

Competitive Neutrality Project UNCTAD RPP Meeting July 7 2013 Project leader: Deborah Healey

Never Stand Still

Faculty of Law

What is competitive neutrality and why is it important?

- Basis in the idea of a level playing field for competition where the most efficient competitor will be able to supply goods and services at the lowest price
- Significant government business activity in competition with the private sector should not have a competitive advantage by virtue of ownership and control
- Competitive neutrality policy is the steps or mechanisms put into place to ensure that the market is "neutral" in this respect
- Both national and international implications
- Relationship with industrial policy and state capitalism



Australian National Competition Policy

- Recognised that most systematic distortions arise when government participates in competitive markets
- Recommended that this should be dealt with in a systematic way
- Competitive neutrality policy introduced to "significant" government businesses
- Corporatisation, pricing directions when supplying to other government bodies, tendering
- Full cost attribution for significant business activities
- Complaints processes

BUT

- Further commitment to better governance still required
- Complaints handling in all jurisdictions
- Rate of return still below commercial rates for most government businesses



Competitive neutrality policy in Australia

- Comprehensive approach and relatively successful
- Built on reforms which had already begun to corporatise government businesses and separate commercial from regulatory and other activities
- Against the background of:
 - a well-established and enforced competition law
 - substantial commitment to an competition law and policy reform by all relevant jurisdictions
 - incentives to all jurisdictions in the form of payments as reward for implementation
- For these reasons the Australian approach will not be suitable for all jurisdictions



Potential competitive advantages of government businesses

- Immunity from taxes, charges and regulatory requirements
- Explicit or implicit government guarantees on debts
- Concessional interest rates on loans
- No accounting for depreciation expenses or achieving a commercial rate of return
- Effective immunity from bankruptcy
- Pricing policies which do not take full account of production costs



Potential competitive neutrality disadvantages

- Greater accountability, such as administrative review and reporting requirements
- Community service obligations
- Reduced managerial autonomy
- Compliance with government policy on wages, employment and industrial relations
- Other policy wishes of government/political influence



OECD Recommendations 2012

Eight priority areas of reform:

- Operational form of government business;
- Cost identification;
- Rate of return requirements
- Public service obligations
- Tax neutrality
- Debt neutrality
- Regulatory neutrality
- Public procurement



Research project agenda: volunteers

- ChinaProf Xu Shiying
- Malaysia
 Wan Khatina, May Fong Cheong
- PakistanJoseph Wilson
- Russia

Vladimir Kachalin

Switzerland

Fabio Babey

responded to a range of questions about their jurisdictions

Plus contributions from Graham Mott and Alberto Gabriele of UNCTAD



China

- Report with substantial historical background to the establishment, operation and regulation of SOEs against the background of socialist public ownership and the State-owned economy- "socialist market economy"
- Wide range of categories of SOEs identified, only some of which are in markets with private businesses and have the purpose of making profits
- Much corporate restructuring
- Establishment of State-Owned Assets and Administration Commission (SASAC) in 2003
- Construction of systems of Boards of Directors



Malaysia

Bodies privatised; some bought back after Asian Financial Crisis 1997

- Government support to ensure that services available affordable prices
- There are bodies under direct government ownership, GLCs and GLICs
 These also exist at state level
- Also statutory bodies
- Golden shares
- GLC Transformation Program commenced 2004 for 10 years aimed at the creation of regional champions



Pakistan

An SOE can be established through an Act of Parliament, Presidential Order or Executive Decree and government control varies from SOE to SOE

Gives examples of Pakistan National Airlines, Pakistan State Oil, National Logistics Cell, Pakistan National Shipping Corporation, Oil and Gas Development Company Limited and electric supply distribution companies, all of which are different



Russia

Federal, State and Municipal unitary enterprises

Detailed oversight with annual publicly tendered and transparent audit process

Commercial bodies but also serve public needs

Switzerland

Many SOEs have been privatised



Does competition law apply to SOEs?

China

Theoretically yes but issue more complex in practice

Malaysia

Yes

Pakistan

Yes

Russia

Yes

Switzerland

Yes



Analysis of advantages/disadvantages of government ownership

China Advantages

- Since 2007 only SOEs in certain sectors are subsidised for reasons which include the public interest
- Advantages of credit finance
- Advantages of personal relationship with Government
- Advantages of policy support
- Advantages of land-use rental
- Advantage of mineral resource rental
- Advantage of Enterprise Income Tax

Disadvantages

- Broader Corporate Social Responsibility
- Public welfare oriented functions
- Inefficiency of corporate governance



Analysis of advantages/disadvantages of government ownership

Malaysia

Nil

Pakistan

Advantages

- Turnover tax for Pakistan International Airlines is .5% but 1% for private competitors
- Concessions in regulatory fees
- Sovereign guarantees for loans

Disadvantages

Interface with government in their operations/lengthy decision-making/red tape

Russia

Nil

Switzerland

Nil



Is CN addressed at all? Corporatisation? Governance? Some type of framework?

- All respondents are at some stage of major reform through corporatisationdeveloping countries are further back
- The level of transparency in government varies greatly
- No mandated frameworks exist
- Some respondents assumed that application of the competition law in itself would solve problems of competitive neutrality
- Pakistan and Russia have advocacy options
- Russia also has a complaints mechanism



China

Oil industry (Xu)- move from planned production to 3 SOEs (CNPC,SINOPEC,CNOOC)

Cement industry (Xu)

Conclusions on approach in China (Xu):

Priorities:

- At the policy level, developing a strong competition policy and assisting the exit of SOEs from competitive markets
- At enforcement level, strictly curb administrative monopoly by governments and economic monopoly by SOEs, taking into account the benefits, costs and reality.

Telecommunications in China (Gabriele)



Malaysia

- Telecommunications- Communications and Multimedia Act 1999 (CMA)
- Industry has 5 players, 3 are GLCs
- Same rules apply to all
- CMA contains competition provisions which apply to both
- Enforced against both



Pakistan

Pakistan International Airline disadvantaged by over-employment

Employee ration of 1: 537

Air India is 1:241 and Singapore Airlines is 1:136

Has regularly made losses over 30 years

Allowed to fly even if license fees are overdue



Russia

Post of Russia -complaint about the cost of delivery of legal periodicals 10 competitors sought legal assessment of government subsidies

Regulator found that they were delivered at a loss because the delivery was socially important

Says that competitive neutrality should be applied having regard to the nature of particular market conditions

Switzerland

Swiss Post and Swisscom AG both prosecuted for high prices



Proposed approach

- Basic consideration of the advantages which might accrue to SOEs is useful in itself in all jurisdictions
- Given the various states of development and exposure to competition law, and presuming that corporatisation has reached an advanced stage of transparency and good governance in a jurisdiction, a complaints-based process involving the competition regulator is likely to be the most effective tool (It is assumed that this would not involve the detailed formal processes of the Australian system)
- This would have the advantage of allowing competitors to complain where they believed that playing field was not level for them and the competition regulator could make the call



Competitive neutrality: the global picture

- Material by Graham Mott of UNCTAD addresses the issue of "competitive neutrality" in the global forum
- Deals with concerns by developed countries over the national and cross border activities of SOEs of developing countries
- Fears of uneven playing field in domestic markets of host countries between SOEs and domestic corporations
- Evolution of SOE provisions in international agreements
- Sensitive topic due to the role SOEs play in the economies of developing countries



Research findings to date

- Differences in stages of competition law development affected approach of the participants
- Application of the competition law to SOEs was an important feature as a law "on the books" and in some cases in action as well
- Those from jurisdictions with a powerful commitment to industrial policy were understandably a little perplexed about the importance of the concept of the research and less concerned about a lack of competitive neutrality in their jurisdiction
- Raising awareness of governments about the issue of competitive neutrality and its impact on markets is an end in itself
- Further work to be done prior to publication of an UNCTAD book on the project

