Anna Joubin-Bret Secretary UNCITRAL

What is Identity Management (IdM)?

- Fundamental issue for the use of electronic means
- Answers the basic questions:
 - Who or what is seeking to prove identity?
 - Reliability of proof of the identity?
- Relevance for Sustainable Development Goals:
 - Target 1.4: ensuring access of the poor to economic resources
 - Target 10c: reducing remittances costs
 - Target 16.5: reducing corruption
 - Target 16.9: providing legal identity for all







What is Identity Management (IdM)?

- Need to identify in order to establish trust, i.e., the reasonable expectation of future behaviour based on past practice
- Applies to natural / legal persons and to physical / digital objects
- Performs several functions that may vary significantly in purpose and requirements
- Requires adjusting business practices and assessing risks
- Different types of IdM systems:
 - Commercial-driven vs. Government-driven;
 - Centralised vs. Decentralised.

Traditional approach to IdM

- Different identity verification methods were established to respond to needs of identification
 - Witnesses, signatures, seals
- Expansion of commercial relations require new identity management tools
- Eventually, use of government-issued identity credentials became prevalent in trade
 - Based on civil registration and vital statistics registries (where available)
 - Designed for other purposes (e.g., travel)
- Possible involvement of trusted third parties (e.g., notaries) for high-value transactions
- Although governments as issuers of credentials do not accept liability, users have no better option and are able to assess risks based on practice

Identification in an electronic environment

- The ICT revolution dramatically increases the ability to process and re-use data
- This brings increased attention for data quality:
 - origin, integrity, etc.
- In commercial transactions, reference to the functions of handwritten signatures seems obvious
 - Identify originator, clarify its intent with respect to the signed message
- However, electronic signatures go beyond handwritten ones
 - Trust services: presumption of integrity, time-stamping, etc.

Electronic signatures' features

- As the use of electronic signatures increases, some of its features become clearer
 - Not all signatures are the same:
 - reliability varies with the use of different methods and authentication factors.
 - Steps for signing:
 - Identification, authorisation
 - Identification (i.e. release of electronic credentials) is done against paper-based identifiers (for which the issuer typically accepts no liability)

From electronic signatures to IdM

- Great legislative interest for electronic signatures
 - However, differences remain in policy, technical and legal choices
 - Challenges in cross-border recognition of electronic signatures
 - Article 9(3) of the UN Electronic Communications Convention enables multilateral legal recognition of electronic signatures.
- Each system requires costly maintenance and development
- The multiplication of systems led to an exponential increment in the number of credentials needed to access the system.
- For users, it is not user-friendly
- Hence the need for IdM system

Electronic signatures and trust services

- "Trust service" means an electronic service normally provided for payments which consists of:
 - (a) the creation, verification, and validation of electronic signatures, electronic seals or electronic time stamps, electronic registered delivery services and certificates related to those services, or
 - (b) the creation, verification and validation of certificates for website authentication: or
 - (c) the preservation of electronic signatures, seals or certificates related to those services.

Source: eIDAS, article 3(16).

- Most national laws deal with electronic signatures
- Other trust services receive piecemeal legal treatment
- eIDAS represents an early effort to frame e-signatures in the general trust services framework

IdM policy implications

- IdM policy may pursue different goals:
 - E-Government / Commercial
 - E-Government only
 - Cybersecurity

(See OECD report "Digital Identity Management: Enabling" Innovation and Trust in the Internet Economy")

- Success of IdM systems is proportional to the number of users and variety of applications
- Excessive reference to technical details and technology may hinder interoperability and mutual legal recognition
- Desire to harmonise legislative and contractual provisions
 - Need to define common rules for the interaction of the various types of identity and trust services
- Regional initiatives must be globally coordinated to avoid creating barriers to cross-border electronic exchanges

Classification of Identities

Primary or Foundational Identity	Secondary or Functional / Transactional Identity
It may be attributed only once to each entity	It may be multiple for each entity
It is an absolute quality that is normally unchangeable. For physical persons: parents, date of birth, biometrics, etc.	It may be built over time. For physical persons: creditworthiness, use of medical or educational facilities, etc.
It is difficult to replace if compromised: to be shared cautiously and selectively	It may be easier to replace in case of compromise
It has a human right component: the right to a digital identity (SDG 16.9)	It is the only possible if vital records are not available

Interaction of different types of identity

- In theory, foundational and transactional identities may be used interchangeably for commercial and non-commercial purposes.
- However, challenges may arise in practice:

Primary or Foundational Identity	Secondary or Functional / Transactional Identity
Inability to share records originating in public vital records	Insufficient guarantee on the quality of transactional identity information
Limited liability of public providers	Liability determined commercially

Increasing trust in IdM: legal aspects

- Need to further increase trust in IdM in order to extend its use
- Trust is "the belief that something is reliable"
- Reliability is "the quality to perform consistently well"
 - It is the outcome of a process and not a product
 - Should be technology- and system-neutral
- IdM-specific laws need to address risk allocation and ensure it will be upheld in court:
 - Clarify parties' obligations
 - Allocate liability, e.g., through:
 - Presumptions;
 - Exemptions and limitations of liability;
 - Mandatory insurance.

IdM legal framework: current status

- IdM-relevant legal provisions may be found on three levels:
 - General laws (e.g. commercial and civil codes' provisions on identification, form requirements, liability, etc.)
 - Specific laws (eIDAS Regulation (2014); Virginia Electronic IdM Act (2015); Benin (2017))
 - Contractual agreements on legal and technical interoperability
- Limited guidance at the global cross-border level
 - eIDAS requires the conclusion of a treaty for recognition of non-EU IdM schemes
 - Virginia IdM Act and Loi 2017-20 of Benin do not address the issue

Features of IdM-specific laws

- Assessment of reliability is based on compliance with predetermined technical standards
- Legal consequences of reliability:
 - Cross-border recognition in participating States (eIDAS);
 - Exemption from liability (Va. E-IDM Act);
 - Authorisation to operate IdM scheme (Loi 2017-10 Benin).
- They may support agreements on mutual legal recognition and technical interoperability
- Is this approach sufficient for global recognition of IdM across borders?

Elements of the UNCITRAL project

- Desire to establish a comprehensive and inclusive process based on shared principles and terminology
- Deal with all types of IdM systems (private/public), all roles, all entities (persons/objects), as well as with all trust services
- Respect general principles of uniform commercial and ecommerce law
- Address legal issues such as: rights and obligations of the parties; reliability; liability; effect of contractual agreements; cross-border aspects
- Exclude data protection and privacy?
- Clarify relationship between primary and secondary identity and/or IdM and trust services.

Next steps

- WG IV 56th session (New York, 16-20 April 2018)
- Options:
 - Prepare a comprehensive legislative framework (e.g. in a model law defining rights and obligations of participants as well as functional equivalence requirements);
 - Focus on cross-border aspects
 - Possible to use common definitions of Levels of Assurance to facilitate cross-mapping identity schemes
 - Legal effects attributed by the scheme where recognition is sought