

IFF risk assessment

Preliminary report: Egypt

Bojan NASTAV



TAX AND COMMERCIAL ILLICIT FINANCIAL FLOWS

Contents

- Identification environment for IFFs
- Analysis assessment of IFFs
- Evaluation response to IFFs

Figure 1. Estimates of shadow economy in Egypt as a percentage of GDP from 1991 to 2017



Source: Medina and Schneider (2019); IMF (2021)

Figure 2. Statutory corporate tax rates in countries in Africa, percentage, 2020



Country

Source: Tax Foundation (2021)

Figure 3. Income taxes paid in partner jurisdiction Egypt by MNE units by their ultimate parent jurisdiction



Source: OECD (2021a)

Figure 4. Corruption Perceptions Index 2020



Source: Transparency International (2021a)

- Financial system
 - OECD CRS 🗙
 - Locational statistics BIS 🗙
 - IMF derived portfolio investment by non-residents 🗙
 - Cross-border banking liabilities, bilateraly, CBE

• Financial system



Source: TJN (2021)

Figure 5. Egypt exports by partner country, 2020

Trade value of Egypt exports by partner country for partners with more than 2 per cent share in total exports in 2020



Percentage shares of total exports in white; cumulative values in blue

Source: UN Comtrade (2021)

Figure 6. Egypt imports by partner country, 2020

Trade value of Egypt imports by partner country for partners with more than 2 per cent share in total imports in 2020



Percentage shares of total imports in white; cumulative values in blue

Source: UN Comtrade (2021)

• Trade discrepancies (before any adjustment!)

Table 1. Egypt imports and exports with mirrored values (from World), 2020, USD billions

	Value	Mirrored value	Discrepancy
Imports	60.3	70.0	-9.7
Exports	26.8	28.3	-1.4

Source: UN Comtrade (2021)

- Medstat II (European Commission, 2009)
- Commodity-level

Figure 7. Inward and outward FDI flows for Egypt





Analysis

Based on information available to you, which IFFs are most relevant for your country?			
IFFs from aggressive tax avoidance	1		
IFFs from illegal commercial and tax practices	7		
IFFs from illegal markets	8		
IFFs from corruption	3		
IFFs from exploitation-type activities and financing terrorism	3		
Or more specifically, for example:			
IFFs from tax evasion by individuals	3		
IFFs from trade misinvoicing	5		
IFFs from multinational profit shifting	3		
IFFs from smuggling of migrants	5 5		
IFFs from drugs markets	; 5		
IFFs from corruption	4		
Industry-specific IFFs: IFFs from extractive industries	Ο		

Analysis

- Commodities and service categories prone to IFFs
 - Specific areas as per work:
 - CBE: purchase of real estate, precious metals or motor vehicles, currency exchange on the black market, shell companies
 - FRA: drugs markets, fraud crimes, illegal migration, corruption, and arms trafficking crime
 - Customs: cash or negotiable tools for bearer such as checks, payment orders, precious metals as well as prohibited or smuggled goods, and manipulating values, quantity, and types of goods
 - Preliminary but aggregated studies -> in-dept national expertise required

Analysis



Country	Cumulative IFFs (1970–2008) US\$ Billion	Share in Africa's Total IFFs
Nigeria	217.7	30.5%
Egypt	105.2	14.7%
South Africa	81.8	11.4%
Morocco	33.9	4.7%
Angola	29.5	4.1%
Algeria	26.1	3.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	21.6	3.0%
Sudan	16.6	2.3%
Ethiopia	16.5	2.3%
Congo, Republic of	16.2	2.3%

Source: ECA (2015)

Appendix Table 1. Annual total misinvoicing as a share of total non-oil trade with the world, Arab
countries and the region, 2008 - 2015 (Percentage)

Country	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Cumulative
Egypt	11.1	10.8	11.7	11.4	10.8	11.8	11.9	13.3	11.7

Source: ESCWA (2018)

Country	Profits Shifted (M)	Profits booked (M)	Tax Rev- enue Loss (M)	PS (%GDP)	PS (%Po- tential base)	TRL (% tax rev- enue)	TRL (% corp. tax rev- enue)	TRL (%health	TRL I)(%ed- uca- tion)
Egypt	6,401	4,851	2,330	2.19	56.88	6.17	19.54	51.47	27.94

Source: Garcia-Bernardo & Janský (2021)

Evaluation

- Several national policies are in place in Egypt to address the IFFs.
- Cooperation among agencies
- Challenges

Evaluation

- Priorities for statistical work
 - Short vs long-term; immediate actions
 - Data availability review
 - Forming a national expert group
 - Pilot testing plan
 - Training
 - Compilation
 - Action plan
 - Methodological work
 - Coordinated approach: national statistical centre to measure IFFs, cooperation platform

Evaluation

۲

vii. Which type(s) of IFFs would you be most		
interested to measure?		
IFFs from aggressive tax avoidance	3	
IFFs from illegal commercial and tax practices	7	
IFFs from illegal markets	6	
IFFs from corruption	4	
IFFs from exploitation-type activities and financing	5	
terrorism		
Or more specifically, for example:		
IFFs from tax evasion by individuals	3	
IFFs from trade misinvoicing	6	
IFFs from multinational profit shifting	4	
IFFs from smuggling of migrants	5	
IFFs from drugs markets	3	
IFFs from corruption	4	
Industry-specific IFFs: IFFs from extractive	2	
industries		

	ix. Which methodology you think is the most
	appropriate for your country?
5	#1 – Partner Country Method (PCM) + (see
	UNCTAD, 2021, p. 29)[1]
, 1	#2 – Price Filter Method (PFM) + (see UNCTAD,
	2021, p. 41)
0	#3 – Global distribution of MNEs' profits and
	corporate taxes (see UNCTAD, 2021, p. 51)
3 3	#4 – MNE vs comparable non-MNE profit shifting
	(see UNCTAD, 2021, p. 55)
1	#5 – Flows of undeclared offshore assets indicator
	(see UNCTAD, 2021, p. 64)
/ 3	#6 – Flows of offshore financial wealth by country
	(see UNCTAD, 2021, p. 67)

ii. What kind of support, training and guidance	
would be needed for your office/country?	
Training courses	6
Methodological materials	5
Practical guidelines including instructions	7
Help with data cleaning	4
Linking and analysis	6

Next steps?

- Review
- Feedback
- Refine within Expert Group
- Build national pilot testing plan to measure IFFs in Egypt



https://stats.unctad.org/iffs



National validation Workshop of pilot activities for measuring IFFs in Egypt – 22 Nov 2021 <u>https://unctad.org/meeting/national-validation-workshop-pilot-activities-measuring-illicit-financial-flows-iffs-egypt</u>

