BANKS, GROWTH AND GEOGRAPHY

Raju Jan Singh

No. 127

May 1997

The author thanks Ben Bernanke and Barbara Schlaffer as well as an anonymous referee for their helpful suggestions.

UNCTAD/OSG/DP.127

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNCTAD. The designations and terminology employed are also those of the author.

UNCTAD Discussion Papers are read anonymously by at least one referee, whose comments are taken into account before publication.

Comments on this paper are invited and should be addressed to the author, c/o Editorial Assistant, Editorial Board, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), Palais des Nations, 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland. Copies of the *UNCTAD Review*, *Discussion Papers* and *Reprint Series* may also be obtained from this address (Tel. No. 022-907.5733; Fax No. 907.0043).

JEL classification: D820, E200, E440, G200 and O160.

CONTENTS

Chap	Chapter	
I.	MODEL WITHOUT FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION	2
	 A. The environment B. Factor markets C. Goods market D. Steady states 	2 4 4 5
II.	MODEL WITH FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION	7
	A. Portfolio diversificationB. Asset valuationC. Steady states	7 7 8
III.	GROWTH AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE FINANCIAL SECTOR	10
IV.	CONCLUSIONS	11
MAT	THEMATICAL APPENDIX	13
REFE	ERENCES	18

BANKS, GROWTH AND GEOGRAPHY

Raju Jan Singh

Swiss Federal Administration of Finance, Berne

This paper presents a general equilibrium endogenous growth model, in which financial intermediaries evaluate the quality of projects, mobilize savings to finance the most promising ones and diversify risk. Information technology available to banks is linked to geographic proximity. This valuation capacity increases the proportion of high-return projects being financed, and thereby accelerates economic growth. This positive effect does not depend on the degree of individuals' risk aversion.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of financial markets in fostering economic growth has long been stressed by economists. Early contributions by Goldsmith (1969), McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973) mentioned the empirical correlation between financial and economic development. They argued that financial intermediation promoted growth through greater accumulation of capital, improved mobilization of savings and enhanced efficiency in resource allocation. Theoretically, however, links between financial intermediation and growth were difficult to formalize. In traditional growth theory, financial development could only have an influence on the level of economic activity, but not on its long-run growth rate.

The development of endogenous growth models, which show that growth rates can be related to institutional arrangements, has made it possible to formalize the presentation of the interactions between financial markets and economic growth. In these models, financial intermediation fosters economic growth basically in two ways. First, by providing an opportunity to hold a diversified portfolio, a financial intermediary enables risk-averse individuals to invest in riskier but more productive assets or technologies (see, for instance, Bencivenga and Smith, 1991; Levine, 1991; Saint-Paul, 1992). Second, by gathering information, a financial intermediary is able to improve the quality of projects being financed (Greenwood and Jovanovic, 1990; King and Levine, 1993b). In this last group of models, the information technology available to financial intermediaries is assumed to be given.

It has been claimed that banks are able to gather this additional information at a lower cost than other financial intermediaries, through the process of taking deposits and through close contacts with customers (Fama, 1985). Frequent contacts with potential borrowers provide banks with a better knowledge of their clients and help them to evaluate less tangible but nevertheless important "assets", such as managerial skills or dynamism.

This view that a link could exist between proximity and more efficient lending has been pointed out by historians. Cameron (1967) in his study on banking in the early stages of industrialization stressed that

proximity enabled banks to better identify the potentialities of local communities. In order to use personal contact and detailed knowledge of local conditions, he mentioned for instance that the successful Scottish bankers of the 18th and 19th centuries used to hire businessmen of the communities in which they located branches. He suggested furthermore that the slow progress of industrialization in France during the 19th century could be linked to the underdevelopment of its banking system, characterized, among other things, by one of the lowest banking densities of any developed country.

The existence of such a link is also confirmed by more recent empirical studies. Jayaratne and Strahan (1996), for instance, studied the growth effects of the relaxation of bank branch restrictions in the United States. They show that improvements in the quality of bank-lending, not increased volume of bank-lending, has been responsible for the faster growth experienced after deregulation.

Based on these empirical observations, this paper presents a model explicitly linking the information technology available to banks to geographic proximity and thereby introduces the notion of geography into the interaction of financial intermediation and growth. The paper will proceed as follows. A model without financial intermediaries will be discussed first in chapter I. Chapter II will introduce financial intermediation in the form of banks, modelled as institutions providing both portfolio diversification and asset valuation, and discuss its effects on growth. Finally, chapter III will provide some insights into the influence of financial intermediation on economic growth when taking geography into account. Following Cameron (1967), McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973), the stage of development of the financial sector will be assumed to be exogenous¹.

I. MODEL WITHOUT FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION

A. The environment

The economy is described by a simple overlapping generations model. As its name suggests, the structure of this model allows at any one time individuals of different generations to coexist and trade with one another. The aggregate implications of life-cycle savings by individuals can therefore be easily and explicitly studied. Another feature that makes this model attractive is that it is entirely built from microeconomic fundamentals. The preferences of individuals, the resources they have and the technology they can use are all explicitly taken into account, allowing one to derive endogenously important variables such as the rate of savings or capital accumulation.

These authors argued that differences in the development of financial markets across countries depended primarily on government regulation.

As with all recent models dealing with growth, the overlapping generations model is set in a general equilibrium framework. Individuals own the inputs of the economy and choose the fractions of their income to consume and save. Firms hire inputs and use them to produce goods that they then sell to individuals. Finally, markets exist on which firms exchange their goods and individuals sell their inputs. The quantities demanded and supplied determine the relative price of inputs and produced goods. As such models tend to become quickly complicated, simplifications are necessary. In order to focus here on financial intermediation and on its contribution to real growth, a real economy will be considered. There will be, therefore, no money and all exchanges will be barter ones.

Within this general structure, the model used here has the following characteristics. Each generation lives two periods and is composed of risk-averse individuals. The number of individuals born at any time is constant and is normalized to one. An individual h born at time t consumes $c_t^h(t)$ during period t and $c_t^h(t+1)$ in period t+1 and has a utility function of the form:

(1)
$$U(c_t^h) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \ln c_t^h(i)$$

Individuals work only when young, supplying inelastically one unit of labour and earning a real wage of $w_t^h(t)$. When they are old, they become entrepreneurs. Therefore they consume only part of their first-period income and save the rest to finance their second-period business and consumption.

There is only one good that can be either consumed or invested, and which is produced by the older generation by combining the capital stock it has saved with labour supplied by the young. In order to start production, however, entrepreneurs need to finance a project. Entrepreneurs have to choose from a pool composed of two types of projects. A proportion π are high-return projects and yield a positive return F. The remaining $(1-\pi)$ provide zero returns. Of course, all individuals would prefer a high-return project, but unfortunately they cannot distinguish them *ex ante*. The production process is therefore stochastic, and may be described by the following production function for each firm:

(2)
$$\widetilde{F}(\underline{k}_{t-1}, k_{t-1}, L_t) = \Theta \underline{k}_{t-1}{}^{\beta} k_{t-1}{}^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha}$$
 with $\beta + \alpha = I$ where
$$\Theta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability} \quad \pi \\ 0 & \text{probability} \quad 1 - \pi \end{cases}$$

 k_{t-1} is the capital stock per firm, saved by generation t-1, and L_t is the labour force employed by an entrepreneur. \underline{k}_{t-1} is the average capital stock per firm at date t and represents a technological spillover in the

spirit of Romer (1986). From an individual's viewpoint, \underline{k}_{t-1} is given and the production function he faces has the traditional characteristics of decreasing returns to scale. For the economy as a whole, however, the returns to accumulate capital do not diminish. For simplicity, it is assumed that the capital stock depreciates completely during one period.

B. Factor markets

The labour market is competitive. Labour will thus be priced at its marginal productivity. However, entrepreneurs whose projects fail do not pay wages. As workers may be employed by one entrepreneur only, individual wage income will be stochastic. Following van Ees and van den Heuvel (1994), a perfect insurance market will be assumed, where individuals can find full insurance against this individual labour income risk. With full labour income insurance, individual labour income becomes certain and equal to expected labour income:

$$E(\widetilde{w}_t^h(t)) = (1 - \alpha)\pi \underline{k}_{t-1} L_t^{-\alpha} = \overline{w}_t^h(t)$$

where $(1-\alpha) \underline{k}_{t-1} L_t^{-\alpha}$ is the marginal productivity of labour and π is the probability for the entrepreneur to have chosen a high-return project, and thus for his workers to be paid.

In the absence of a rental market for capital, entrepreneurs use only their own capital in production. As production is stochastic, the return on capital will be uncertain too. Subtracting the wage bill from the firm's output and dividing by the stock of capital yields, the following return on capital:

$$\widetilde{r}_{t}(t+1) = \begin{cases} \alpha \underline{k}_{t}^{\beta} k_{t}^{\alpha-1} L_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} & probability & \pi \\ 0 & probability & 1-\pi \end{cases}$$
(4)

which amounts to the marginal productivity of capital.

C. Goods market

The goods market equilibrium requires that the demand for goods in each period be equal to the supply, or equivalently that investment be equal to savings:

$$(5) S_t(t) = K_t(t+1)$$

where $S_t(t)$ denotes savings and $K_t(t+1)$ the investment made by generation t, yielding a return in period t+1. Taking the average yields:

(6)
$$s_t^h(t) = \underline{k}_t(t+1)$$

D. Steady states

As individuals do not know the quality of the project they are financing, their second period income and, therefore consumption, is stochastic:

(7)
$$\widetilde{c}_{t}^{h}(t+1) = \widetilde{r}_{t}(t+1)k_{t}^{h}(t+1) = \begin{cases} r_{t}(t+1)k_{t}^{h}(t+1) & probability & \pi \\ 0 & probability & 1-\pi \end{cases}$$

where
$$r_t(t+1) = \alpha \underline{k}_t^{\beta} k_t^{\alpha-1} L_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}$$

Their second period utility function will therefore also be stochastic:

(8)
$$\ln \widetilde{c}_{t}^{h}(t+1) = \begin{cases} \ln \left[r_{t}(t+1)k_{t}^{h}(t+1)\right] & probability \quad \pi \\ 0 & probability \quad 1-\pi \end{cases}$$

An individual h in period t will thus maximize an expected utility function of the following form:

(9)
$$MaxEU(c_t^h) = \ln c_t^h(t) + \pi \ln c_t^h(t+1)$$

under his intertemporal budget constraint:

(10)
$$c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{r_t(t+1)} = \overline{w}_t^h(t)$$

where his wage earned in period t is equal to his consumption in period t and the discounted consumption in period t+1.

Solving this problem yields the following time paths for consumption and savings (a detailed solution is presented in the mathematical appendix):

(11)
$$c_{t}^{h}(t) = \frac{\overline{w}_{t}^{h}(t)}{1+\pi}$$

$$(12) s_t^h(t) = \overline{w}_t^h(t) \left(\frac{\pi}{1+\pi}\right)$$

The long-run growth rate of this economy is determined by the evolution of the technological spillover factor, \underline{k} . The growth rate of this variable is obtained using (3), (6) and (12):

(13)
$$\frac{\underline{k}_{t}(t+1)}{\underline{k}_{t-1}(t)} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\pi^{2}L_{t}^{-\alpha}}{1+\pi} = \mu$$

Differentiating partially μ with respect to π yields :

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \pi} = \frac{\pi (1 - \alpha) L_t^{-\alpha} (2 + \pi)}{(1 + \pi)^2} > 0$$

The long-run growth rate of this economy will thus depend positively on the proportion π of high-return projects being undertaken.

II. MODEL WITH FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION

A. Portfolio diversification

Let us now assume the existence of banks. This allows us to dissociate the savings activity from the investment decision. An entrepreneur will not need to use his own capital to set up his business, but will be able to borrow the needed amount from a bank. If his project succeeds, he will earn a return $r_t(t+1)$ per unit of capital invested minus the servicing of his loan, $i_t(t+1)$. If the project fails, he will not have enough resources to pay off his debt and will be forced to declare bankruptcy. An entrepreneur h born at time t will therefore have an expected pay-off function, $v_t^h(t+1)$, of the following form:

(15)
$$v_t^h(t+1) = \pi k_t^h(t+1)[r_t(t+1) - i_t(t+1)]$$

To attract the savings of individuals, banks offer deposits yielding a return $d_t(t+1)$. By granting loans to a number of entrepreneurs, they are able to diversify individual risk away. The return on deposits therefore becomes certain, as well as individuals' second-period income and consumption:

(16)
$$c_t^h(t+1) = d_t(t+1)s_t^h(t)$$

Second-period consumption being fixed, the utility function of individuals and the intertemporal budget constraint become:

(17)
$$U(c_t^h) = \ln c_t^h(t) + \ln c_t^h(t+1)$$

(18)
$$c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{d_t(t+1)} = \overline{w}_t^h(t)$$

B. Asset valuation

If banks were only offering a diversification facility, they could be replaced by an insurance company. Here, the specificity of banks is that, in addition to offering a diversified portfolio, a bank also performs the function of asset valuation. This evaluation, however, is costly and will be a function of the distance between the entrepreneur and the bank. The further away the entrepreneur, the higher this cost will be.

Entrepreneurs will be assumed to be uniformally distributed along $\delta 0$ [0,1] δ being the distance between a bank branch, or the credit department, and its client. By paying a cost κ per unit of $\delta^2 \kappa > 0$, a bank will gather information enabling it to evaluate the true quality of the project that an entrepreneur is facing. Let us assume that in this case, with the help of the bank, the entrepreneur will always be able to choose a high-return project. However, as this activity is costly, the bank will not evaluate all its potential borrowers, but only a proportion δ^* of them. At this point, the improvement in the performance of its loan portfolio achieved by gathering information will equal the cost of collecting this information.

If an entrepreneur succeeds, the lender receives the interest on the loan and the principal, $i_t(t+1)$. If the project fails and the borrower defaults, the bank looses its claim. The bank's profit function, $\rho_t(t+1)$, on loans granted at period t may thus be written as:

(19)
$$\rho_t(t+1)K_t(t+1) = [\delta^* + (1-\delta^*)\pi]i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1) - d_t(t+1)S_t(t) - \kappa\delta^{*2}$$

where $(\delta^* + (1-\delta^*)\pi)$ is the proportion of high-return projects being financed by the bank and

(20)
$$\delta^* = \frac{(1-\pi)i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1)}{\kappa}$$

The share of projects being evaluated, δ^* , is thus positively related to the proportion of zero return projects in the pool, $(I-\pi)$, to the interest rate the bank charges on its loans, $i_t(t+1)$, and to the amount of these loans, $K_t(t+1)$. By contrast, the cost of gathering information, κ , will influence this share negatively (for a derivation of equation 20, please refer to the mathematical appendix).

C. Steady states

Following Bencivenga and Smith (1991), the bank is viewed here as a cooperative entity, maximizing its depositors' utility under its own and the entrepreneurs' zero profit constraints given by equations (15) and (19). As previously noted, the proportion of projects being evaluated, δ^* , will increase as the amount lent by the bank increases. This process will take place until δ^* reaches the value of one. In the steady state, therefore, all the projects will be evaluated.

The solutions for the time paths for consumption and savings, for the long-run growth rate and for the deposit and lending rates are presented in table 1. For the sake of comparison, the solutions for the case where the bank would only provide a diversification facility are also presented.

Table 1

	Portfolio diversification without asset valuation	Portfolio diversification and asset valuation
$c_t^h(t)$	$\frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2}$	$\frac{\overline{w}_{t}^{h}(t)}{2}$

$s_t^h(t)$	$\frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2}$	$\frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2}$
$\frac{\underline{k}_{t}(t+1)}{\underline{k}_{t-1}(t)},$	$\frac{(1-\alpha)\pi L_i^{-\alpha}}{2}$	$\frac{(1-\alpha)L_{t}^{-\alpha}}{2}$
$d_t(t+1)$	$\pi i_t(t+1)$	$i_t(t+1) - \frac{\kappa}{K_t(t+1)}$
$i_t(t+1)$	$r_t(t+1)$	$r_t(t+1)$

Comparing first of all the steady states in the absence of financial intermediation to those where banks would only provide the economy with a diversified portfolio, one notices that the deposit rate an individual receives on his bank account is identical to the expected rate of return expressed in equation (4). But while equation (4) describes a random variable, the deposit rate is fixed. As individuals are assumed here to be risk averse, they will always prefer receiving this fixed rate of interest on their deposit account to a random rate of return on their projects. In this model, they will therefore always prefer to deposit their savings in a bank account and to borrow the needed capital for their project rather than to self-finance it.

This sure return on savings leads to an increase in the savings rate and promotes faster growth, as it can be seen by subtracting the savings rate in the absence of financial intermediation, given by equation (12), from the expression in table 1. As Pagano (1993) points out, this result stems from the utility function assumed in this paper.

The effects of risk diversification on savings and growth rates are generally ambiguous. On the one hand, risk diversification allows a secure return on savings. The incentive for postponing consumption is thus increased. On the other hand, reduced risk also implies a reduced need for precautionary savings. Risk diversification therefore leads to an ambiguous response of savings. This response is negative for utility functions with a constant coefficient of relative risk aversion higher than one, and positive otherwise. By assuming a logarithmic utility function in this paper, the risk-aversion coefficient was set to one.

Asset valuation, by contrast, does not result in any influence on the savings rate. This activity influences the growth rate by increasing the proportion of high-return projects being financed. In the steady state all projects undertaken are high-return ones. This evaluation leads to a more efficient resource allocation and to a faster growth rate. Unlike risk-sharing, the growth effect of asset valuation is not ambiguous and does not depend on the individuals' risk-aversion.

As shown by the solutions for deposit rates, the cost of undertaking evaluations is covered by the spread between the lending rate net of defaults and the deposit rate. As long as $\delta^* \le I$, the deposit rate offered by a bank providing only risk diversification is identical to the rate it would offer if it undertook in addition asset valuation. Information costs are entirely covered by improvements in the performance of the loan portfolio. Once all projects are being evaluated, however, the relative cost of this activity decreases as

the amount of capital lent to the economy increases. As this amount grows, the spread between the deposit rate and the lending rate will narrow.

III. GROWTH AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE FINANCIAL SECTOR

The previous section showed that in the steady state, by evaluating all the projects being financed, the bank is able to improve the efficiency of its lending. Inefficiencies remain, however, in the transition to this steady state, since during this phase the amount of capital lent to the economy would not be enough to induce the bank to evaluate all the projects. In this case, the model presented in this paper assumes that the bank should also deal with the $(1-\delta^*)$ borrowers whose projects could not be evaluated.

Further improvement could be achieved in the quality of lending if the bank could open branches further away to deal with these borrowers. In total, I/δ^* bank branches could operate, each catering for only a proportion δ^* of entrepreneurs. In this case, all their lending would be used to finance high-return projects and the optimal resource allocation would be achieved. Government regulations placing constraints on bank branches and therefore forcing banks to operate beyond their δ^* threshold would depress growth. As observed by Jayaratne and Strahan (1996), relaxing such rules would lead to more efficient bank-lending and faster growth.

This observation implies that the structure of the financial sector matters. Risk-sharing requires pooling large amounts of savings and spreading lending across a number of projects and depends mainly on the size of the financial sector. Asset valuation, by contrast, requires proximity. An expansion of the financial sector led by large banks, present in the capital city but with only few branches in the country, while improving risk diversification would have little influence on the efficiency of lending. By contrast, the development of a large number of unit banks could have a beneficial effect on efficiency, but would end up with poorly diversified loan portfolios if risks were not uniformly distributed across the country. Large banks with a wide network of branches endowed with some autonomy in their lending decisions could represent some intermediate solution, combining both the ability to share risk and to collect information.

In addition, taking geography into account while discussing the influence of finance on economic growth implies that not only the overall amount of mobilized savings matters, but also its distribution within the financial sector. The model presented in this paper would suggest that the contribution to growth of this sector will be different, according to whether deposits are fairly spread across the country or heavily concentrated in banks having few branches or contacts outside the capital city. Similarly, the shifts in this distribution from banks with wide branch networks to banks only present in some big cities would imply a negative effect on economic growth, even if the total amount of savings mobilized remains unchanged.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Recent developments in growth theory have stimulated renewed interest in the interactions of financial intermediation and growth. While most of the existing literature analyses the risk-sharing function of financial intermediaries, this paper focuses on the asset-valuation activity of banks. Following the early contributions of Goldsmith (1969), McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973), a general equilibrium endogenous growth model is presented here in which financial intermediaries increase the amount of accumulated capital, improve the mobilization of savings and enhance the efficiency of resource allocation.

As in Greenwood and Jovanovic (1990) and King and Levine (1993b), banks are shown to be able to improve their lending efficiency by evaluating projects. Unlike the models presented by these authors, the banks' evaluation capacity is not assumed to be exogenous. The ability of banks to gather the information needed to undertake this evaluation is linked here to proximity, and the notion of geography may thereby be introduced. A link between proximity and faster growth rates can thus be shown, consistent with the observations of historians such as Cameron (1967).

Furthermore, this paper shows that a bank can improve the efficiency of its lending by opening branches. A poor branch network would thus affect negatively the economic growth rate, as Cameron (1967) suggests in the case of France in the 19th century. By contrast, relaxing regulations limiting the setting up of branches would promote faster growth, as Jayaratne and Strahan (1996) observe in the case of the United States. The size of the financial sector is therefore not the only important variable; its structure and the distribution of its deposits matter likewise.

Finally, the model also shows that the positive effect of asset valuation on economic growth does not require any constraint on the utility function of individuals. The positive effect is unambiguous. This result stands in contrast to that obtained when limiting the role of banks to risk diversification. In the latter case, the effect of financial development on growth is ambiguous, depending on the degree of the risk aversion of individuals.

The model presented in this paper could be extended in various ways. The contract offered by the bank to its potential borrowers could be enriched by the inclusion of other variables besides the interest rate. For instance, collateral requirements might be considered. Cash-flow or corporate net wealth could also be introduced as additional sources of information for banks. In this context, the proportion of entrepreneurs being evaluated might appear to be dependent on the size of the latter only, and not only on the proximity of a bank branch. Another possible extension could be to set the analysis within a business-cycle framework.

Recent literature linking capital market imperfections to macroeconomic models has emphasized the role the financial sector can play in triggering or deepening economic crises. Runs or adverse shocks affecting corporate cash flow or net worth (Bernanke and Gertler, 1989) have attracted considerable interest. Extending the model presented here would suggest that, by increasing the proportion of borrowers whose projects will not be evaluated, restructuring and bank branch closures taking place in the aftermath of a recession may reduce lending efficiency, deepen the downturn, and thus exacerbate economic fluctuations.

Finally, greater attention could be paid in empirical studies to the structure of the financial sector. Following King and Levine (1993a and 1993b), investigations of the factors explaining international differences in long-run growth rates could probably gain by not only considering the size of the banking sector, but also proxies for the quality of its lending. In this respect, cross-country discrepancies in bank density, for instance, could be an interesting variable to examine.

This paper has, however, only looked at corporate lending, and its conclusions should be interpreted within these limits. The effects of financial development in other markets may be significantly different. Improved conditions for household credit, for instance, may reduce the need to save first in order to purchase durable goods, and would therefore lead to lower savings and associated lower growth rates. Not all forms of financial developments are necessarily conducive to faster growth.

MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX

1. Steady states without financial intermediation

Problem:

Max
$$U = \ln c_t^h(t) + \pi \ln c_t^h(t+1)$$

$$c_t^{h}(t) + \frac{c_t^{h}(t+1)}{r_t(t+1)} = \overline{w}_t^{h}(t)$$

The Lagrangian is therefore:

$$L = \ln c_t^h(t) + \pi \ln c_t^h(t+1) + \lambda \left[c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{r_t(t+1)} - \frac{----}{w_t^h(t)} \right]$$

and the first order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t^h(t)} = \frac{1}{c_t^h(t)} = -\lambda \tag{A1}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t^h(t+1)} = \frac{\pi}{c_t^h(t+1)} = -\frac{\lambda}{r_t(t+1)} \tag{A2}$$

$$-\lambda = \frac{\pi r_t(t+1)}{c_t^h(t+1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{r_t(t+1)} - \overline{w}_t^h(t) = 0$$
(A3)

Equating (A1) and (A2) yields:

$$\frac{1}{c_t^{h}(t)} = \frac{\pi r_t(t+1)}{c_t^{h}(t+1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{\pi c_t^h(t)} = r_t(t+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow (A4)$$

From (A3), :

$$c_t^h(t+1) = [\overline{w}_t^h(t) - c_t^h(t)]r_t(t+1)$$
(A5)

Substituting (A5) into (A4) yields:

$$\left[\overline{w}_t^h(t) - c_t^h(t)\right] = \pi c_t^h(t)$$

$$\overline{w}_t^h(t) = (1+\pi)c_t^h(t)$$

$$c_{t}^{h}(t) = \frac{\overline{w_{t}^{h}}(t)}{1+\pi}$$

To obtain savings, one subtracts consumption from income:

$$s_{t}^{h}(t) = \overline{w}_{t}^{h}(t) - c_{t}^{h}(t)$$

$$s_t^h(t) = \overline{w}_t^h(t) - \frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{(1+\pi)}$$

$$\Rightarrow s_t^h(t) = \overline{w}_t^h(t) \left(\frac{\pi}{1+\pi}\right)$$

The growth rate of \underline{k}_t is obtained with the following equations:

$$\overline{w}_t^h(t) = (1 - \alpha)\pi \underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_t^{-\alpha}$$
(A6)

$$s_{\iota}^{h}(t) = \underline{k}_{\iota}(t+1) \tag{A7}$$

$$s_t^h(t) = \overline{w}_t^h(t) \left(\frac{\pi}{1+\pi}\right) \tag{A8}$$

Substituting (A6) into (A8) yields:

$$s_t^h(t) = (1 - \alpha)\pi \underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_t^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\pi}{1 + \pi}\right)$$

Using (A7):

$$\underline{k}_{t}(t+1) = (1-\alpha)\pi\underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_{t}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\pi}{1+\pi}\right)$$

$$\underset{\longrightarrow}{\underline{\underline{k}_{t}(t+1)}} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\pi^{2}L_{t}^{-\alpha}}{1+\pi} = \mu$$

2. Steady states with portfolio diversification

Problem:

Max
$$U = \ln c_t^h(t) + \ln c_t^h(t+1)$$

$$c_t^n(t)$$

$$c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{d_t(t+1)} = \overline{w}_t^h(t)$$

s.t.

$$v_t^h(t+1) = \pi k_t^h(t+1)[r_t(t+1) - i_t(t+1)]$$

$$\rho_t(t+1)K_t(t+1) = \pi i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1) - d_t(t+1)S_t(t)$$

The Lagrangian is therefore:

$$L = \ln c_t^h(t) + \ln c_t^h(t+1) + \lambda_1 \left[c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{d_t(t+1)} - \overline{w}_t(t) \right] + \lambda_2 \left[\pi k_t^h(t+1) \left(r_t(t+1) - i_t(t+1) \right) \right] + \lambda_3 \left[\pi i_t(t+1) K_t(t+1) - d_t(t+1) S_t(t) \right]$$

and the first order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t^h(t)} = \frac{1}{c_t^h(t)} + \lambda_1 = 0 \tag{A9}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t^h(t+1)} = \frac{1}{c_t^h(t+1)} + \frac{\lambda_1}{d_t(t+1)} = 0$$
(A10)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = \left(c_t^h(t) - \overline{w}_t^h(t)\right) d_t(t+1) + c_t^h(t+1) = 0 \tag{A11}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = \pi k_t^h(t+1) (r_t(t+1) - i_t(t+1)) = 0$$
(A12)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_3} = \pi i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1) - d_t(t+1)S_t(t) = 0$$
(A13)

Combining equations (A9) and (A10) yields:

$$\frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{c_t^h(t)} = d_t(t+1) \tag{A14}$$

From (A11):

$$\Rightarrow c_t^h(t+1) = \left[\overline{w_t}^h(t) - c_t^h(t)\right] d_t(t+1) \tag{A15}$$

Substituting (A15) into (A14) yields :

$$c_t^h(t) = \frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2}$$

To obtain savings, one subtracts consumption from income:

$$S_t^h(t) = \overline{W}_t^h(t) - C_t^h(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow s_t^h(t) = \overline{w}_t^h(t) - \frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2} = \frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2}$$

The lending rate is obtained from (A12):

$$\Rightarrow r_t(t+1) = i_t(t+1)$$

Using $K_t(t+1)=S_t(t)$, the deposit rate is obtained from (A13):

$$d_t(t+1) = \pi i_t(t+1)$$

The growth rate of \underline{k}_t is obtained with the following equations:

$$\overline{w}_t^h(t) = (1 - \alpha)\pi \underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_t^{-\alpha}$$
(A16)

$$s_t^h(t) = \underline{k}_t(t+1)$$
 (A17)

$$s_t^h(t) = \frac{\overline{w}_t^h(t)}{2} \tag{A18}$$

Substituting (A16) into (A18) yields:

$$s_t^h(t) = \frac{(1-\alpha)\pi \underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_t^{-\alpha}}{2}$$

Using (A17):

$$\underline{k}_{t}(t+1) = \frac{(1-\alpha)\pi\underline{k}_{t-1}(t)L_{t}^{-\alpha}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\underline{k}_{t}(t+1)}{\underline{k}_{t-1}(t)} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\pi L_{t}^{-\alpha}}{2}$$

3. Steady states with portfolio diversification and asset valuation

Calculation of δ^*

$$[\delta + (1 - \delta)\pi]i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1)$$
 return with asset valuation
$$\pi i_t(t+1)K_t(t+1)$$
 return without asset valuation
$$\delta^2 \kappa$$
 cost of evaluation

At δ^* , the cost of evaluation should be equal to the difference in returns with and without asset valuation i.e. :

$$\delta^{*2} \kappa = \left[\delta^* + (1 - \delta^*)\pi\right] i_t(t+1) K_t(t+1) - \pi i_t(t+1) K_t(t+1)$$

$$\delta^* = \frac{(1 - \pi)i_t(t+1) K_t(t+1)}{\kappa}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

As the economy grows, $K_l(t+1)$, the amount of capital lent will grow, pushing δ^* to its upper bound, i.e. 1. In the steady state, δ^* will thus equal 1 and the problem therefore becomes :

Max
$$U = \ln c_t^h(t) + \ln c_t^h(t+1)$$

s.t.
$$c_{t}^{h}(t) + \frac{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)}{d_{t}(t+1)} = \overline{w}_{t}^{h}(t)$$

$$v_{t}^{h}(t+1) = k_{t}^{h}(t+1) [r_{t}(t+1) - i_{t}(t+1)]$$

$$\rho_{t}(t+1)K_{t}(t+1) = i_{t}(t+1)K_{t}(t+1) - d_{t}(t+1)S_{t}(t) - \kappa$$

The Lagrangian is therefore:

$$L = \ln c_t^h(t) + \ln c_t^h(t+1) + \lambda_1 \left[c_t^h(t) + \frac{c_t^h(t+1)}{d_t(t+1)} - \overline{w}_t(t) \right] + \lambda_2 \left[k_t^h(t+1) \left(r_t(t+1) - i_t(t+1) \right) \right] + \lambda_3 \left[i_t(t+1) K_t(t+1) - d_t(t+1) S_t(t) - \kappa \right]$$

REFERENCES

- BENCIVENGA, V. and B. SMITH (1991), "Financial intermediation and endogenous growth", *Review of Economic Studies*, Vol. 58, pp. 195-209.
- BERNANKE, B. and M. GERTLER (1989), "Agency costs, net worth, and business fluctuations", *American Economic Review*, March, pp. 14-31.
- CAMERON, R. (1967), Banking in the Early Stages of Industrialization: A Study in Comparative Economic History (New York: Oxford University Press).
- van EES, H. and S.J. van den HEUVEL (1994), "Investment, aggregate shocks and imperfect financial markets", *SOM Research Report* (Groningen, the Netherlands: University of Groningen), October.
- FAMA, E.F. (1985), "What's different about banks?", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 15, January, pp. 29-39.
- GOLDSMITH, R.W. (1969), *Financial Structure and Development* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press).
- GREENWOOD, J. and B. JOVANOVIC (1990), "Financial development, growth and the distribution of income", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 98, pp. 1076-1107.
- JAYARATNE, J. and P.E. STRAHAN (1996), "The finance-growth nexus: Evidence from bank branch deregulation", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 111, August, pp. 639-670.
- KING, R. and R. LEVINE (1993a), "Finance, entrepreneurship and growth", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 32, pp. 513-542.
- KING, R. and R. LEVINE (1993b), "Finance and growth: Schumpeter might be right", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August, pp. 717-737.
- McKINNON, R.I. (1973), *Money and Capital in Economic Development* (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution).
- LEVINE, R. (1991), "Stock markets, growth and tax policy", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 46, September, pp. 1445-1465.

- PAGANO, M. (1993), "Financial markets and growth: An overview", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 37, pp. 613-622.
- ROMER, P. (1986), "Increasing returns and long-run growth", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 94, pp. 1002-1037.
- SAINT-PAUL, G. (1992), "Technological choice, financial markets and economic development", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 36, pp. 763-781.
- SHAW, E.S. (1973), Financial Deepening in Economic Development (New York: Oxford University Press).

UNCTAD Discussion Papers

No. 38, April 1991	J. F. OUTREVILLE	The use of computer technology in the
		insurance sector of developing countries
No. 39, April 1991	Andrew J. CORNFORD	The Multilateral Negotiations on Banking
		Services: Context and selected outstanding
		issues
No. 40, August 1991 Issues	Yilmaz AKYÜZ &	Financial policies in developing countries:
	Detlef J. KOTTE	and experience
No. 41, August 1991	Manuel R. AGOSIN	Trade policy reform and economic
		performance: A review of the issues and some
		preliminary evidence
No. 42, October 1991 industry	Georg KELL &	Technology and competitiveness in the textile
	Jürgen RICHTERING	
*No. 43, February 1992	Yilmaz AKYÜZ	On financial deepening and efficiency
*No. 44, July 1992	Cem SOMEL	Finance for growth: Lessons from Japan
No. 45, July 1992	Sebastian SCHICH	Indebtedness, sovereign risk and the spread:
		The example of Hungary and the Euromarkets
No. 46, July 1992	Raju J. SINGH	An imperfect information approach to the
		structure of the financial system
No. 47, August 1992	V. BHASKAR	Privatization and the developing countries:
		The issues and the evidence
No. 48, August 1992	Ha-Joon CHANG & Ajit SINGH	Public enterprises in developing countries and economic efficiency
No. 49, October 1992	Ajit SINGH	The stock-market and economic development:
		Should developing countries encourage
		stock-markets?
No. 50, November 1992	Ulrich HOFFMANN &	Demand growth for industrial raw materials
and its		
	Dusan ZIVKOVIC	determinants: An analysis for the period
		1965-1988
No. 51, December 1992	Sebastian SCHICH	Indebtedness, creditworthiness and trade
		finance and payment arrangements
No. 52, December 1992	Dwight H. PERKINS	China's 'gradual' approach to market reforms
No. 53, December 1992	Patricio MELLER	Latin American adjustment and economic
		reforms: Issues and recent experience

* Out of stock.

No. 54, January 1993	Trevor GARDNER	The present economic situation in Zambia and the role of privatisation in improving its economy
No. 55, February 1993	Alexandre R. BARROS	Prospects for world sugar trade
No. 56, March 1993	Yilmaz AKYÜZ	Financial liberalization: The key issues
No. 57, April 1993	Alice H. AMSDEN	Structural macroeconomic underpinnings of
10. 37, 11pm 1333	Alice II. AMISDEN	effective industrial policy: Fast growth in the 1980s in five Asian countries
No. 58, April 1993	Celso ALMEIDA	Development and transfer of environmentally sound technologies in manufacturing: A survey
No. 59, May 1993	Ali-Reza NIKPAY	Privatization in Eastern Europe: A survey of the main issues
No. 60, July 1993	Jean-Marc FONTAINE	Reforming public enterprises and the public sector in sub-Saharan Africa
No. 61, July 1993	Korkut BORATAV	Public sector, public intervention and economic development
No. 62, July 1993	Roberto FRENKEL	Growth and structural reform in Latin America: Where we stand
No 63, July 1993 savings: A	Machiko NISSANKE &	Mobilization and allocation of domestic
	Priya BASU	study on Bhutan
No. 64, July 1993 savings: A	Machiko NISSANKE &	Mobilization and allocation of domestic
	Priya BASU	case study on Nepal
No. 65, August 1993	Ercan UYGUR	Liberalization and economic performance in Turkey
No. 66, August 1993	Y_lmaz AKYÜZ	Maastricht and fiscal retrenchment in Europe
No. 67, September 1993	Cem SOMEL	The State in economic activity: Problems of economic policy-making
No. 68, September 1993	Andrew CORNFORD	The role of the Basle Committee on Banking Super- vision in the regulation of international banking
No. 69, September 1993	Sebastian SCHICH	The level and volatility of external financial
		positions and the costs of export credit insurance
No. 70, October 1993	Veena JHA, René VOSSENAAR & Simonetta ZARRILLI	Ecolabelling and international trade
No. 71, October 1993	Adolfo CANITROT	The exchange rate as an instrument of trade
policy		

No. 72, October 1993	Xiaoning J. ZHAN	North American economic integration and its impli- cations for the exports of China and Hong Kong
No. 73, November 1993	J.H. REICHMAN	Implications of the Draft TRIPS Agreement for developing countries as competitors in an integrated world market
No. 74, November 1993	Priya BASU & Norman GEMMELL	Fiscal adjustment in the Gambia: A case study
No. 75, November 1993	William W.F. CHOA	The relevance of market structure to technological progress: A case study of the chemical industry
No. 76, December 1993	Ajit SINGH	The Plan, the market and evolutionary economic reform in China
No. 77, January 1994	Shigehisa KASAHARA	A rescue plan for the post-bubble Japanese economy: The establishment of the Cooperative Credit Purchasing Company
No. 78, January 1994	Jean K. THISEN	The European Single Market and its possible effects on African external trade
No. 79, February 1994 regional	Kálmán KALOTAY & Ana María ALVAREZ	Emerging stock markets and the scope for
N- 00 F-1 1004		cooperation
No. 80, February 1994	Edouard DOMMEN	Développement durable: Mots-déclic
No. 81, March 1994	Juan A. DE CASTRO	The internalization of external environmental costs and sustainable development
No. 82: WITHDRAWN		
No. 83, May 1994 and some	Y_lmaz AKYÜZ &	Regimes for international capital movements
	Andrew CORNFORD	proposals for reform
No. 84, May 1994	David FELIX	Industrial development in East Asia: What are the lessons for Latin America?
No. 85, July 1994	S.M. SHAFAEDDIN	The impact of trade liberalization on export and GDP growth in least developed countries
No. 86, July 1994	Raju J. SINGH	Bank credit, small firms and the design of a financial system for Eastern Europe
No. 87, July 1994	Thomas ZIESEMER	Economic development and endogenous terms-of-trade determination: Review and reinterpretation of the Presbisch-Singer Thesis
No. 88, August 1994	Sebastian SCHICH	The payment arrangements in the trade of CEECs and LDCs between 1986 and 1994
No. 89, September 1994	Veena JHA &	Are environmentally sound technologies the

	Ana Paola TEIXEIRA	Emperor's new clothes?
No. 90, October 1994	Manuel R. AGOSIN	Saving and investment in Latin America
No. 91, October 1994	Y_lmaz AKYÜZ & Charles GORE	The investment-profits nexus in East Asian industrialization
No. 92, November 1994	Charles GORE	Development strategy in East Asian newly
		industrializing economies: The experience of
		post-war Japan, 1953-1973
No. 93, December 1994	J. F. OUTREVILLE	Life insurance in developing countries: A
		cross-country analysis
No. 94, January 1995	XIE Ping	Financial services in China
No. 95, January 1995	William W.F. CHOA	The derivation of trade matrices by commodity
		groups in current and constant prices
No. 96, February 1995	Alexandre R. BARROS	The role of wage stickiness in economic growth
No. 97, February 1995	Ajit SINGH	How did East Asia grow so fast? Slow progress
		towards an analytical consensus
No. 98, April 1995	Z. KOZUL-WRIGHT	The role of the firm in the innovation process
No. 99, May 1995	Juan A. DE CASTRO	Trade and labour standards: Using the wrong
		instruments for the right cause
No. 100, August 1995	Roberto FRENKEL	Macroeconomic sustainability and
		development prospects: Latin American
		performance in the 1990s
No. 101, August 1995 democracy and	R. KOZUL-WRIGHT	Walking on two legs: Strengthening
	& Paul RAYMENT	productive entrepreneurship in the transition
		economies
No. 102, August 1995		
	J.C. DE SOUZA BRAGA M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN	Financing the public sector in Latin America
No. 103, September 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA	
No. 103, September 1995 export	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN	
	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS &	Should governments subsidize exports through
export	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies?
No. 104, September 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade
No. 104, September 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the
No. 104, September 1995 No. 105, October 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN Giovanni N. DE VITO	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the particular case of Malaysia
No. 104, September 1995 No. 105, October 1995 No. 106, October 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN Giovanni N. DE VITO John EATWELL	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the particular case of Malaysia Disguised unemployment: The G7 experience
No. 104, September 1995 No. 105, October 1995 No. 106, October 1995 No. 107, November 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN Giovanni N. DE VITO John EATWELL Luisa E. SABATER	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the particular case of Malaysia Disguised unemployment: The G7 experience Multilateral debt of least developed countries
No. 104, September 1995 No. 105, October 1995 No. 106, October 1995 No. 107, November 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN Giovanni N. DE VITO John EATWELL Luisa E. SABATER	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the particular case of Malaysia Disguised unemployment: The G7 experience Multilateral debt of least developed countries Financial globalization versus free trade: The
export No. 104, September 1995 No. 105, October 1995 No. 106, October 1995 No. 107, November 1995 No. 108, November 1995	M.A. MACEDO CINTRA & Sulamis DAIN Toni HANIOTIS & Sebastian SCHICH Robert ROWTHORN Giovanni N. DE VITO John EATWELL Luisa E. SABATER David FELIX	Should governments subsidize exports through credit insurance agencies? A simulation model of North-South trade Market distortions and competition: the particular case of Malaysia Disguised unemployment: The G7 experience Multilateral debt of least developed countries Financial globalization versus free trade: The case for the Tobin tax

No. 110, January 1996	H.A.C. PRASAD	Bilateral terms of trade of selected countries
No. 111, January 1996	Charles GORE	from the South with the North and the South Methodological nationalism and the misunderstanding of East Asian
No. 112, March 1996	Djidiack FAYE	industrialization Aide publique au développement et dette extérieure: Quelles mesures opportunes pour le
No. 113, March 1996 reflections on	Paul BAIROCH & Richard KOZUL-WRIGH	financement du secteur privé en Afrique? Globalization myths: Some historical IT integration, industrialization and
		growth in the world economy
No. 114, April 1996	Rameshwar TANDON	Japanese financial deregulation since 1984
No. 115, April 1996	E.V.K. FITZGERALD	Intervention versus regulation: The role of the
		IMF in crisis prevention and management
No. 116, June 1996	Jussi LANKOSKI	Controlling agricultural nonpoint source
		pollution: The case of mineral balances
No. 117, August 1996	José RIPOLL	Domestic insurance markets in developing
, C		countries: Is there any life after GATS?
No. 118, September 1996	Sunanda SEN	Growth centres in South East Asia in the era of
Tio. 110, September 1990	Sununuu SEI	globalization
No. 110 Sontambar 1006	Leena ALANEN	
No. 119, September 1996	Leena ALAINEIN	1
		internalization on sectoral competitiveness: A
N. 100 O . 1 100/	G' AL GILL DIDI	new conceptual framework
No. 120, October 1996	Sinan AL-SHABIBI	Structural adjustment for the transition to
		disarmament: An assessment of the role of the
		market
No. 121, October 1996	J.F. OUTREVILLE	Reinsurance in developing countries: Market
		structure and comparative advantage
No. 122, December 1996	Jörg MAYER	Implications of new trade and endogenous
		growth theories for diversification policies of
		commodity-dependent countries
No. 123, December 1996	L. RUTTEN &	Collateralized commodity financing, with
special	L. SANTANA-BOADO	reference to the use of warehouse receipts
No. 123, December 1996	L. RUTTEN &	Collateralized commodity financing with
special		ý C
	L. SANTANA-BOADO	reference to the use of warehouse receipts
No. 124, March 1997	Jörg MAYER	Is having a rich natural-resource endowment
		detrimental to export diversification?

No. 125, April 1997	Brigitte BOCOUM	The new mining legislation of Côte d'Ivoire:
		Some comparative features
No. 126, April 1997	Jussi LANKOSKI	Environmental effects of agricultural trade liberalization and domestic agricultural policy reforms

Copies of *UNCTAD Discussion Papers* and *Reprint Series* may be obtained from the Editorial Assistant, UNCTAD, Palais des Nations, CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland (Tel. 41-22-907.5733, Fax 41-22-907.0043/0057).