

Timestamp

7/11/2013 7:49:23

The information solicited through this questionnaire will only be used in aggregate form, unless otherwise authorised by the respondent. Do you authorise us to cite/share your views individually?

Yes

Please enter your contact details:

Ian Peter
Internet Governance Caucus member,
Australia
ian.peter@ianpeter.com

1. Which stakeholder category do you belong to?

Non-Government

If non-government, please indicate:

Civil society

If non-government, please indicate if you are:

2. What do you think is the significance, purpose and scope of enhanced cooperation as per the Tunis Agenda? a) Significance b) Purpose c) Scope

I do not find the enhanced cooperation agenda very useful or a major priority

3. To what extent has or has not enhanced cooperation been implemented? Please use the space below to explain and to provide examples to support your answer.

not at all

4. What are the relevant international public policy issues pertaining to the Internet?

meaningful global co-operation on governance
protocols and agreements to address transboundary data issues
protocols and agreements to address major issues such as security

5. What are the roles and responsibilities of the different stakeholders, including governments, in implementation of the various aspects of enhanced cooperation?

all stakeholders must co-operate for this to be effective

6. How should enhanced cooperation be implemented to enable governments, on an equal footing, to carry out their roles and responsibilities in international public policy issues pertaining to the Internet?

establish relevant protocols and procedures

7. How can enhanced cooperation enable other stakeholders to carry out their roles and responsibilities?

by coming to meaningful agreements

8. What are the most appropriate mechanisms to fully implement enhanced cooperation as recognized in the Tunis Agenda, including on international public policy issues pertaining to the Internet and public policy issues associated with coordination and management of critical Internet resources?

there is currently no sensible forum for this. IGF could be revised and expanded to perform such a role but it will obviously need to be able to make recommendations and have substantially more support at a high level than it has currently

9. What is the possible relationship between enhanced cooperation and the IGF?

make IGF more meaningful first then it might be able to perform a useful role

10. How can the role of developing countries be made more effective in global Internet governance?

by a willingness of more powerful voices to give them a role

11. What barriers remain for all stakeholders to fully participate in their respective roles in global Internet governance? How can these barriers best be overcome?

those in power do not want to give it up -some parts of technical community, US Government, large corporations with powerful roles in Internet. Means must be explored to ensure that these powers do co-operate meaningfully

12. What actions are needed to promote effective participation of all marginalised people in the global information society?

a willingness to involve them

13. How can enhanced cooperation address key issues toward global, social and economic development?

by involving all parties

14. What is the role of various stakeholders in promoting the development of local language content?

no comment

15. What are the international internet-related public policy issues that are of special relevance to developing countries?

no comment

16. What are the key issues to be addressed to promote the affordability of the Internet, in particular in developing countries and least developed countries?

no comment

17. What are the national capacities to be developed and modalities to be considered for national governments to develop Internet-related public policy with participation of all stakeholders?

no comment

18. Are there other comments, or areas of concern, on enhanced cooperation you would like to submit?

no