



Data protection regulations and international data flows: Implications for trade and development

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY





Data protection regulations and international data flows: Implications for trade and development

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



NOTE

Within the UNCTAD Division on Technology and Logistics, the ICT Analysis Section carries out policy-oriented analytical work on the development implications of information and communication technologies (ICTs). It is responsible for the preparation of the *Information Economy Report* as well thematic reports on ICT for development such as this study. The ICT Analysis Section promotes international dialogue on issues related to ICTs for development, and contributes to building developing countries' capacities to measure the information economy and to design and implement relevant policies and legal frameworks.

The E-Commerce and Law Reform Programme has supported developing countries in Africa, Asia and Latin America since 2000 in their efforts to establish legal regimes that address the issues raised by the electronic nature of ICTs to ensure trust in online transactions, ease the conduct of domestic and international trade online, and offer legal protection for users and providers of e-commerce and e-government services. UNCTAD helps to build the capacity of policymakers and lawmakers at national and regional levels in understanding the underlying issues underpinning e-commerce. The assistance targets, in particular, ministry officials in charge of law reform who need to learn more about the legal implications of ICTs; parliamentarians who have to examine new cyberlaws; and legal professionals who enforce new legislation.

The views presented in part II of the study are those of the contributors and do not necessarily reflect the views and position of the United Nations or the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

The full version is available at: unctad.org/Data-Protection-Study

This publication has been edited externally.

The material contained in this study may be freely quoted with appropriate acknowledgement.

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION
UNCTAD/IER/DTL/STICT/2016/1 (Executive Summary)

© Copyright United Nations, 2016
All rights reserved. Printed in Switzerland

PREFACE

Increasingly, an ever-wider range of economic, political and social activities are moving online, encompassing various kinds of information and communications technologies (ICTs). The evolving forms of ICT used are having a transformational impact on the way business is done, and the way people interact among themselves, as well as with government, enterprises and other stakeholders. This new landscape gives rise to new business models and a wider scope for innovation. At the same time, it facilitates undesirable activities online, including cybercrime. Against this background, world leaders in 2015 underscored the importance of adopting relevant policy responses to harness the potential of ICTs for all seventeen Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Creating trust online is a fundamental challenge to ensuring that the opportunities emerging in the information economy can be fully leveraged. The handling of data is a central component in this context. In today's digital world, personal data are the fuel that drives much commercial activity online, raising concerns of privacy and security of information.

The present regulatory situation is far from ideal. Some countries lack rules altogether. Some national pieces of legislation are incompatible with each other. Increased reliance on cloud-computing solutions also raise questions about what jurisdictions apply in specific cases. Such dynamics create uncertainty for consumers and businesses, limit the scope for cross-border exchange and stifle growth.

As the global economy shifts further into a connected information space, the relevance of data protection and privacy will further increase. Understanding different approaches to, and potential avenues for, establishing more compatible legal frameworks at national, regional and multilateral levels is important for facilitating international trade and online commerce. The rules surrounding data protection and cross-border flows of data affect individuals, businesses and governments alike, making it essential to find approaches that address the concerns of all stakeholders in a balanced manner.

This study seeks to contribute to this end. It reviews the experience in different parts of the world and of different stakeholders. The study identifies key concerns that data protection and privacy legislation need to address. It goes on to examine

the present patchwork of global, regional and national frameworks to seek common ground and areas where different approaches tend to diverge. The last part of the study considers possible future policy options, taking the concerns of all stakeholders into account while distorting international trade as little as possible.

I would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions received from various stakeholders. I hope that the findings presented will serve as a valuable basis for a much-needed global dialogue geared to building consensus in a very important policy field.



Taffere Tesfachew
Acting Director, Division on Technology and Logistics
April 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study on Data Protection Regulations and International Data Flows: Implications for Trade and Development was prepared by a team comprising Torbjörn Fredriksson (team leader), Cécile Barayre and Olivier Sinoncelli. Chris Connolly was the lead consultant for the study.

Because data protection is a global issue, it was important for UNCTAD to consult with a wide range of stakeholders to identify their concerns and issues they face. UNCTAD would like to thank all those countries and organizations that contributed inputs for the study: Adjaïgbe S. Rodolphe (Benin), Rafael Zanatta (Brazilian Institute of Consumer), Denis Kibirige and Barbarah Imaryo (Uganda), Danièle Chatelois (Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation), Elizabeth Bakibinga-Gaswaga (Commonwealth Secretariat), Atte Boeyi and Ado Salifou Mahamane Laoualy (Niger), Robert Achieng (East African Community), Liz Coll and Richard Bates (Consumers International), Joseph Alhadeff (International Chamber of Commerce), Raphael Koffi and Isaias Barreto Da Rosa (Economic Community Of West African States), Maria Michaelidou (Council of Europe), Lukasz Rozanski (European Commission), Moctar Yedaly, Amazouz Souhila and Auguste K. Yankey (African Union Commission), Albert Antwi-Boasiako (e-Crime Bureau, Ghana), Bijan Madhani and Jordan Harriman (Computer and Communications Industry Association), Melinda Claybaugh and Hugh Stevenson (United States Federal Trade Commission) and Ammar Oozeer (Mauritius). Additional substantive inputs were provided by Eduardo Ustaran (International Association of Privacy Professionals), Olanrewaju Fagbohun (Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies), Yasin Beceni (BTS & Partners), Ussal Sahbaz (Economic Policy Research Foundation of Turkey), Geff Brown, Marie Charlotte Roques Bonnet, Ed Britan and Heba Ramzy (Microsoft).

Comments on a draft version of the study were provided by Anupam Chander, Graham Greenleaf and Ian Walden. The data shared by Galexia for this study is greatly appreciated.

The cover was prepared by Nadège Hadjemian. Desktop publishing was completed by Ion Dinca. The document was edited by Nancy Biersteker.

Financial support from the Governments of Finland and the Republic of Korea is greatly appreciated.

CONTENTS

PART I

Executive Summary

Introduction

Objectives of this study

The growing importance of data protection

Trade implications of data protection

Outline of this study

Chapter 1 - Key challenges in the development and implementation of data protection laws

A. Addressing gaps in coverage

B. Addressing new technologies

C. Managing cross-border data transfers

D. Balancing surveillance and data protection

E. Strengthening enforcement

F. Determining jurisdiction

G. Managing the compliance burden for business

Chapter 2. Global developments and lessons learned

A. The United Nations

B. The Council of Europe Convention 108

C. The OECD

D. International Data Protection Commissioner's initiatives

Lessons learned from the global initiatives

Chapter 3. Regional initiatives

A. The European Union (EU)

B. Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)

C. African Union (AU)

D. The Commonwealth

E. Trade agreements

Lessons learned from the regional initiatives

Chapter 4. Select national initiatives and experiences

Country snapshots

Lessons learned from national data protection laws

Chapter 5. Private sector and civil society perspectives

A. The private sector

B. Civil society

Chapter 6. Conclusions

Chapter 7. Policy options

Policy options for international and regional organizations

Policy options for countries

Part II

International and Regional Organizations

Private Sector and NGOs

Governments

PART II

International and Regional Organizations

African Union Convention on Cyber-security and Personal Data Protection (AU CCPDP). Moctar Yedaly, Head, Information Society Division, Infrastructure and Energy Department, AU Commission.

Privacy Policy Developments in the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Forum. Danièle Chatelois, Former Chair of the APEC Data Privacy Subgroup (2012-February 2016).

Data Protection in the Commonwealth. Elizabeth Bakibinga-Gaswaga, Legal Advisor, International Development Law, Commonwealth Secretariat.

The Council of Europe Convention 108. Maria Michaelidou, Programme Advisor, Data Protection Unit, Council of Europe.

Data Protection in the East African Community. Robert Achieng, Senior Communications Engineer, EAC Secretariat.

ECOWAS Supplementary Act A/SA.1/01/10 on Personal Data Protection. Dr. Isias Barreto Da Rosa, Commissioner for Telecommunication and Information Technologies, ECOWAS Commission.

Data Protection in the European Union: Today and Tomorrow. Lukasz Rozanski, European Commission.

Private Sector and NGOs

Personal Data Protection and International Data Flows: The Case of Brazil. Rafael Zanatta, Brazilian Institute of Consumer .

Cross-border e-commerce: building consumer trust in international data flows. Liz Coll, Consumers International.

Comments of the Computer & Communications Industry Association on Data Protection Regulations and International Data Flows: Impact on Enterprises and Consumers. Bijan Madhani, Public Policy & Regulatory Counsel; Jordan Harriman, Policy Fellow, CCA.

Optimizing Societal Benefit of Emerging Technologies in Policy Development Related to Data Flows, Data Protection and Trade. Joseph Alhadeff, Chair, International Chamber of Commerce Commission on the Digital Economy; Chief Privacy Strategist and Vice President of Global Public Policy, Oracle Corporation.

Middle East and Africa (MEA) Privacy Principles Will Protect Privacy and Advance Trade, The Case for a New Legal Framework. Eduardo Ustaran, IAPP board member, Olanrewaju Fagbohun, Research Professor, Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, Yasin Beceni, Managing Partner, BTS & Partners; and Lecturer; Istanbul Bilgi University, Ussal Sahbaz, Director, Think Tank – TEPAV, Geff Brown, Assistant General Counsel, Microsoft Corp., Marie Charlotte Roques Bonnet, Director Microsoft EMEA, Ed Britan, Attorney, Microsoft Corp., Heba Ramzy, Director Corporate Affairs, Microsoft Middle East and Africa.

Governments

The Protection of Data in Benin. Adjaigbe S. Rodolphe, Director, Studies and Research, Ministry of Communication and ICTs, Benin.

Implementation of Data Protection Legislation - The Case of Ghana. Albert Antwi-Boasiako, Founder and Principal Consultant, e-Crime Bureau, Ghana.

The Status of Data Protection in Mauritius. Ammar Oozeer, Juristconsult Chambers, Mauritius.

The Status of Data Protection in Niger. Atte Boeyi, Director of Legislation, General Secretariat; Ado Salifou Mahamane Laoualy, Director of Judicial Affairs and Litigation, Niger.

The Legal and Regulatory Regime for Data Protection and Privacy in Uganda. Denis Kibirige, Senior State Attorney, Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs (MoJCA); Barbarah Imaryo, Manager, Legal Services, National Information Technology Authority (NITA-U), Uganda.

Privacy and Security of Personal Data in the United States. Staff of the Federal Trade Commission Office of International Affairs, United States.

Boxes

Box 1: Schrems v Facebook (Ireland, Europe, 2014/2015)

Box 2: Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data v Octopus (Hong Kong, 2010)

Box 3: The Benesse data breach (Japan, 2014)

Box 4: FTC v TRUSTe (United States, 2015)

Box 5: US v Microsoft (2014-2015, United States)

Box 6: FTC v Accusearch (2009, United States)

Box 7: Belgian Commission for the Protection of Privacy v Facebook (Belgium, 2015/2016)

Box 8: Summary of revisions made to the 1980 OECD Privacy Guidelines in 2013

Tables

Table 1 Strengths and limitations of the various approaches to ongoing exceptions

Table 2. Strengths and limitations of the main global initiatives in addressing key challenges in the development and implementation of data protection laws

Table 3. Strengths and limitations of the main regional frameworks in addressing key challenges in the development and implementation of data protection laws

Table 4. Summary of the main findings on key challenges in the development and implementation of data protection laws

Figures

Figure 1: Challenges faced by ASEAN countries and selected countries in the ECOWAS, Latin America and the Caribbean (48 countries) in enacting data protection legislation.

Figure 2: Challenges faced by ASEAN countries and selected countries in the ECOWAS, Latin America and the Caribbean (48 countries) in enforcing data protection legislation.

Figure 3: Data Protection and the Digital Economy

Figure 4: Global percentage of comprehensive, partial/sectoral and draft data protection laws in each region

Figure 5: Data Protection Core Principles

Figure 6: Key Policy Options

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the global information economy, personal data have become the fuel driving much of current online activity. Every day, vast amounts of information are transmitted, stored and collected across the globe, enabled by massive improvements in computing and communication power. In developing countries, online social, economic and financial activities have been facilitated through mobile phone uptake and greater Internet connectivity. As more and more economic and social activities move online, the importance of data protection and privacy is increasingly recognized, not least in the context of international trade. At the same time, the current system for data protection is highly fragmented, with diverging global, regional and national regulatory approaches.

This study reviews the current landscape and analyzes possible options for making data protection policies internationally more compatible. It also provides a fresh and balanced take on related issues by considering the varied perspectives of different stakeholders. Written contributions from key international organizations, government bodies, the private sector and civil society offer valuable insight into the current state of affairs.

The findings of the study should help to inform the much needed multi-stakeholder dialogue on how to enhance international compatibility in the protection of data and privacy, especially in relation to international trade, and to provide policy options for countries that wish to implement new laws or amend existing ones. The study will serve as a basis for deliberation during the UNCTAD E-Commerce Week and for its capacity-building activities related to E-Commerce and Law Reform.

Importance of data protection and privacy laws

Data protection is directly related to trade in goods and services in the digital economy. Insufficient protection can create negative market effects by reducing consumer confidence, and overly stringent protection can unduly restrict businesses, with adverse economic effects as a result. Ensuring that laws consider the global nature and scope of their application, and foster compatibility with other frameworks, is of utmost importance for global trade flows that increasingly rely on the Internet.

Many social and cultural norms around the world include a respect for privacy. While underlying privacy principles contain many commonalities across countries, interpretations and applications in specific jurisdictions differ significantly. Some protect privacy as a fundamental right, while others base the protection of individual privacy in other constitutional doctrines or in tort. Still others have yet to adopt privacy protections. Such differences will increasingly affect individuals, businesses and international trade.

The information economy is increasingly prominent and promises to provide many opportunities, but could also generate some potential drawbacks. Internationally compatible data protection regimes are desirable as a way to create an environment that is more predictable for all stakeholders involved in the information economy and to build trust online.

New technological developments are adding urgency to this need. Cloud computing has quickly risen to prominence, disturbing traditional models in various areas of law, business and society. Certain projections estimate that the cloud computing industry will have a projected global market worth of \$107 to \$127 billion by 2017. The Internet of Things is also rapidly developing, and has a direct nexus to management of data. While forecast reports vary greatly, one report estimates that value-added services related to the Internet of Things will grow from around \$50 billion in 2012 to approximately \$120 billion in 2018, and that there will be between 20-50 billion connected devices by 2020. Another report forecasts a potential economic impact of between \$3.9 and \$11.1 trillion per year in 2025.

Data protection regulation must carefully correspond to the evolving needs and possibilities associated with these changes in order to facilitate potential benefits. In 2014, approximately \$30 trillion worth of goods, services and finance was transferred across borders. Around 12 percent of international trade in goods has been estimated to occur through global e-commerce platforms like Alibaba and Amazon. The international dimension of flows has increased global GDP by approximately 10 percent, equivalent to a value of \$7.8 trillion in 2014. Data flows represent an estimated \$2.8 trillion of this added value.

Key Concerns

As the contributions to this study demonstrate, concerns related to data protection and privacy online manifest themselves in many different dimensions.

Governments - specifically in those developing countries attempting to adopt data protection legislation - are having problems modeling their data protection regimes, though most opt for an approach consistent with the EU Directive. Common challenges include (1) the length of time it takes to pass legislation, (2) financial costs associated with implementing and enforcing a data protection regime, and (3) a lack of public and private sector knowledge and cooperation among governmental entities regulating in parallel. In some countries, a lack of understanding and fear within society can also exacerbate one or more of the aforementioned difficulties.

On the consumer side, concerns related to payment system integrity, hidden costs, fear of fraud and product quality are often more pronounced in the context of international e-commerce. Building trust in the online environment is key, and there has been a decline in trust with regards to transactions with both government and private actors. Studies show that consumers are concerned about how their personal data are collected and used, and that these concerns are increasing. A lack of clarity with regard to protection and avenues for redress tends to further aggravate these concerns.

Businesses are concerned that (1) too stringent protection regimes will unduly restrict activities, increase administrative burdens and stifle innovation; (2) a lack of clarity and compatibility between regimes add uncertainty, with negative effects on investments; and (3) given the nexus between cross-border e-commerce and data protection, divergent regimes will inhibit the adoption and proliferation of emerging technological developments, reducing potential accompanying societal benefits.

Key messages

Although there is significant divergence in the detailed data protection laws of the world, there is more common ground around the core set of data protection principles that are said to be at the heart of most national laws and international regimes. This set of core principles can serve as a useful starting point for efforts towards achieving more compatibility and harmonization.

There is no single agreed model for data protection law at this stage. However, compatibility is the stated objective of many global and regional data protection initiatives.

Numerous challenges in the development and implementation of data protection laws exist. This study concentrates on seven areas where action is particularly needed.

1. Addressing gaps in coverage
2. Addressing new technologies
3. Managing cross-border data transfers
4. Balancing surveillance and data protection
5. Strengthening enforcement
6. Determining jurisdiction
7. Managing the compliance burden

Policy options for developing and implementing national laws

The number of national data protection laws has grown rapidly, but major gaps persist. Some countries have no laws in this area, some have partial laws, and some have laws that are outdated and require amendments. The study includes key policy options for nations that are developing, reviewing or amending their data protection laws.

For those countries that still do not have relevant laws in place, governments should develop legislation that should cover data held by the government and the private sector and remove exemptions to achieve greater coverage. A core set of principles appears in the vast majority of national data protection laws and in global and regional initiatives. Adopting this core set of principles enhances international compatibility, while still allowing some flexibility in domestic implementation.

Strong support exists for establishing a single central regulator when possible, with a combination of oversight and complaints management functions and powers. Moreover, the trend is towards broadening enforcement powers, as well as increasing the size and range of fines and sanctions in data protection.

Addressing the issue of cross-border data transfers using specific text and promoting one or more mechanisms that businesses can use to enable international data flows is crucial. In an increasingly globalized economy where more and more economic activities are undertaken online, remaining silent on the issue is not a viable option. Allowing a range of options for companies to consider appears to be the accepted, modern approach to managing this issue.

National data protection laws should avoid (or remove) clear obstacles to trade and innovation. This may involve avoiding or removing data localization requirements that go beyond the basic options for the management of cross border data

transfers. A useful test that has emerged in this area is the requirement that such provisions should not be ‘disguised restrictions on trade’.

It is also increasingly difficult to ignore the need to balance government surveillance requirements against data protection. In some jurisdictions, data protection laws will be the appropriate place to address this issue. In others, it may be addressed through different legal arrangements. Countries need to implement measures that place appropriate limits and conditions on surveillance.

Policy options for global and regional data protection initiatives

The study discusses key policy areas for global and regional groups that play a role in data protection.

In order to promote international compatibility, it is important to avoid duplication and fragmentation in the regional and international approaches to data protection. It would be preferable for global and regional organizations to, instead of pursuing multiple initiatives, concentrate on one unifying initiative or a smaller number of initiatives that are internationally compatible. Where possible, similarities in underlying principles can be leveraged to develop mechanisms for recognition and compatibility between different frameworks.

Future work towards achieving greater compatibility will require the effective involvement of all stakeholders, including government, private sector and civil society representatives. Their involvement needs to go beyond general discussions to include formal engagement in the policy development process. This active involvement will also help develop measures that promote a higher level of certainty and confidence amongst stakeholders, which will increase the overall efficiency of legal frameworks.

The study includes some detailed guidance on the growing consensus around key conditions and limitations on surveillance initiated by governments. Most regional and global initiatives are silent on the issue of surveillance. It is essential that national laws and global and regional initiatives acknowledge the existence of surveillance issues and attempt to address these issues directly. While surveillance issues often have an international or cross-border dimension, the extraterritorial nature of data flows and surveillance, as it relates to state sovereignty, must be specifically addressed. The United Nations statement on digital rights may serve as a platform for considering the connection between data protection and surveillance.

In developing and promoting international and regional initiatives on data protection, consideration should also be given to the compliance burden, and the potential for negative impacts on trade, innovation and competition, especially from the perspective of SMEs. In this context, SMEs should be consulted and participate in debates related to such initiatives. Finally, prioritizing provisions that build consumer trust and confidence in regulatory models will help grow e-commerce activity.

Developing efficient policies across the globe is of utmost importance, especially with the advent of recent technological advances. Policies should strive to balance various legitimate stakeholder concerns while also carefully avoid solutions that will overly restrict trade. Getting the balance wrong can have serious consequences for either the protection of fundamental rights or for international trade and development. The study provides various examples of good practices that can be built upon.

Striving for balanced, flexible, and compatible data protection regulation has become an urgent goal. Some countries have powerful regulatory mechanisms, while others have outdated legislation or none at all. In order to achieve adequate protection that allows for innovation and facilitates trade, it is essential to continue national, regional and global multi-stakeholder dialogue. International organizations dealing with trade and development, such as UNCTAD, can provide the platform for such dialogue.
