Resilience, Responsibility, Responsiveness – Towards a Future-oriented, Sustainable World Economy



B20 Recommendations on Digital Trade

Dr. Stormy-Annika Mildner, B20 Sherpa

April 25, 2017







Members of B20 Taskforces and Cross-thematic Groups



The volume of e-commerce has risen sharply



Note: 2008 data without enterprises in the NACE Rev 2 group 95.1 referring to 'Repair of computers and communication equipment'.

Source: Eurostat "E-sales and turnover from e-sales, 2008 to 2015, EU28",12 December 2016, <u>http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/File:E-sales_and_turnover_from_e-sales, 2008_to_2015, EU28 (%25_enterprises, %25_total_turnover)_fig1.png (accessed on 19 April 2017).</u>





Transaction volume of global cross-border B2C e-commerce in USD billions Transaction volume of global domestic B2C e-commerce in USD billions

Share of cross-border e-commerce in global e-commerce transactions

Source: Accenture and AliResearch, Cross-border E-Commerce to Reach \$1 Trillion in 2020, 11 June 2015, http://www.alizila.com/cross-border-e-commerce-to-reach-1-trillion-in-2020/ (accessed on 16 March 2016).



SECTOR	IN BILLION \$	SHARE OF GDP
PRIVATE CONSUMPTION	736	1.8%
PRIVATE INVESTMENT	395	1.0%
PUBLIC EXPENDITURE	209	0.5%
TRADE BALANCE	36	0.1%
TOTAL	1,376	3.4%
WORLDWIDE CONTRIBUTION OF INTERNET	1,672	2.9%

Source: McKinsey Global Institute "The great transformer: The impact of the Internet on economic growth and prosperity", October 2011, http://www.mckinsey.com/industries/high-tech/our-insights/the-great-transformer (accessed 19 April 2017).



If Internet were a sector, it would have greater weight in GDP than agriculture or communication

SECTOR	% OF TOTAL GDP
REAL ESTATE	11.0
FINANCIAL SERVICES	6.4
CONSTRUCTION	5.4
INTERNET	3.4
EDUCATION	3.0
COMMUNICATION	3.0
AGRICULTURE	2.2

Source: McKinsey Global Institute "The great transformer: The impact of the Internet on economic growth and prosperity", October 2011, http://www.mckinsey.com/industries/high-tech/our-insights/the-great-transformer (accessed 19 April 2017).



Cross-border Data Flows 2005 - 2014 Terabytes per second



Source: McKinsey Global Institute, Digital Globalization: The New Era of Global Flows, March 2016, http://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/digital-globalization-the-new-era-of-global-flows (accessed on 4 March 2016).



- Lack of information about relevant laws ...
- Barriers relating to customs complicated procedures ...
- Barriers relating to consumer and sales law differences in the right to cancel and return purchases ...
- Cross-border data transfer: legislation limiting store and transfer...
- State controls + localization requirements: censorship ...
- **Payments and taxes:** requirements for specific payment solutions ...
- Intellectual property rights barriers: IP rights violations ...
- Other barriers: roaming charges, fraud, problems
- obtaining insurance, certification of products, state subsidies ...

Source: Kommerskollegium "E-Commerce – New Opportunities, New Barriers", 2012, <u>https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/serv_e/wkshop_june13_e/ecom_national_board_e.pdf</u>, (accessed 19 April 2017).



Increasing Inclusiveness through Digital Trade

BENEFITS

Digital Trade provides a variety of benefits to both economies and consumers.

- New business models
- Fast and easy access to a global market place
- Lower transactions costs
- Access to diverse goods

BARRIERS



Barriers prevent the potential of Digital Trade from being fully exploited.

- Lack of e-commerce readiness
- Discriminatory & incompatible national policies
- Legal gaps in existing trade rules
- Digital protectionism

B20 calls upon G20 members to ...

- Support the development of e-commerce capacities, especially in developing countries & for small and medium sized businesses
- Encourage implementation of interoperable and non-discriminatory
 e-commerce-related polices
- · Clarify and adapt global trade rules to the digital age

B20 Digital Trade Focus Topics







G20 members should accelerate the inclusive buildup of e-commerce capacities, especially in developing countries and for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs).

- G20 members should develop and implement country-specific initiatives to improve e-commerce readiness and digital literacy of developing countries and MSMEs.
- G20 members and international organizations should streamline existing Aid for Trade initiatives towards capacity building for digital trade.
- G20 members should actively support the eTrade for All initiative and develop an action plan on how to support each of the initiative's seven key policy areas.
- In support of and in complementarity with already existing initiatives such as Aid for Trade, governments should set up frameworks that allow for further funding sources for e-commerce capacity building, such as foreign investments and public-private partnerships.



Digital Trade	
	Capacity Building
E- rel	-Commerce- ated Policies
for t	Trade Rules the Digital Age
→ ← (Cross-Border Data Flows

G20 members should ensure that e-commerce-related policies are interoperable as well as nondiscriminatory and strengthen the regulatory dialogue with all relevant stakeholders.

- G20 members need to align e-commerce-related policies with existing international standards and guidelines.
- G20 members should establish regulatory dialogues on national e-commercerelated policies among each other as well as with non-G20 members.
- G20 members should engage in a dialogue with private stakeholders in the electronic World Trade Platform.



Digital Trade Capacity Building E-Commercerelated Policies Trade Rules for the Digital Age **Cross-Border Data Flows**

The G20 should instigate a WTO negotiation mandate on digital trade at the next WTO Ministerial Conference, which should address free cross-border data flows, trade in digital products and services, trade facilitation, regulatory coherence, and other identified legal gaps.

- The G20 Trade and Investment Working Group should establish consensus for the need of a digital trade agreement and the potential components of negotiations.
- WTO members should review the WTO telecommunications annex and its reference paper to reduce barriers to trade in telecommunications services.
- The G20 should push for a revitalization of the WTO Work Programme on Electronic Commerce.





G20 members should commit in trade agreements not to prevent the free flow of data across borders – without prejudice to clearly defined exceptions for the protection of privacy and security. Furthermore, G20 members should strengthen efforts for interoperable data protection standards, facilitate the use of the accountability principle, and pursue additional bilateral and plurilateral agreements that enable cross-border data flows consistent with privacy and data protection requirements.

- G20 members should address cross-border data flows in their discussions on digital trade and aim for guarantees for unimpeded transfer, access, and storage of data across borders in their trade agreements. These should include appropriate safeguards for privacy and security.
- The G20 should ask UNCTAD and the OECD to guide a dialogue with all relevant stakeholders – including governments as well as experts from private and public organizations – on interoperable data protection and privacy protection standards. The process should lead to the development of a framework of common guiding policy principles that increase interoperability.
- G20 members should facilitate the use of accountability-based legal instruments and pursue bi- or plurilateral agreements, such as the Privacy Shield to enable cross-border data flows while safeguarding privacy requirements.



- FTA's should ensure **cross-border access to / transfer and storage of data**.
- Localization requirements by law on data and ICT infrastructure should be explicitly prohibited.
- Cross-border data transfer should **not** depend on **tests of the necessity** of data transfer.
 - These tests would lead to profound legal unclarity without contributing to data security or protection.

A high degree of **privacy, security and trust** is paramount for digital trade.

- > The provisions of the EU privacy regulation should not be circumvented by FTA's.
 - FTA's may contain explicit exemptions from regulations preventing data and ICT infrastructure localisation.
 - > But **risk** that exemptions may be abused for **protectionist** purposes !
 - > Exemptions have to be **clearly defined, non-discriminatory and transparent** !

Exemptions from free flow of data should (furthermore)

- 1. be based on **GATS article XIV**,
- 2. comply with **EU privacy regulations** and
- 3. ensure effective protection of cross-border data transfer.
- **4.** Burden of proof to show that measures restricting cross-border dataflow are legitimate and non-protectionist has to lie on the government applying them.
- On this basis, the EU can **set gold standards** for FTA's constraining digital protectionism and fostering high levels of privacy.

Many thanks for your attention

