

The Impact of Cartels on the Poor in Kenya

Francis W. Kariuki, Director-General:

Competition Authority of Kenya

Intergovernmental Group of Experts on Competition Law and Policy Thirteenth session Geneva, 8–10 July 2013

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNCTAD



Our Focus: -

Agribusiness

Contributes 24% of GDP
Produces 57% of Total Exports
Accounts for 17.02% of formal employment

Matatu Transport

- Am important service for the poor
- Play a significant role in the movement of the poor
- Serves low and the low middleincome population





A. Maize Milling Sector





Mostly Consumed cereal

Represents 9% of the Consumption Basket of low Income H/Hs
 Provides 33% of the revenues from crops sales to poor H/Hs
 43 Milling companies but Concentrated (ownership) milling business

Milling business concentrated to one 'family'

Millers 'Association' recommending Prices Maize flour <u>prices</u> <u>@Kshs.70</u> per Kg High Profit margins for millers (42%)

AIZE ME

A. Maize Milling Sector





The Authority enforcement initiatives demanded review of the Associations internal regulations

□Issued 'stop and desist' orders on arrangements for price coordination

Elimination of all mechanisms for price coordination

Reduction in prices by 15% means Ensures continuation of school feeding programs for the poor Saving to the poor and Possibility to

consume other goods/services



Uvery high consumer prices led to intervention

□ Millers mostly SOEs

High prices (overcharges) had a larger negative effect on the poorestRural H/Hs welfare, mostly the poor, is affected twice as much as urban H/Hs

Anti-cartel enforcement and elimination of restrictive regulations Advocacy Initiatives Reduction of Consumer Prices (40.3%) Privatization Programme fast tracked Increased efficiency among millers

The Poor have a high possibility of savings and expanded consumptions



Cartels disguised as trade/welfare associations
Fixing of prices and also levying 'route entry charges'
Poor entrepreneurs deterred entry due to the charges
Poor consumers affected much since expenditures in Matatu fares is 7% compared to only 0.2% for high income households



D. Overall Impact









Increased Savings to the Poor and Possibility to consume other goods/services

Enforcement of Competition Law

Removing anticompetitive Practices