Multi-year Expert Meeting on Transport, Trade Logistics and Trade Facilitation 9th Session

Sustainable and resilient transport and trade facilitation in times of pandemic and beyond: key challenges and opportunities

12–14 July 2022

Managing Climate Change Uncertainties in Assessing Options for Resilient Navigation Infrastructure

Presentation by

Ms. Jan Brooke

Chair, Permanent Task Group on Climate Change World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure (PIANC)

This expert paper is reproduced by the UNCTAD secretariat in the form and language in which it has been received. The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the UNCTAD.





Technical Note objectives

Help project owners, designers and financers reduce climate change-related risks by:

- Referring to a range of climate change scenarios
- Reducing reliance on the use of **past data** to predict low probability future events
- Considering unlikely-but-plausible scenarios if making major, long-term investments
- Preparing for the unprecedented, including joint occurrences and cascading failures
- Adopting **adaptive and flexible solutions**; considering non-structural (e.g. operational, institutional) as well as structural interventions; exploring no-regret options
- Using **monitoring** to inform decision making (adaptive management)
- Selecting evaluation methods that recognise and accommodate uncertainty.







Why use scenarios?

- **Conventional statistical methods** that rely on historic data about past events to predict the magnitude of low probability future events **will become increasingly less appropriate** as climate change continues
- Testing an asset or operation's **sensitivity and tolerance** to possible future climates helps avoid maladaptation (e.g. investment risks, stranded assets)
- Scenario selection is determined by the relative exposure/vulnerability of the asset or operation
- The **more susceptible** to weather or climate-related damage or disruption, or the greater the magnitude of **investment**, and the longer the intended **lifespan** = the more important to explore full range of scenarios



Which scenarios to use?

- Unless the asset or operation is temporary, moveable or sacrificial, PIANC recommends for a design or operational life of...
 - <10 years <u>and</u> adequate data available on recent trends: may not need to apply scenarios
 - **<30 years**: use (reduced number of) climate scenarios for sensitivity testing e.g. selected grouping or combination of projections
 - **Beyond 2050** (<u>or</u> particularly sensitive to damage/disruption or for high value investments): wide range of possible future climate scenarios should be considered. Also `unprecedented' conditions (high ++)



Flexible and adaptive design

- Not necessarily about designing to withstand the extremes; rather take action to **strengthen both engineered and operational resilience**
- Not only physical measures such as engineered redundancy, but also non-structural measures such as mapping or zoning; contingency plans; identifying thresholds for action; early warning systems; other adaptive capacity improvements
- Structures/operations prone to failure should be **designed to fail 'gracefully'** rather than 'catastrophically' ... implement measures to manage the consequences of failure
- Acknowledge/accommodate risks of joint occurrences, or cascading failures where interdependencies exist between natural and socio-economic systems and sub-systems
- Design to allow **future raising, strengthening** etc. as conditions change
- Identify **adaptation pathways** (sequences of risk-reduction actions) to be implemented progressively, depending on how the future unfolds



Importance of data

- Local hydro-meteorological/oceanographic data: compare local trends with projections; inform location-specific adaptive management decisions; facilitate optimal selection of design criteria
- Condition/performance of physical assets: help decide when a response is needed or a measure should be implemented
- Post-event data from **extreme weather events**: validate predictions about likely impact zones or models of future conditions
- Costs/consequences of damage, disruption or downtime: support business case for intervention via informed assessment of financial/economic benefits of adaptation vs. consequences of inaction
- Knowledge about **performance of** already-implemented adaptation and resilience **measures**: inform decisions on future modifications or measures.
- Monitoring should be proportionate and fit-for-purpose



Appropriate evaluation methods

- Understanding the consequences and **costs of inaction** helps demonstrate the **benefits of expenditure on** improved **resilience**
- Option **evaluation methods** should be climate-change appropriate; assessments that only extrapolate from past experience may no longer be fit-for-purpose
- Conventional cost-benefit assessment or net present value calculations may not adequately reflect climate change complexities even with low discount rates
- Difficult-to-quantify **social and environmental impacts can be important** to avoid underestimating potentially serious climate change consequences
- Identifying **adaptation pathways** can help deal with uncertainties; **adaptive management** is an important concept

