



1. ANTICRISIS MEASURES DURING PANDEMIC taken by GOVERNMENT

ACTIONS: 20 Presidential Decrees TOTAL SUPPORT: 6,1 BLN USD COVERAGE: 400K business entities, 10M consumers

tax vacation & reliefs, credit deferrals, interest subsidies & guarantees, interest-free loans, transport subsidies for exporters, funds for wages of employed workers

SUPPORT FOR BUSINESS

SUPPORT FOR CONSUMERS





Infrastructure projects, suspension of accrual of rental payments for the use of state property

> **SUPPORT FOR** REGIONS



2. ANTICRISIS MEASURES FOR SUPPORT OF BUSINESS **ENTITIES 1st STAGE:**

provision of guarantees and compensations for interest rates on loans issued for the production of socially significant goods- USD 47,7 M;

implementation of infrastructure projects in the regions – USD 477,3 M;

76,4 M

reimbursement of interest payments for loans of agricultural clusters - USD 76,4 M

- granting an interest-free budget loans to strategic enterprises for loan redemption USD
- compensation of transportation costs for exporters and importers USD 19,4 M;





3. ANTICRISIS MEASURES FOR SUPPORT OF SMEs 2nd STAGE:

tax and customs benefits USD 161 M

Ioan deferrals and cancelation of fines USD 246 M

moratorium on initiating bankruptcy procedures, tax audit

real sector of economy USD 160 M

granting loans for SMEs USD 382 M



94,4K

new jobs were created by SMEs



4. ANTICRISIS MEASURES FOR SUPPORT OF SMEs 3rd STAGE:

- suspension of personal income tax and social tax for 95K micro entrepreneurs
- abolition of increased rates of property tax and land tax for 20K SMEs
- □ transfer of VAT payment deadline from monthly to quarterly for VAT payers 25K SMEs;
- deferred tax payment 3,2 K SMEs;
- Cancellation of penalties for overdue receivables -25.4K SMEs;
- exemption of air carriers, travel companies, hotels from paying taxes on property and land, while reducing the social tax rate from 12% to 1%;
- deferral of payments on loans to individual entrepreneurs and business entities that have encountered difficulties
- suspension of the accrual and collection of lease payments for the use of state property by business entities
- reduction to 30% of the amount of mandatory prepayment by SMEs for gas and electricity.





10. MAIN FIGURES of PUPLIC PROCUREMENT

USD 3,8B

total volume of public procurement through competitive bidding process 20 % share of e-procurement



33K

number of business entities including SMESs granted access to public



6. MEASURES DURING PANDEMIC taken by ANTIMONOPOLY COMMITTEE

COVERAGE: 124 product markets, 20K business entities, 2M consumers

competition & business rights protection against unfair practices and government bodies actions, creating information infrastructures for SME (digital platform)

consumer rights protection and advocacy

SUPPORT FOR BUSINESS

SUPPORT FOR CONSUMERS



drafting 5 regional competition development & consumer welfare programs

> SUPPORT FOR REGIONS



7. MAIN FIGURES & RESULTS OF ACTIONS taken by ANTIMONOLY COMMITEE

Actions against price gouging for 35 types of significant consumer goods (FMCG) **37%** of draft legal acts (of 753) and local government decisions (of 120) cancelled due to anticompetitive effects

3,200

anticompetitive and abusive actions, including price gouging suppressed **16M USD reimbursed** to business entities

Intervention mechanism proposed for 15 types of socially significant products to prevent price shocks during pandemic **3 BLN USD** sectoral and individual tax benefits impacts assessed on effects for competition

measures taken to reimburse **5M USD** to consumers

2,200

anti-competitive actions including bid– rigging and collusions during public procurement **500M USD** worthing tenders cancelled due to collusions competition diagnostics conducted on 72 product markets 15 dominant position revealed 153 prior consents given for M&A worth 328M USD





8. MAIN FIGURES & RESULTS OF ACTIONS taken by ANTIMONOLY COMMITEE

proposals to abolish 97 types of licenses and permits were submitted proposals to abolish privileges and exclusive rights, distorting competition of **38** entities was submitted

excessively high prices of decreased for 5 types to 15-40 % monopolistic goods and services

State –owned Railway Company's service tying practice was cancelled



of highly liquid and monopoly products mandatory sold at Commodity Exchange for equal access of SMEs

Non-tariff barriers removed for **5** types products, including cement, masks and protective products



11. RESPONSE ACTIONS EXAMPLE taken by ANTIMONOPOLY COMMITTEE

Analysis of the existing barriers and problems in the production of drugs and medical products against viral infections Development and approval of the standard of personal protective equipment, cancellation of licensing requirements for their production and sale

February 2020

March 2020



Daily monitoring of supply the population with personal protective equipment at 2,300 retail outlets

> March-December 2020



12. RESPONSE ACTIONS EXAMPLE taken by ANTIMONOPOLY COMMITTEE

Commodity exchange



On a daily basis during a pandemic, the Committee monitors and controls the biding of **38** types of monopoly and highly liquid products by 87 enterprises, including control over socially significant goods





- Proposal for introduction of a "risk level analysis" system based on the level of seasonal fluctuations in prices for essential consumer goods;
- Accumulate at least **30%** of the volume of "high risk" products

13. MAIN CHALLENGES for competition policy enforcement during COVID-19

Dilemma of competitive neutrality

Should "crisis cartels" (coordination) be exempted?



Adapting public procurement rules to crisis challenges

How to combat effectively with price gouging without risk of distortion of free market principles





14. POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS to prevent competition distortion during COVID-19

support measures should be limited in time, transparent and foreseeable, anti-price gouging measures should be focused on making market intervention to increase supply rather than price control and regulation

competition authorities should advice governments while implementing state support strategies to maximum ensuring competitive neutrality with transparent rules

competition authorities should coordinate actions with consumer protection agencies, or use their own consumer protection powers to protect consumers from unfair pricing practices

joint actions with for industry associations to issue specific guidelines, which are then "voluntarily" implemented by companies and resulting in coordination of conduct.



Thank you!





ANTIMONOPOLY COMMITTEE OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

Web:

antimon.gov.uz

Contacts:

info@antimon.gov.uz

Tel.: 71 207 47 00

