This process
of codification occurs as algorithms consolidate a multitude of subjective opinions into a single, authoritative computation. Sellers operate in an environment where their success is defined by percentages of positive ratings, recalculated continuously as buyers post new reviews. This creates anxiety for sellers, as a single negative review can lead sometimes to an automatic status downgrade. The frustration and sense of powerlessness sellers experience is partly due to feeling surrounded by impersonal algorithms rather than human beings, rendering communication and justification of their actions almost impossible.

Algorithms also script interactions on platforms. In contrast to traditional, non-algorithmic settings where sellers could use rhetoric or persuasion to seek support or achieve desired outcomes, in algorithmic settings, their actions are dictated by predefined categories and automated procedures. Sellers are forced to follow a script that inevitably leads to customer evaluations, often making them feel at the mercy of buyers. Even their appeals or complaints are met with automated, preformatted responses. This heavily scripted environment significantly curtails the space for agency for sellers, underlining the considerable influence of algorithms on power dynamics and individual agency in the platform economy.

Similarly, traditional ethics of practice need a redefinition in the allocation of moral responsibility in networked interactions where human and machine agents are jointly undertaking actions in a distributed manner. Think of the case of an AI agent developed jointly by human and AI co-pilot programmers that leads to individual discrimination and/or collective harms. Ethicists have highlighted how “too often ‘distributed’ turns into ‘diffused’: everybody’s problem becomes nobody’s responsibility. This is morally unacceptable and pragmatically too risky (Floridi, 2016).” One view of dealing with this quandary is the argument that responsibility for the malpractices of AI agents (mass manipulation, disinformation, consumer harms, and so on) should lie with manufacturers/businesses (Illia et al., 2023; Martin, 2019). This becomes challenging in the current context where “commercial perspectives on data ethics are, unsurprisingly, defensive (Taylor & Dencik, 2020).” This defensiveness is rooted in a technologically deterministic viewpoint that places innovation and the economic realization of data at its core, often at the expense of ethical considerations.

6. Negative effects of data on sustainability transitions

While data use brings numerous benefits, such as improved efficiency and economic growth, it also poses significant environmental challenges that need to be addressed. For data-enabled technological change to be truly sustainable, it is crucial to find a balance between technological advancement, economic growth, and environmental preservation. The way the data economy is organized today creates adverse environmental externalities at three stages: rebound effects, large-scale energy and resource consumption, the manufacturing and mining processes that underlie digital devices, and the existing systems for dealing with electronic waste.

Rebound effect

The rebound effect, as related to the data revolution and climate change mitigation, is a complex phenomenon that can counteract the potential benefits of efficiency gains from technology advancements. Essentially, it highlights the paradox that while data-driven innovations can make the production of goods and services more efficient, thereby reducing per unit energy and material use, the overall demand for these goods and services might increase due to reduced prices and increased disposable income, leading to a net increase in total energy and material consumption.
The underlying premise is that as data-centered approaches improve efficiency in production, the cost of production decreases. This reduction is often passed on to consumers in the form of lower prices. Moreover, advancements in technology can also lead to productivity enhancements, contributing to economic growth and potentially increasing disposable incomes. These factors together can stimulate increased demand for goods and services. While each unit of product or service might require less energy and material to produce thanks to digital technology, the sheer growth in the number of units consumed can offset these per unit efficiency gains. This is the rebound effect in action.

Digitalization reduces transaction costs and increases accessibility, which in turn encourages consumption. For instance, the rise of online streaming platforms like Netflix and Spotify has led to a significant increase in data consumption. While this may not directly translate into physical waste, the energy consumed by servers to keep these platforms running contributes to environmental degradation. The rise of the internet economy has undoubtedly stimulated more production activities. Companies like Amazon and Alibaba have thrived due to the increasing trend of online shopping, leading to an upsurge in the production of goods to meet consumer demand. However, this growth comes with a high environmental cost, such as the increased carbon emissions from transportation and higher waste generation due to packaging.

**Energy**

A prevalent concern around making the data economy sustainable is its high rates of energy consumption, particularly with respect to data centers and cloud servers. Recent research has estimated that the data economy represented about 5% of global primary energy consumption in 2020, and based on trends, was likely to nearly double over the next 10 years to above 9%54. This problem is further compounded by the significant quantity of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that the various activities around the digital economy are responsible for.

Data centers, which are essential for storing and processing vast amounts of digital information, are projected to contribute significantly to the rising power demand. They require a considerable amount of electricity for multiple purposes, such as powering the servers that run the computations, cooling systems to maintain optimal hardware temperatures, and supporting infrastructure such as security systems and lighting. In 2020, data centers consumed approximately 200 terawatt-hours of power, accounting for around 1% of the global electricity consumption (Jones, 2018). As a result, some data-intensive technologies like cryptocurrencies based on distributed ledger technologies can consume more power globally than some nations (Truby, 2018), contributing significantly to energy demand and, consequently, the global carbon footprint (Mora et al., 2019).

New developments on the frontiers of datafication are even more environmentally strenuous. Recent research around the training of 2022-era generative AI models, for instance, showed that this process could emit as much “500 metric tons of carbon emissions, roughly equivalent to over a million miles driven by an average gasoline-powered car.55” The environmental footprint of data centers does not stop at energy use. They also require large amounts of water for cooling, which can put stress on local water supplies. The heat they generate contributes to urban heat island effects. Their construction and operation can also contribute to habitat destruction and pollution. As the reliance on data centers continues to grow, it becomes crucial


to focus on energy-efficient designs, cooling systems, and renewable energy sources to mitigate the environmental impact associated with their operations.

The steep environmental cost of mineral extraction
The extraction of rare-earth minerals may well be the stage that has the most impact on the environment within the life cycles of digital technologies. This is largely due to these operations being hugely unsustainable in their current form. For instance, looking at just two central minerals that are needed for the creation of batteries, lithium and cobalt, can demonstrate the extent of the problem. Grave concerns have been voiced about the impact of lithium mining on the Atacama salt flats ecosystem in Chile, and its consequences for precious fresh-water supplies (Tapia & Pena, 2020). Similarly, industrial-level cobalt mining in Congo has been blamed for extensive damage to crops, pollution of water bodies, and severe air pollution. (Kara, 2023). All of this is not to mention the high human costs associated with these extraction industries as they currently exist in these regions, and the cases of violence and exploitation that have been associated with them.

The politics of electronic waste
The electronic waste generated from ICT products is another environmental concern. This e-waste comes from various sources such as computers, mobile phones, televisions, and a host of other digital devices that have become an integral part of our lives. As these devices reach their end of life or become obsolete due to rapid technological advancements, they turn into a burgeoning source of e-waste. The Global E-Waste Monitor indicated that the world generated 53.6 million metric tons of electronic waste in just the year 2019 (Forti et al., 2020). This immense volume of e-waste, if not managed and disposed of correctly, poses significant threats to the environment and human health. E-waste often contains hazardous substances like lead, mercury, and cadmium, which can contaminate soil, water, and air, leading to a multitude of environmental and health problems.

Developing countries bear a significant brunt of the e-waste problem (Nižetić et al., 2020). Many of these countries have seen a surge in the use of digital devices, driven by digitalization and the increased affordability (Dwivedi et al., 2022). However, a major issue arises from the shorter lifespan of these devices, which is often a result of ‘planned obsolescence’ in their manufacturing. This leads to faster turnover rates and consequently, elevated levels of e-waste generation. ‘Planned obsolescence’ is a widespread practice where electronics are designed with a limited lifespan, much shorter than technically possible, to encourage consumers to purchase replacements more frequently (Satyro et al., 2018; Taffel, 2023). This practice, while profitable for manufacturers, incurs enormous environmental costs. A compelling illustration of the environmental toll is provided by a study from the European Environment Bureau (2019). Their research revealed that extending the lifespan of smartphones and other electronics by just one year could mitigate carbon emissions equivalent to removing 2 million cars from the roads annually.

It is worth noting that there is a clear North-South dynamic at play in the ultimate impact of this e-waste, as the vast majority of the disposal work ends up being exported to the developing world. This is particularly harmful, as facilities to properly dispose or recycle such waste are scarcely available in these contexts. Due to insufficient infrastructure, regulatory oversight, and public awareness, a significant proportion of e-waste in these regions is dumped in landfills or incinerated. These practices not only create environmental damage but also waste valuable resources such as gold, silver, copper, and rare earth metals that could be recovered and reused from discarded electronic devices. Consequently, as case studies by Greenpeace (2008) and the World Health Organization (WHO) (2021) have indicated, such waste can lead to severe forms
of contamination in and around disposal sites, releasing toxins into the air and water, and setting off local sanitation crises.

The dangers of ‘greenwashing’ with data

Given various concerns around negative externalities in the data economy, there has been an active effort amongst large tech companies to champion the sustainability agenda. Pledges by major companies have been made to become ‘carbon-free’ and to move towards cleaner data centers and ‘green batteries’. Other actors are sharing their datasets with climate scientists and government bodies to help with climate research or to offer access to cloud systems and analytics platforms to selected NGOs and researchers to gain more insights into their own fields/datasets.

While there are elements to these initiatives that are commendable, there is much that remains problematic (Espinoza & Aronczyk, 2021). Whilst the ‘carbon-free’ pledges, for instance, are aimed at switching away from fossil fuels in energy consumption, they have almost no commitments with regards to mitigating the environmental impact of mining operations, addressing planned obsolescence, or cleaning up the circuit of e-waste disposal. Similarly, researchers in the Global North are the main beneficiaries of resource-sharing initiatives, perpetuating asymmetries of climate knowledge. Moreover, they also perpetuate dependencies, and allow its AI tools to train on the datasets of nonprofits and public-sector research (Nost & Colven, 2022). As these critics point out, such efforts can easily lapse into cases of ‘greenwashing’, as they provide credibility and agenda-shaping power to these companies, while moving the spotlight away from the environmental costs of their business models.

Future outlook

While the use of data can bring significant efficiency improvements in various sectors, it is essential to consider the broader systemic effects. Without appropriate policies and measures to manage the rebound effect, the potential benefits of data for climate change mitigation could be compromised. This highlights the need for holistic strategies that integrate the data economy into broader sustainable development and climate action plans, ensuring that technological progress truly supports our sustainability goals.

To address these challenges, it is essential to invest in research and development efforts aimed at improving the energy efficiency of digital technologies. This includes exploring alternative computing architectures, optimizing algorithms, and promoting the use of renewable energy sources to power digital infrastructure. Collaboration between technology companies, policymakers, and energy experts is vital to develop strategies that balance the benefits of digitalization with the goal of reducing energy consumption and minimizing the carbon footprint.

V. Data governance

This section delves into the intricate nature of data governance, underscoring the importance of the social contract in harnessing data’s value in a fair and mutually advantageous way. The section offers an overview of three national paradigms concerning data governance: the USA with its emphasis on business-centric innovation, China with its state-driven governance focus, and the EU which prioritizes personal data protection, viewing privacy as an essential human right. The section concludes by reflecting on the consequences of such varied approaches, emphasizing the importance and urgency of establishing universally accepted guidelines for data governance.
A. Data taxonomies for global impact

In order to understand fully data impacts, it is essential to distinguish between different types of data. Data taxonomies are systematic classifications used to organize and categorize data based on certain criteria or attributes. These taxonomies play a crucial role in data management and analysis by allowing for the efficient organization, retrieval, and analysis of data. Different taxonomies are employed depending, among other factors, on the context, needs, and purposes of data usage. These classifications are often determined by factors such as the source of the data, the entities using the data, the timeframe of the data, the sensitivity of the data, and the nature of the data (UNCTAD, 2021):

- **Purpose of Collection**: Data can be collected for various purposes, which often determine its classification. For example, data collected for commercial purposes typically include information on customer preferences, sales, and market trends. Such data help businesses understand their market, refine their strategies, and make informed decisions. In contrast, governmental data are usually collected for public policy formulation, planning, and governance. This data can include information on population demographics, economic indicators, and social trends.

- **Entity of Use**: Data can be classified based on the entity that uses it, whether private or public. Private sector data typically pertains to businesses, corporations, and private entities, often used for market analysis, business strategy, and operational efficiency. Public sector data, on the other hand, includes information used by government agencies and public institutions for governance, policymaking, and public service delivery.

- **Timeframe**: Data can also be categorized based on its timeframe, distinguishing between short-lived and long-lived data. Short-lived data, useful for under a year, are viewed as intermediate consumption bought from third parties or as a product of an ancillary activity within the same unit, as in the case of live traffic updates. Long-lived data, in contrast, refer to information with lasting impact that can be analyzed for trends, patterns, and insights. For example, historical weather data can be used to predict climate patterns.

- **Sensitivity**: Another important classification is based on data sensitivity, which depends on the nature of the information and the potential consequences of its disclosure. Sensitive data includes confidential information that could harm individuals or organizations if disclosed, such as financial records, health information, or trade secrets. Non-sensitive data, on the other hand, poses no such risk and can be shared more freely.

- **Nature of the Data**: Data can also be classified as personal or non-personal, depending on whether it identifies or can be used to identify individuals. Personal data includes names, addresses, phone numbers, and other identifiers, which are often protected by privacy laws. Non-personal data, in contrast, refers to information that cannot be used to identify individuals, such as aggregated statistics or anonymized records.

Although various taxonomies are used to classify types of data, the taxonomies often differ globally based on the criteria employed, leading to confusion and misunderstandings among stakeholders (UNCTAD, 2023a). These discrepancies in data classification systems arise from several factors. Geographical differences play a role, as different countries or regions may have their own definitions of data due to cultural, legal, or economic factors. These disparities can lead to different understandings of what is considered public or private information, impacting data protection, sharing, and management practices. This can create challenges for international collaboration and the harmonization of data policies.
Industry-specific taxonomies also contribute to the variations. Different industries may classify data based on criteria relevant to their specific needs. For instance, the healthcare industry may focus on patient data, while the financial industry may prioritize transaction data. The evolution of technology is another factor, as new forms of data and data flows emerge, necessitating updates to existing taxonomies. Keeping pace with these technological changes can be challenging, and data classification systems may not always be up-to-date.

Given these complexities and variations, there is a pressing need for globally agreed common definitions and understanding of data-related concepts. Harmonizing data taxonomies can improve clarity, enhance collaboration, and facilitate data governance at both national and international levels. Achieving this would likely involve engaging stakeholders from diverse sectors, regions, and disciplines in a dialogue to identify commonalities, reconcile differences, and agree on standard definitions and classifications. A global consensus on data taxonomy would contribute to more effective and informed data policies, data management, and data-driven decision-making. Lack of data taxonomies harmonization can lead to inconsistencies and misinterpretations, causing delays in decision-making and implementation. In the context of the 2030 Agenda, such delays can hinder the achievement of its goals.

B. Three main approaches to data governance

Across the globe, for the three major players in the digital economy—the United States, China, and the European Union—models of data governance vary considerably, each reflecting different societal values and perspectives on data control. These models, while presented in a simplified manner, profoundly influence the way data are managed and utilized within their respective regions. The United States model generally favours private sector control of data. In this approach, businesses are primarily responsible for managing and controlling data. This is largely driven by the country's strong belief in free-market principles, where private entities are often seen as the most effective agents in innovation and economic growth. Data, in this context, are considered a competitive asset that can be leveraged for business growth and technological advancement.

The Chinese data governance model leans towards government control of data. In this case, the state has the dominant role in handling and managing data, reflecting China's state-centric governance structure. The government, under this model, oversees the data landscape, determining how data are collected, stored, processed, and used. This approach can serve national security and support state-driven development initiatives.

Meanwhile, the European Union adheres to a model that prioritizes individual control of data, based on a commitment to fundamental rights and values. The EU champions personal data protection and privacy as a human right. This perspective is reflected in regulations like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which strengthens individuals' control over their data. In this model, the individual is central, with rights to access, correct, delete, and transfer his or her personal data.

Each of these three models presents its own strengths and challenges and serves as a testament to the diverse perspectives on data governance that exist worldwide. The three models underscore the importance of considering cultural, societal, and political contexts when crafting data governance policies and regulations. However, the differing priorities and approaches raise concerns about potential fragmentation of global data governance. When countries adopt divergent regulations, it can lead to inconsistencies and incompatibilities in how the Internet
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operates globally. This fragmentation poses challenges to the free data flow, cross-border collaboration, and the seamless functioning of digital services across national boundaries.

One of the many challenges in data governance arises from the proliferation of national regulations on cross-border data flows (UNCTAD, 2023a). This creates uncertainty and increases compliance costs, which can be particularly burdensome for micro and small enterprises, especially in developing countries. The interconnected nature of the data-driven digital economy means that national policies in this area can have spill-over effects on other countries. A prominent example is the GDPR in the EU, which has led many companies to make significant changes to their global data processing and business models to comply with it (Peukert et al., 2022; UNCTAD, 2021). As of 2018, 67 out of 120 countries outside the EU had adopted GDPR-like laws (Srikrishna, 2018).

Developing countries are confronted with the imperative of adhering to the data governance standards established by the major economic entities to be able to integrate effectively into global value chains. Smaller or less advanced countries will likely feel compelled to choose one data governance realm over the others, because they already have significant trade relations with that market, or because they favour that realm’s approach to data governance. For many countries, however, it will prove difficult to choose, since they have significant economic relations with more than one major economic entity. Thus, developing countries risk being trapped in making choices that would affect other economic relations. Developing countries often encounter limited representation in the conversations around global data governance. Their perspectives, challenges, and unique contexts might be underrepresented, leading to the formulation of standards and policies that are not entirely inclusive or considerate of their specific needs and constraints. To address this, there is a pressing need to amplify the voices of developing countries in international forums and decision-making bodies. Ensuring their active participation and contribution can lead to more equitable, balanced, and inclusive global data governance frameworks.

C. A social contract for data governance: balancing individual rights and collective good

Data can detail patterns of human behavior, interactions, preferences, and even vulnerabilities. As such, data are often tied intrinsically to the human rights. The extent and manner of data collection, storage, analysis, and sharing can have profound implications on various fundamental rights, such as the right to privacy, freedom of expression, and non-discrimination.

Therefore, a holistic approach to data governance becomes imperative, one that safeguards the interests of all stakeholders and takes into account the multidimensional nature of data. If data can be perceived as a common good, akin to clean air or natural resources, it necessitates a corresponding framework for its protection and management that encompasses more than just individual control. While acknowledging the significance of personal agency in managing one's data, a fair and just digital economy requires an overarching paradigm shift from private data contracts to social contracts, transcending purely market considerations.

This complexity is reflected in the experiences of numerous countries that have delved into data governance, demonstrating the inherent challenges that go beyond mere data collection and analysis. One of the primary challenges is centered around the concept of a "social contract" (World Bank, 2021b). A social contract is the acceptance and trust of a community towards the use of data. It is a form of implicit agreement between data-handling entities and the people or organizations they gather data from, assuring that data usage respects ethical norms, privacy standards, and the overall public interest in an equitable manner.
Private contracts often reflect the dynamics of market power where a limited group of large technology firms from developed countries usually have the upper hand. In the absence of proper governance framework, they typically dictate the terms of service, privacy policies, and other regulations pertaining to data handling. An individual's ability to influence these conditions is limited, often leading to a situation where they must either agree to the terms set by these firms or opt out of using the technological solution altogether. This 'take it or leave it' approach undermines the principle of informed consent and individual autonomy in data management.

For any right to have genuine meaning, it should empower the individual with the agency to exercise it thoughtfully, and without undue constraints. This perspective, when applied to data governance, prompts a significant reflection on our current data protection frameworks. While data’s value is indisputable, the framework surrounding its ownership has increasingly come under scrutiny. The concept of "ownership" in the context of data is controversial as highlighted by UNCTAD (2021), mainly because data often contain personal or sensitive information, and declaring ownership can imply control and rights that may infringe upon privacy and ethical considerations. Additionally, referring to data as a "commodity" is problematic; it simplifies the complex nature of data, overlooking the inherent privacy, security, and ethical concerns associated with its collection, storage, and usage. These terminologies can be misleading and fail to capture the multi-dimensional aspects of data governance and ethics. The conventional understanding of data ownership hinges on the premise that personal data are a private possession. Once shared with companies—whether voluntarily or inadvertently—it becomes a commodity, often controlled, and monetized by corporations with little to no input from the data’s original owners. However, there are inherent issues with this model:

- **Diminished Agency:** When individuals share data, they often do so without fully comprehending the depth and breadth of its potential usage. Over time, as their data get parsed, analyzed, and circulated, they lose agency over it. It is no longer a simple transaction; it is ceding control. If users cannot make informed and meaningful choices regarding their data after sharing it, then can their right to data privacy truly be deemed a right?

- **Collective Nature of Data:** As data get aggregated and integrated into broader datasets, it takes on a collective dimension. Personal data points, when collated, shape societal trends, influence public policies, and even direct economic trajectories. While each data point originates from an individual, in aggregate, it becomes a reflection of communities and populations. In this light, treating such data purely as private property seems reductionist.

By shifting the paradigm and viewing circulating data as a common good rather than private property, we can ensure a more equitable data governance\(^{57}\). In this model, data aggregators and platforms transition from being proprietors to controllers or custodians. As controllers, their role is not to own data but to steward, protect, and manage it responsibly on behalf of the public. Recognizing data in circulation as a common good would mean enhanced transparency and accountability. Companies would be obligated to ensure that data are used ethically and benefits the broader society. Such a shift could also pave the way for more community-driven data initiatives, harnessing the collective power of data for public welfare, without compromising individual privacy. This approach acknowledges the collective nature of data as a common good and transcends the traditional market-based approach to data governance.
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Transitioning from a private contract model to a social contract model offers a possible solution to this dilemma. A social contract represents a mutual agreement among the members of a society to cooperate for social benefits. Applied to the context of data, it would mean setting universally agreed upon rules and norms that guide the collection, usage, and sharing of data, considering the welfare of all individuals and society at large. This approach acknowledges the collective nature of data as a common good and transcends the traditional market-based approach to data governance.

In such a system, individual rights are not viewed as privately negotiated entities but as social obligations that must be respected by all parties involved, including technology firms. It will lead to the creation of a fairer, more equitable economy where the power imbalance between users and providers of data-enabled solutions is significantly reduced. Moreover, this approach implies a shared responsibility for ensuring that data usage is ethical and respects human rights, rather than placing the burden solely on the individual.

Furthermore, trust, an essential ingredient for any system dealing with data, can only be fostered if the ethical obligations and the duties of rights-respecting behaviours are not individualized, but rather socialized. By collectively agreeing on the rules and standards for data handling and ensuring their enforcement, we can create an environment where data flow freely and securely. Individuals can trust that their data are being handled responsibly, not because they individually negotiated the terms, but because society as a whole has agreed on a standard of data management that respects everyone’s rights and interests.

The importance of securing a social contract cannot be overstated. Without the trust of the community, attempts to build data infrastructures can be met with resistance, skepticism, or even outright opposition. This could stem from concerns about privacy, data misuse, or potential adverse consequences that may arise from data-driven policy decisions. Addressing these challenges involves not only adequate data protection measures to prevent breaches or unauthorized access but also policies that ensure ethical data usage. Individuals and institutions need to be confident that their data will not be manipulated or used in ways that could potentially harm their interests or reputation. Furthermore, there is a need for a clear legal and regulatory framework governing data usage. Such a framework should outline the rights and responsibilities of all parties involved in data handling and provide mechanisms for accountability and redress in case of violations.

At the same time, data can serve as a critical catalyst for the transformation of the social contract. The existing social contract was drafted for a period when technological changes occurred incrementally, and adaptative responses were still feasible. This model, however, is less applicable in our fast-paced digital society. Countries across the globe are now at a crossroads, necessitating a reframing of the social contract to align with the digital age. In this revised agreement, every phase of data management must encompass means for the free, active, and meaningful participation of all stakeholders, especially the most marginalized population groups. It indicates a shift where private and public organizations are called to shoulder increased accountability and responsibilities. Furthermore, government bodies are tasked with refining their approaches to offer prompt and proactive solutions that keep pace with the data revolution.

The newly designed digital social contract must prioritize transparency, applying to both public and private entities significantly involved in data affairs. The purpose of this adjusted digital social contract should be to democratize access to opportunities, spreading them across the
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globe and within countries rather than confining them to a privileged minority. Another key element is the need for more resilient, adaptable, and progressive regulatory strategies. Currently, many regulations tend to become outdated soon after their implementation due to the fast technological pace. For effective policymaking in the digital society, regulatory systems must integrate flexibility and have the capacity for swift adjustments and adaptations to keep up with the evolving data landscape. Otherwise, government institutions will be incapable of satisfying citizen needs and resolving market failures.

While articulating the preferred attributes of the renewed social contract, it is essential to devise mechanisms that can soften temporary variances and flux during the transition. These safeguards would act as a buffer ensuring the progression towards the people-centred, inclusive and development-oriented information society does not exclude any individuals or communities, thereby ensuring societal balance during this transformative period. This approach will ensure that technological advancements are in line with people's needs and that human rights remain a primary guiding principle.

D. Towards global consensus on data governance

As noted above, the strategies adopted by major economic and geopolitical entities to govern data flows, as well as the broader digital economy, differ substantially (UNCTAD, 2021). Establishing a global data governance framework would facilitate worldwide data-sharing, and assist in the creation of public goods that could tackle substantial global developmental challenges, such as poverty, health, hunger, and climate change. Data play a crucial role in informing policies, measuring progress, and identifying gaps in these areas in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. This is set to become even more the case with growing reliance on AI, Internet of Things, and big data analytics. Hence, cross-border technical cooperation, ideally at a global scale, is critical to prevent additional fragmentation of the internet infrastructure and digital space.

In a recent meeting of UNCTAD’s Intergovernmental Group of Experts on E-commerce and the Digital Economy, member States recognized the importance of data for sustainable development and called upon “Governments and other stakeholders to collaborate on inclusive global governance of data, using contributions from international organizations, including the United Nations, and to find common ground for data to work for people and the planet, ensuring no one is left behind, considering both economic and non-economic implications, and noting the ongoing consultations on the Global Digital Compact” (UNCTAD, 2023c). Additionally, the High-level Advisory Board on Effective Multilateralism in its report noted that until we put in place a global framework in which States and non-State actors participate fully in shaping our shared digital space and which promotes and supports interoperable governance across digital domains, responses to digital challenges will be incomplete. The Advisory Board proposed the establishment of a new Commission on Just and Sustainable Digitalization.

In the absence of a common approach to data sharing, businesses and organizations may need to navigate complex, and sometimes contradictory, legal requirements to ensure they remain compliant when dealing with international data (OECD, 2023). This can involve substantial resources and may expose organizations to risk if compliance is not effectively managed. This landscape of fragmented data sharing rules underscores the importance of developing international agreements and common approaches for sharing data. Such measures would not only facilitate seamless cross-border data access and sharing but also provide clear guidance.

---

and protection for both data providers and recipients. These could include mechanisms for harmonizing data protection laws, creating international standards, and developing trusted frameworks for data exchange.

Data flows increasingly feature as an issue in trade agreements (WCO & WTO, 2022). As digital transformation and globalization continue to expand, the movement of data across borders has become a crucial aspect of international trade. Trade agreements now often include provisions that govern data flows, addressing issues such as data protection, privacy, and cross-border data flows (OECD, 2022). However, due to unique characteristics of data (e.g., non-rivalry nature, zero to low marginal costs), trade policy cannot encompass its entire complexity, suggesting that national governments require broader governance approaches that go beyond trade regimes (UNCTAD, 2021). Negotiating data protection within trade agreements risks deprioritizing privacy and national security issues due to the bundling of various elements, making objections more costly and potentially leading to inadequate safeguarding of data privacy. Furthermore, the primary focus on economic benefits in trade agreements may lead to harmonization at the expense of human rights, as the tendency towards deregulation to promote free trade could weaken data protection standards. Therefore, international data governance should incorporate comprehensive approaches to unraveling the value of data for economic and trade development without jeopardizing human rights and the need of countries to regulate to achieve various other policy objectives (UNCTAD, 2021).

Finding the right balance for multilateral, multi-stakeholder, and multidisciplinary engagement in data governance will necessitate innovative and forward-thinking strategies. These strategies need to encompass both top-down and bottom-up approaches, fostering a convergence of perspectives that is both inclusive and effective. The top-down approach typically involves guidelines, policies, and regulations initiated at a higher level, such as governments, international organizations, or large corporations. These entities have the ability to effect broad changes and can set universal standards or principles for data governance. Conversely, the bottom-up approach considers insights, experiences, and needs of individual users, communities, and organizations. This approach ensures that the voices of those most affected by data policies are heard and their rights are protected. Stakeholders, ranging from national government authorities to technical communities, need to develop global data governance frameworks that strike a balance between enabling innovation and economic growth while safeguarding individual rights and societal well-being.

The governance mechanism should aim for a symbiosis between these approaches, fostering a dialogue and mutual understanding between different levels of stakeholders. In practical terms, this could mean that not all aspects of governance need to be addressed simultaneously at all levels. Multilayered governance could be a viable strategy, where different governance aspects are handled by appropriate groups or levels, creating a more efficient and manageable system. Nonetheless, a higher-level coordinating system at a global level would be essential. This overarching system could ensure coherence, consistency, and coordination among the different layers of governance. It could help align the various stakeholders' efforts and facilitate collaboration, preventing fragmentation or conflicting initiatives.

The governance mechanism would also need to be inclusive. Developing countries, and especially the LDCs, are often poorly represented in present conversations around global data governance. Their perspectives, challenges, and unique contexts will then be underrepresented, leading to the formulation of standards and policies that are neither inclusive nor considerate of their specific needs and constraints. This underlines the need to amplify the voices of developing countries in future mechanisms and forums related to data governance, suggesting an important role for the United Nations.
Innovative strategies for multilateral, multi-stakeholder, and multidisciplinary engagement are required for effective global data governance. These strategies should encompass top-down and bottom-up approaches, consider new governance models, and embrace the evolving role of technology diplomacy to realize the full potential of data for sustainable development. The Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) has an opportunity to develop recommendations on how to strengthen a holistic dialogue in the United Nations on how to foster global data governance for sustainable development, possibly through a dedicated working group.

VI. Fostering capacities to benefit from data and address associated challenges

Section VI offers an exploration of potential policies that governments can undertake to address the challenges of using data for development. Governments need to implement policies focused on enhancing interagency coordination and stimulating innovations in the public sector. Key focus areas include fostering public-private partnerships, establishing data sandboxes, and creating independent ethics review bodies. It is crucial to bolster the capacities of national statistical systems to collect and analyze data. This enhancement will not only inform policymaking but also contribute to the improved monitoring of progress towards achieving the SDGs.

A. A catalyst for change: the role of governments in maximizing data’s potential

Governments stand as significant stakeholders in the data ecosystem, serving both as major producers and consumers of data. The availability and accessibility of this vast reservoir of data can act as a powerful catalyst for innovation (OECD, 2015). In 2017, the direct economic value of public sector data in the European Union was estimated to be €52 billion (World Bank, 2021b). This figure signifies the economic influence of accessible public sector data and its role in supporting a wide range of activities including research, policymaking, business development, and innovation, amongst others. The projection for EU member-countries that this value could escalate to a staggering €194 billion by 2030 implies an expected significant growth in the use and impact of public sector data (World Bank, 2021b).

Across the globe, national governments are taking active steps to provide digital services designed to facilitate the efficient access and utilization of government data by citizens, businesses, and other stakeholders. The concept of ‘government as a platform’ underscores the importance of open data initiatives, interoperability, and the strategic use of technology to not only enhance government services but also to promote transparency and foster citizen engagement (Cordella & Paletti, 2019).

Opening up access to government data is not merely a symbolic move towards transparency; it has tangible implications for innovation and societal progress. By unlocking this trove of information, governments are empowering citizens and businesses to leverage data for their own creative initiatives, make more informed decisions, and devise new services and solutions that add value to society. Some examples of open government data initiatives include data.go.jp (Japan) and data.gov.fr (France). Beyond its role as a facilitator of innovation, data also forms the bedrock of evidence-based policy making. Data analysis enables policymakers to gain insights, identify patterns, and make decisions that are grounded in empirical evidence. This data-driven approach to policy making can lead to more effective and efficient policies that tackle societal challenges head-on.

Through the smart allocation of resources, risk mitigation, and a clear focus on achieving desired outcomes, data-driven policy making stands to transform how governments operate.
essence, the availability of government data and the strategic use of it in policy making can play a pivotal role in enhancing public service delivery, fostering innovation, and driving societal progress.

Governments worldwide may need to critically assess and refine their existing coordination mechanisms among public agencies tasked with designing and maintaining data infrastructures. The aim is to avoid resource fragmentation and the creation of isolated solutions, which often suffer from limited interoperability and functionality. A proactive solution could be the establishment of an inter-agency digital coordination working group. This entity would convene to discuss and consider the generation and usage of public data in a comprehensive and coordinated fashion. Such a group could act as a counterforce to resistance against data sharing, encouraging a more open and collaborative data environment.

One of the fundamental objectives of such cross-government coordination would be to cultivate a data-driven culture within the public sector. This transformation could be facilitated by implementing frameworks that promote data reuse and by investing in the necessary infrastructure to leverage the benefits of data optimally. The goal is to modernize government operations and services, driving them towards efficiency and effectiveness.

There also exist ample opportunities for enhancing the compatibility of standards and creating a framework for experimentation with advanced digital technologies to better serve user needs. With a plethora of public and private databases, there is considerable potential to adopt identifiers, ontologies, protocols, and common formats to align different datasets. Yet, readiness for deploying new technologies is not uniformly demonstrated across organizations.

Another aspect worth considering is the public sector's tendency to create its own solutions instead of sourcing ready-made ones. While this approach has benefits in terms of customization and learning, it can turn out to be inefficient in the long run. Digital government infrastructures may greatly benefit from the input of "outsiders" to the IT departments in the public sector. Such external perspectives could offer innovative ideas, alternative approaches, and efficiency-enhancing strategies that could substantially improve the development and management of digital infrastructure in the public sector.

In this context, public-private partnerships can be an effective mechanism for maintaining and designing digital infrastructures for long-term sustainability of digital public services. Commercial data brokers and analytical solution providers can help governments extract more value, especially when they lack their own data or key complementary datasets. However, private sector involvement is justified when it offers higher-quality services than the public sector alone.

To foster innovation and reduce costs, procurement activities need to be streamlined. Long-term procurement contracts with significant funding may fail to promote competition or meet evolving needs, so shorter-term arrangements could be preferable in some cases. Contracts with the private sector must ensure that government agencies retain control over public datasets and prioritize data privacy. Vague specifications can lead to unintended loss of control, and public administrations may end up paying to access their own data.

Governments can explore policy instruments such as establishing independent ethics review bodies and data sandboxes to augment data governance. Independent ethics review bodies, for example, the UK's Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation, can promote transparency, accountability, and safeguard citizens’ data rights. They scrutinize the data practices of public and private organizations, ensuring adherence to ethical standards. For instance, if a tech company misuses user data, this review body can step in to recommend remedial actions or impose penalties, reinforcing responsible data handling practices.
On the other hand, data sandboxes, akin to Singapore’s Data Sandbox Programme, offer controlled environments for testing innovative data-driven solutions. These safe spaces allow organizations to experiment and innovate while ensuring data privacy and security. For instance, a fintech startup can utilize a data sandbox to test new AI-driven personal finance tools, ensuring they comply with data protection regulations while fostering innovation. This balanced approach ensures that the zeal for innovation doesn't compromise data privacy and security, aligning technological advancement with ethical standards.

In conclusion, the multidimensional impact of government in advancing data governance cannot be overstated. A holistic approach, anchored in cross-sectoral collaboration, agile procurement, and robust governance mechanisms, is essential to unlock the full potential of data. A future where data are not just abundant but also meaningful, accessible, and secure is within reach. It requires concerted efforts from government agencies, the private sector, academia, technical communities and civil society to foster a data ecosystem that is as dynamic and diverse as the societies it aims to serve. Today's decision-makers bear the responsibility to develop policy frameworks and invest in digital infrastructures, as well as in enhancing skills and capabilities. These should be resilient, adaptable, and tailored to meet the changing needs and aspirations of citizens.

B. A global mission to enhance statistical capacities for sustainable development

Robust and timely statistical data are crucial for tracking progress on the SDGs. First, it allows policymakers to understand where efforts are succeeding and where they are falling short, enabling targeted interventions to address specific challenges. Second, it provides a basis for accountability, allowing governments, civil society, and the international community to hold actors responsible for meeting their commitments. Third, it helps to identify and address disparities within and between countries, promoting a more equitable and inclusive approach to development. To realize this potential of statistical data, national and international stakeholders need to solve associated challenges.

The tracking of progress towards the SDGs worldwide is hampered by significant gaps in the availability, timeliness, and quality of official statistical data. These gaps make it difficult to assess the extent to which countries are meeting their SDG targets and to identify areas where additional efforts are needed. For example, for more than half of the indicators related to gender equality, there is insufficient data to provide an accurate and comprehensive assessment of progress (UN, 2022b). The data gaps are particularly pronounced in fragile contexts, where statistical systems are often weaker than in other parts of the world. Fragile contexts, which include countries or regions affected by conflict, political instability, or other forms of disruption, face unique challenges in collecting and maintaining high-quality data. These challenges may be due to a lack of capacity within national statistical offices, inadequate funding for data collection and analysis, or logistical and security constraints that make data collection difficult. The achievement of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development is inextricably linked to how effectively these data gaps are addressed. Ensuring this necessitates unified efforts from national governments, international organizations, donors, and other key stakeholders.

The relevance and usefulness of macroeconomic statistics are contingent on the ability to adapt systems of national accounts (SNA) and develop supplemental frameworks to respond to the
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changing needs of policymakers and other users. Digitalization has brought about several developments that require careful consideration in the context of macroeconomic measurement. Specifically, there are three key developments that need to be addressed for future valuation and treatment within statistical frameworks: 1) the concept of data as an asset, 2) the emergence of "free" assets, and 3) the provision of "free" services.

- Data as an Asset: Digitalization has enabled the collection, storage, and analysis of vast amounts of data. This data, which include information on consumer behavior, market trends, and other variables, has become an increasingly valuable asset for businesses and governments. The SNA needs to account for data as an asset, considering its potential to generate economic value, drive innovation, and inform decision-making. Developing methodologies for valuing data and incorporating it into macroeconomic accounts is a key challenge.

- 'Free' Assets: Digitalization has also led to the emergence of "free" assets, such as open-source software and user-generated content, which are available at no cost to users. These assets can contribute to economic growth, productivity, and innovation, but their valuation is complex due to the lack of market transactions. The SNA needs to develop approaches for valuing "free" assets and reflecting their contributions to economic activity.

- 'Free' Services: Many digital platforms provide services to users at no monetary cost, such as search engines, social media platforms, and online maps. These "free" services are often monetized through advertising or data collection. The SNA needs to consider the value of these services, as they can have significant economic and social impacts. Developing methods for valuing "free" services and incorporating them into macroeconomic accounts is essential for capturing the full scope of digitalization's effects on the economy.

The rapid advancements in data-driven technologies offer a unique opportunity to revamp data collection methods for national statistical agencies. National governments can leverage new technologies and alternative data sources to complement traditional data collection methods. For instance, using satellite imagery, mobile phone data, or barcode data can provide more frequent and granular insights about various SDG indicators.

One way how national governments can make a significant difference is by increasing the funding allocated to statistical systems. With adequate financial resources, national statistical offices can function more efficiently and provide more accurate, timely data. In addition to funding, providing training and technical assistance to national statistical offices is crucial. Nations should consistently enhance their capabilities, both in the conventional generation of official statistics and in devising methods to access alternative data sources. Such support can equip them with the necessary skills and knowledge to handle vast amounts of data and ensure that they are analyzed appropriately.

Collaboration is key. If the global community comes together to enhance the availability, timeliness, and quality of data, we can ensure a more comprehensive understanding of our progress. It is not just about monitoring advancement, it is also about ensuring that every individual, no matter where they are, benefits from the fruits of the data economy. Thus, by refining our data collection and analysis methods, we take a step closer to the SDGs' overarching vision: to leave no one behind.

In addressing the pressing funding gap in data, the Hangzhou declaration (2023), titled "Accelerating progress in the implementation of the Cape Town Global Action Plan for
"Sustainable Development Data", emphasized the immediate and continued need to boost investments in data and statistics. It appeals to a wide range of stakeholders, spanning domestic to international actors and encompassing the public, private, and philanthropic sectors. The core aim of this call seeks to bolster the statistical capabilities of low-income countries and fragile states, bridge the data disparities faced by vulnerable populations, and fortify national resilience against an array of present-day challenges, ranging from economic downturns and geopolitical strife to the climate change.

Improving statistical and data capabilities is more than a practical requirement. It is a matter of ethical and moral duty. Each improvement we make to our data systems reaffirms our steadfast dedication to the core principles of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): fostering a just, equitable, and inclusive world where no individual is overlooked or left behind. It is not merely about economic expansion but about nurturing the fullest expression of human potential in all its varied forms and richness.

VII. Conclusion and recommendations
Section VII consolidates the primary insights gleaned from the preceding discussions and present actionable recommendations tailored for member states and the international community. The overarching goal of this section is to equip stakeholders with concrete steps to ensure that data are effectively leveraged as a pivotal instrument for realizing the objectives of the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda.

The immediacy of the climate change crisis affords us limited time. Data, when properly used, can guide us to understand, monitor, and predict climate patterns, energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, and a plethora of other factors vital to combating climate change. Therefore, a more robust and effective utilization of data is integral in the fight against global warming.

As we find ourselves in a race against time, it becomes imperative to put in place robust policies and regulatory frameworks that ensure equitable data governance. Such measures could include enhancing data literacy, strengthening domestic capacities for data analysis and management, and establishing fair data sharing agreements at the international level. These initiatives should aim to create an environment where data flows benefit all parties involved and contribute genuinely to sustainable development. This requires a concerted effort from governments, international organizations, the private sector, academia, technical communities and the civil society, acknowledging the significance of data in the current era and its potential role in shaping the future of sustainable development.

Recommendations to the member states
The intrinsic nature of data, which is multidimensional and intertwined with various sectors, requires nuanced policymaking. Data governance policies should not only exist on a national scale but must also be extended to regional and international domains to ensure harmonization, cooperation, and shared growth. Recognizing the omnipresence of data, and the fact that data are cross-sectional, means that a siloed approach to data governance is inefficient. Instead, what is necessitated is a holistic strategy that permeates every level of governance. Such strategies must be built on the foundation of ‘whole-of-government’ approaches. This means that, rather than assigning data governance to a single ministry or department, every segment of the government, from health to education, from finance to agriculture, should be involved in the process.
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For truly robust and encompassing data policies, multi-stakeholder inputs are invaluable. This involves engaging civil society, businesses, academia, technology experts. Their diverse insights, combined with governmental vision, can lead to a more grounded and comprehensive understanding of the intricacies of data management and utilization. A core vision, one that harmonizes these diverse needs while upholding principles of data protection and innovation, is essential. To cement such a vision, national governments are invited to follow a set of policy recommendations. These recommendations offer a roadmap that not only acknowledges the multifaceted role of data but also underlines the need for a delicate equilibrium between the drive for innovation and the imperatives of data protection. By heeding these guidelines, nations can position themselves to thrive in the data-driven era, ensuring that their data infrastructures and policies are both resilient and future-oriented.

To harness the benefits of data and digital technologies for sustainable development, national governments are encouraged to consider the following recommendations:

i. **Promote data literacy and education:** Governments should prioritize the education and training of their citizens and public servants in data literacy. A population skilled in understanding, analyzing, and interpreting data can more effectively engage in civic activities and drive innovation.

ii. **Encourage citizen participation in data governance:** Engage the public in decision-making processes related to data governance. Public consultations, town hall meetings, and open forums can provide valuable insights and foster trust.

iii. **Implement data auditing mechanisms:** Regularly audit data practices to ensure adherence to standards, protocols, and ethical considerations. External, third-party audits can provide unbiased insights into the effectiveness and integrity of data management practices.

iv. **Promote research and development in data technologies:** Allocate resources and funding for research in emerging data technologies, ensuring the nation remains at the forefront of data-driven innovation.

v. **Establish a national data ombudsman:** Appoint an independent body or ombudsman to oversee data governance issues, address public grievances related to data misuse, and ensure compliance with established data policies. Incorporating these recommendations can further solidify a nation's data governance strategy, balancing the dual goals of innovation and protection.

vi. **Open data policies:** Governments can adopt open data policies to promote data transparency, accessibility, and sharing. By making data available to the public and relevant stakeholders, opportunities for collaboration, research, and innovation are enhanced.

vii. **Invest in robust data infrastructure:** Infrastructure serves as the backbone for data governance. Investments in state-of-the-art technology like satellite systems, sensors, and data centers are crucial. International collaborations can facilitate technology transfer, funding, and expertise sharing, especially for nations with financial constraints.

viii. **Uphold data quality:** Ensuring data's reliability and accuracy is non-negotiable. By adopting internationally recognized data standards and protocols, governments can guarantee that their data-driven decisions are built on a foundation of trustworthiness and precision.

ix. **Integrate and value indigenous knowledge:** Indigenous communities often possess invaluable insights about their local ecosystems, cultures, and traditions. By integrating their knowledge into data collection and interpretation, it presents a more nuanced understanding of the region. Recognizing indigenous perspectives not only respects...
their rights but also enriches data resources, leading to more culturally sensitive and effective sustainable development strategies.

x. **Strengthening regulatory policies to promote digital innovative businesses through public-private partnerships (PPPs):** Encourage public-private partnerships can leverage private sector expertise and resources for sustainable development efforts. These partnerships can help bridge technology gaps and support data-driven initiatives.

**Recommendations to the international community**

The rapidly evolving data landscape has underscored the constraints faced by individual nations in constructing a robust data governance framework. The very organization of the Internet – characterized by its decentralized, borderless network – complicates the straightforward implementation of national data policies. The dominance of a few multinational technology giants, whose reach and influence permeate across borders, further exacerbates these challenges. Coupled with ambiguities regarding jurisdiction, variations in regulatory capacities, and discrepancies in enforcement abilities among nations, it is evident that national policies, while crucial, can only go so far in addressing the complex matrix of data governance.

In such a scenario, international collaboration emerges not just as a desirable approach, but a necessary one. Data, the lifeblood of the modern digital economy, need to flow across borders with agility, yet not at the cost of compromising security, privacy, or equity. Ensuring that the benefits of this data-driven era are not hoarded by a few but are instead distributed equitably among nations and their populations is a task of paramount importance. Additionally, with data comes risk - risks of breaches, misuse, and unintended consequences. International cooperation can ensure a collective response to mitigate these threats.

The global discourse on data governance has gained momentum in recent years, shifting from preliminary discussions to actionable strategies. Leveraging existing platforms, like the Internet Governance Forum (IGF) and the World Summit for the Information Society +20 Review, can provide valuable frameworks for these conversations. The forthcoming Summit of the Future in 2024 holds significant promise as a conduit for rich deliberations on the future trajectory of digital and data governance. Similarly, initiatives like the Global Digital Compact (GDC), spearheaded by the Office of the Secretary-General’s Envoy on Technology with the intergovernmental process led by Rwanda and Sweden, should allow member-states and relevant stakeholders to develop a common vision of digital cooperation through an open and inclusive process.

The Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) is ideally positioned to examine the intricate links between data and sustainable development. Its role in this context is beneficial for several reasons. The Commissions’ findings, which are submitted to the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), are the outcome of a discussion that considers inputs, but is distinct from, those held in other individual United Nations entities and adopts a multistakeholder approach. This independence ensures that the conversation around the digital economy, data governance, and sustainable development is approached from a broader perspective, taking into account the various stakeholders and facets involved. This promotes a holistic approach that might be challenging within a more compartmentalized structure.

For international cooperation on data governance to be effective and inclusive, it is vital to embrace the diversity of perspectives. Nations, while sharing certain data governance challenges, each come with unique socio-political contexts. Recognizing these nuances,

---
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understanding the multidimensional nature of data, and appreciating the varied perspectives of stakeholders will be pivotal in crafting policies that are both universal in their vision and specific in their application.

The international community is encouraged to:

i. **Harmonize ethical guidelines for AI and data governance**: With the rise of artificial intelligence and big data analytics, there is a need for clear ethical guidelines to prevent misuse and uphold human rights. This includes considerations around bias, transparency, and accountability.

ii. **Support national statistical systems in developing countries**: Strengthen the institutional and human capacities of national statistical and data systems in developing countries, as well as other data producers and users, through investment, funding, training, partnerships and technical cooperation.

iii. **Transfer technologies and skills**: To reduce the technological disparity experienced by developing nations, it is essential to enhance and reinvigorate the UN Technology Facilitation Mechanism for technology and skill transfers.

iv. **Support cross-border data flow frameworks**: Encourage international cooperation to ensure seamless and secure cross-border data flows while respecting sovereignty and local data protection regulations.

v. **Harmonize data taxonomies and ontologies**: Establish standardized data structures and vocabularies to ensure consistency in data collection, interpretation, and sharing across different sectors and departments.

vi. **Promotion of Open Access**: The democratization of knowledge is key to global progress. By advocating for open access to research findings and data, the international community is breaking down barriers that prevent innovative solutions from reaching a wider audience, thereby accelerating advancements in various fields.

vii. **Advocacy for comprehensive data security policies**: In a world where data are increasingly valuable, its protection becomes paramount. The international community needs to formulate comprehensive policies that ensure data's safety, its ethical use, and robust cybersecurity. By advocating for these policies, the international community can ensure that as we reap the benefits of the digital age, we also safeguard our digital assets and the rights of individuals.

**Annex – Suggested questions for discussion during the Intersessional Panel of the Commission**

This annex presents a set of questions for the discussion during the Intersessional Panel.

i. How can the ethical and equitable use of data be ensured? What role can the CSTD play in global data governance?

ii. How can developing countries become fully-fledged participants in designing global data governance frameworks?

iii. How can the negative externalities of digital platforms be mitigated to transform them into effective tools for sustainable development?

iv. How can the capacities of national statistical systems be improved to collect and analyze data on the SDGs? What role could CSTD play in supporting member states with reforms of national statistical systems?

v. What measures are essential to safeguard data privacy and security, especially in low-resource settings? How can international bodies like CSTD facilitate the sharing of best practices in data safety and security across countries?
vi. Are existing approaches sufficient, or does the international community need new governance mechanisms or bodies under the United Nations to advance data governance and governance of data-enabled technologies?
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