

Why Failure Is Not An Option: Reaching An Ambitious WTO Fisheries Subsidies Agreement Tuesday, September 10 Geneva, Switzerland

Two Mandates for Action by 2020





Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development

"...prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies that contribute to overcapacity and overfishing, and eliminate subsidies that contribute to illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing..."



Declining Global Fish Stocks





Declining Fish Stocks Have Serious Human Consequences

100

Employment (million jobs)



involved in marine fisheries, including direct and indirect sector 60 50 40 30 Direct Indirect 20 India China hilippines Pakistan Myanma Nigeri Top 10 countries providing marine employment Source: Teh & Sumaila (2011)

260 million people worldwide





omen from a traditional sea-harvesting community fishing in Mozambique.

Fall in fish catch threatens human health

Christopher Golden and colleagues calculate that declining numbers of marine fish will spell more malnutrition in many developing nations.



Failure to reach an agreement...

The New York Times Global Trade Tensions Boil

Over at Staid W.T.O. Forum



Stretched to breaking point: WTO is becoming dysfunctional

Growing disputes are making the World Trade Organization increasingly dysfunctional. Will it lead to a complete overhaul?



Failure for the WTO...?



Fishers ask for government assistance to supplement livelihoods

Subsidies allow increases in fishing. For a time, fishers are better off



Fishers ask for additional subsidies, which perpetuates overfishing

With time, stocks decline, which compromises fishers' livelihoods

\$22.5 billion in harmful subsidies in 2018





Subsidies by Region/Continent





Subsidies by Category



Subsidies Around the World

Country	Beneficial (USD million)	Capacity enhancing (USD million)	Ambiguous (USD million)	Total (USD million)
China	87	5,516	348	5,952
EU	1,523	2,036	244	3,803
USA	2,187	1,136	106	3,429
Korea Rep.	1,635	1,500	50	3,185
Japan	534	2,111	215	2,860
Russia	295	1,162	54	1,512
Thailand	74	1,069	6	1,149
Indonesia	309	566	61	936

Source: Sumaila et al (2019, in review)



Subsidies drive overfishing... and inequality



Capacity-enhancing subsidies sabotage the SDGs

- Undermines the viability of SSF;
- Fuels gender lacksquareinequality;
- May leave future generations with only jellyfish.

Source: Schuhbauer, Sumaila et al. (2017) Marine Policy



Subsidies Artificially Increase Profits

Without government subsidies, as much as 54% of the present highseas fishing grounds would be unprofitable at current fishing rates.

HIGH SEAS, LOW PROFITS

When it comes to fishing outside any country's jurisdiction (the high seat), a large haul doesn't necessarily correlate with huge profits. Without large government subsidies, some of the world's most aggressive fishers come up short on cash.







Source: Sala et al. (2018)



What does ambitious reform look like?



Source: Costello et al (2019, in progress) Fis

What might reform look like for Fish Biomass, Catch and Revenue?

ing Effo

Exploring reform scenarios

<u>IUU discipline</u> →

triggered by vessels that appear on RFMO or national IUU lists

• <u>High seas discipline</u> \rightarrow

triggered by vessels that spend at least 5% of their time fishing on the high seas and receive capacityenhancing subsidies

• <u>Capacity-enhancing discipline</u> → triggered by vessels flagged to states that provide *Source: Costello et al (2019, in progress)*



Exploring reform scenarios

• <u>IUU discipline</u> \rightarrow

affects < 1% of global fishing effort

• <u>High seas discipline</u> \rightarrow

affects **32%** of global fishing effort

Capacity-enhancing discipline → affects 99% of global fishing effort

Source: Costello et al (2019, in progress)



Modeling removal of all capacity-enhancing subsidies







Fishing Mortality Jup to 19%

Source: Costello et al (2019, in progress)

Four Policy Conclusions From Research

- 1. Be ambitious
- 2. Support fishers through the transition
- 3. Promote cross-country technical and financial assistance
- 4. Simultaneously reform fishery management

Source: Costello et al (2019, in progress)







Introduction

Global fisheries subsidies today

Reforming fisheries subsidies

<

SubsidyExplorer

An interactive toolkit to explore the tradeoffs associated with fisheries subsidies disciplines

Learn more about fisheries subsidies

>

+

+

Select a policy

<



Select subsidy discipline(s) from the list below. Possible disciplines are sorted into four categories: 1) illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, 2) overfished and unassessed stocks, and 3) overfishing and overcapacity, and 4) subsidy caps.

Once you have selected at least one discipline from a category, you will be able to specify the scope of all disciplines from that category, as well as any special and differential treatment. Once you are happy with your selection(s), press the "Process selection" button, which will move you to the next tab.

Illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing

Overfished and unassessed stocks

Overcapacity and overfishing

The following types of subsidies are considered to contribute to overcapacity and overfishing and are prohibited (i)		
Boat construction, renewal and modernization programs		
Fishery development projects and support services		
Fishing port construction and renovation programs		
Price and marketing support, processing and storage infrastructure programs		
Non-fuel tax exemptions		
Foreign access agreements		

Fuel subsidies

Which states would be affected

This map shows the percent of fishery subsidies for each flag state that would likely be affected by your policy selection(s). Hover your mouse over each state on the map to learn more. If no map is visible, please return to the previous tab, make your policy selection(s), then press 'Process selection'. A summary of your policy selections can be found at the bottom of the page.

Global totals:

Vessels affected: 80,376 (96.51%) Fishing effort affected (hours): 45,685,081 (95%) Fishing effort affected (kWh): 25,986,361,622 (91.26%)



Bioeconomic simulation results

This plot shows the potential effect your policy selections may have on fish biomass, catch, and revenue in the future. This plot indicates the percent change each variable would achieve relative to a "business as usual" scenario that assumes today's fisheries subsidies regime persists into the future. Percent change in biomass is shown on the x-axis, percent change in catch is shown on the y-axis, and the size of the point represents percent change in revenue. If no figure is visible, please return to the "Select a policy" tab, make any desired selections, then press 'Process Selection'. Select the desired end year for the projection with the slider to the right.

Simulation end year:





Regional Outreach Efforts

















ENDING HARMFUL FISHERIES SUBSIDIES IN INDIA Following SDG 14.6

MISSION STATEMENT

The goal of this project is that India supports global and national policies to curtail harmful fisheries



What Now? The Road to December







The cost of inaction is too high.

WTO, end the subsidies that drive overfishing.