UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT



Session 1

Custodians and Regional Commissions' work on IFF measurement

UNDA Project "Measuring and Curbing Illicit Financial Flows"

Inter-regional workshop

Day 1 - 20 September 2023















Outline

- I. Custodians' mandates and roles on IFFs measurement
- II. SDG indicator 16.4.1 measurement experiences and results
 - ≻Latin America
 - ≻Africa
 - ►Asia
 - ≻Egypt

III. Regional Commissions work on IFFs measurement ≽ESCWA work in the Arab region



Custodians and RCs mandates and roles on IFFs measurement

- 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
 - UNCTAD-UNODC co-custodians of SDG Indicator 16.4.1
 - Conceptual framework
 - Methodological development
 - Pilot testing
- Regional Commissions regional work and mandates related to measurement

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE STATISTICAL MEASUREMENT OF ILLICIT FINANCIAL FLOWS

October 2020

UNITED NATIONS





Measuring crime IFFs in Latin America – DA10

Pilot testing in Latin America (measurement) DA10:

Between 2018 and 2020, UNODC conducted a set of activities to test the methodological guidelines to measure IFFs in Latin America.

Four countries reached:

- Mexico (Drug trafficking and smuggling of migrants)
- Colombia (Drug trafficking and illegal gold mining)
- Ecuador (Smuggling of migrants)
- Peru (Drug trafficking)





Measuring crime IFFs in Latin America – DA10

First estimates reveal that, for example:

- Mexico: Drug cartels generated inward IFFs for an estimated \$12.1 billion USD on average between 2015 and 2018.
- Colombia: Cocaine trafficking was estimated to have generated inward IFFs between \$1.2 and \$8.6 billion USD between 2015 and 2019,
- Peru: Cocaine trafficking-related inward IFFs (\$1.3-\$1.7 billion USD) representing 3.5 to 4.5 per cent of total exports.
- Ecuador: Smuggling of migrants related IFFs of \$13.6 Million
 USD on average over the period 2016–2018





Measuring tax and commercial IFFs in Africa – DA11

Project "Defining, estimating and disseminating statistics on IFFs in Africa" (2018-2022)

- Early stages, 2018-2020: focused on development of the UNCTAD-UNODC Conceptual Framework for the statistical measurement of IFFs, and UNCTAD published draft Methodological Guidelines to measure tax and commercial IFFs.
- Early 2021: UNECA, UNCTAD and UNODC launched a call for interest for African countries to join the pilot testing of IFFs measurement.
- Between 2021 and 2022, Methodologies on tax and commercial IFFs were pilot tested in 11 African countries: Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Gabon, Ghana, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa and Zambia.



Measuring tax and commercial IFFs in Africa – DA11

Tax and commercial IFFs

- All countries tested methods to measure trade misinvoicing by entities
- 3 countries tested methods to measure tax avoidance by Multinational Enterprises (MNEs)

Unofficial and preliminary estimates

- Methods on tax avoidance by MNEs and flows of offshore wealth are more challenging due to data availability
- Access to customs and other data is problematic for many countries
- Data confidentiality is a concern and affects access to data

Burkina Faso 😒 Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated at \$6.8 billion inward and outward IFFs in different sectors, such as beverages, petroleum and ore between 2011 and 2020.
Gabon 😄 Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated to have generated \$65 billion inward and outward IFFs between 2010 and 2021.
Ghana 😨 Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated at \$8.4 billion inward and outward IFFs with the United States of America and the European Union between 2000 and 2012.
Namibia 🥔 Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated to have generated \$19.6 billion inward IFFs, and \$4.7 billion outward IFFs between 2018 and 2020.
South Africa ≫ Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated at \$21.9 billion inward IFFs, and \$40.4 billion outward IFFs, most prevalent in precious metals and stones, and electrical machinery and equipment in 2017.
Zambia 🌒 Africa	Trade misinvoicing was preliminary estimated to have generated \$44.9 billion inward and outward IFF based on seven major trading partners between 2012 and 2020.



Measuring trade mis-invoicing in Africa - DA8

DA 8 - Preventing trade Mis-invoicing in African Countries (2018-Dec 2021)

- Original objective of the project was to develop and implement a capacity building framework to address trade mis-invoicing at country level.
- This included development of IT-based hard tools to support customs improve areas such as inadequate or fragmented trade data, lack of inter-agency co-ordination and exchange of information among relevant agencies within and among countries.
- Due to high costs of proposed tools , and significant lack of inter-operability among them with existing software systems in Customs administrations, approach to the project had to be adjusted
- The new project approach was thus to complement the work on IFFs measurement being undertaken under DA11, focusing on the measurement aspects of Trade Mis-Invoicing in African Countries.
- Upon global consensus on the methodological approaches, a study on bilateral trade asymmetries was conducted



Measuring trade mis-invoicing in Africa

DA 8 - Key results achieved on Trade Mis-invoicing

- A study on bilateral trade asymmetries in African countries(2017-2019): total IFF inflows(excluding intra-Africa trade) from both import and export mis-invoicing: \$123b; Total outflows(excluding intra Africa trade):\$160b).
- A dedicated manual was produced to guide member States in estimating bilateral trade asymmetries and identify points of vulnerability for dedicated policy efforts to curb IFFs through trade mis-invoicing.

A new ECA-AUC project in Gabon

- A joint scoping mission to engage with stakeholders/TWGs to agree on actual country's risks and vulnerabilities;
- Gabon's national action plan (output of DA11) now updated to reflect new developments ,
- A new project to build on work done under DA11 is under development-AUC/GIZ



Measuring IFFs in Asia – Overview

After the pilot in Latin America, a follow up project was conducted in Asia to consolidate existing methodologies and develop new ones in a different regional context in terms of IFFs dynamics, predicate offences and statistical capacity. Both crimerelated IFFs and tax and commercial IFFs measurement methods were tested.









Measuring crime-related IFFs in Asia – DA12

UNODC measurement of IFFS in Asia (DA12 project)

- Bangladesh (Drug trafficking)
- Nepal (Drug trafficking & forced labour)
- Maldives (Drug trafficking)
- Viet Nam (Wildlife trafficking)







Measuring crime-related IFFs in Asia – DA12

- Bangladesh: Total outflow of IFFS related to drug trafficking is \$480 million USD (range: \$100 to \$2,423 million USD) between 2017-2021.
- Maldives: Trafficking of drugs such as cannabis, heroin, ecstasy and cocaine have generated an average of \$16.3 million USD (range: \$8.0 to \$19.5 million USD) in outward IFFS per year between 2020-2021.
- Nepal: outward IFFs from heroin were estimated at \$4.59 million
 USD (range: \$1.81 to \$10.90 million USD) between 2019-2021.
- Viet Nam: Capacity building exercise conducted. No estimates produced.







Measuring tax and commercial IFFs in Asia – DA12

ESCAP measurement of IFFs in Asia (DA12 project)

Kyrgyzstan: IFFs from Trade misinvoicing, Offshore tax evasion, illicit remittances, and grey reexport. In 2017-2020 the largest source of tax and commercial IFFs for Kyrgyzstan was trade, ranging between \$7.7 and \$11.3 billion (or 148-151% of total trade) annually between 2017 – 2020 with inflows dominating the IFF structure. Kyrgyzstan was also subject to inward IFFs from illicit remittances, which were estimated to range between \$0.3 and \$1.6 billion annually (or 13-61% of total remittances) in 2017-2020. Outward IFFs from offshore tax evasion did not exceed \$0.2 million annually over the period of 2017-2020.

Uzbekistan IFFs from Trade misinvoicing, Offshore tax evasion, illicit remittances. Estimation demonstrated a high value of IFFs from trade, which were directed both inward and outward and ranged between \$14.7 and \$23.1 billion, or 52-69% of total trade value annually over 2017-2020. Other methods showed possible inward IFFs from illicit remittances ranging between \$530 million and \$1.7 billion (or 7-53% of total remittances) and outward IFFs from offshore tax evasion in 2018 with the value of \$4.9 million.







Measuring IFFs in Egypt

Tax and commercial IFFs:

- Partner Country Method Plus
 - Top 10 commodities, EX and IM
 - Challenges:
 - CIF/FOB margin -> internal database under construction; consult external sources
 - Trade system -> liaise with partner-country Customs
 - Partner-country attribution: country of origin, destination -> inspect
- Price Filter Method Plus:
 - Top 5 commodities, IM
 - Challenges:
 - Central price: international price? Location?
 - CIF/FOB valuation -> own database under construction; consult external sources
 - Heterogeneity of products -> detailed discussions with Customs experts





Measuring IFFs in Egypt

Tax and commercial IFFs:

- Global distribution of MNEs profits
 - Tax semi-elasticity approach
 - Assuming shifting profits to lower-tax units
 - Which tax rate to use?
 - Nationally available data -> sample
 - Variables: treating negative profits? Treating negative taxes?
 - Model specification: 25 scenarios!
 - Statistically insignificant regression estimates -> refine methodology





Measuring IFFs in Egypt

Crime-related IFFs : focus on drug trafficking

- Achievement: 12 officials trained (FIU, ministry of interiors, Ministry of Social Solidarity, Ministry of Planning and Economic Development, Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics) in June 2022
- Several data collected, but critical data gaps still exist







Methodology:

- The methodology is aligned to the Economic Commission for Latin American and the Caribbean (2016) and by the High-Level Panel on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa, ECA (2015)
- Introduces two variations to capture the dynamics of intra and extra regional preferential & nonpreferential trade misinvoicing
- Estimating IFFs and misinvoicing in terms of gross value

Trade Based IFFs in Arab Economies





Tax Based IFFs in Arab Economies

T incentives and deductions in selected Arab countries, 2019-2020

What we know: More than 60 percent of MNCs in the Arab region are undertaxed

- Arab countries are losing 40% of their potential CIT revenues on average due to tax incentives and deductions
- The Arab region is losing 38% of its potential tax revenue, equivalent to \$2.3 billion, due to MNCs paying less than 15% ETR

📒 Standard CIT Statutory Rate 🗏 AETR 📒 CIT revenues 2020 (%) 📒 Forgone revenue due to incentives and deductions (%)



Tax revenue potentials from bringing undertaxed MNCs to a 15% effective tax rate (ETR) in 2019 CIT Revenue 2019 (%) Potential CIT revenues from GloBE tax 15% ETR (%)





Tax Based IFFs in Arab Economies

Methodology:

 Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) using 38year panel data for 174 countries (1980-2018)

 Corporate tax evasion/avoidance losses can be captured by turning off the tax haven channels



Egypt on average lost \$2.1 billion in corporate tax evasion/avoidance (compared to \$2.1 billion based on CBCR,2017) Jordan on average lost \$100 million in corporate tax evasion/avoidance (compared to \$87 million based on CBCR, 2017) Tunisia on average lost \$500 million in corporate tax evasion/avoidance (compared to \$250 million based on CBCR,2017)